## Chapter Five Discussion

Plants are a source of different chemical compounds, which make them of a medicinal importance. These compounds are divided into two types (inert and active constituents) depending on their activity. The inert constituents are defined as compounds that have no medicinal or physiological effects; for instance cellulose, lignin and subrine, while active constituents have these effects. The active constituents in turn are divided into other types (alkaloids, tannins, carbohydrates, volatile oils, saponines, steroids and flavonoids) depending on their chemical and physical characteristics (Hussien, 1981). Chemical analysis of sage (S. officinalis) extracts (aqueous and hexane) revealed some of these constituents (steroids, tanins, glycosides, flavonoids, saponines and terpens). Such findings are in agreement with the results of Chada (1976), who reported that the aqueous extract of sage contains these compounds with the exception of alkaloids. Additionally, the strong fragrance of both extracts suggests the presence of a large quantity of phenolic compounds and volatile oils in these extracts (Lu and Foo, 2000; Santos-Gomes and Fernandes-Ferreira, 2001). Accordingly, both extracts may serve as a good immune modulator and anti-mutagenic agents, especially if we consider the synergistic effects of their compounds (Elson et al., 1999; Derrida, 2003), and the present results can be justified in the light of these chemical constituents.

The results demonstrated that a treatment with sage extracts had no effect on total and differential count of leucocytes with the exception of neutrophils and eosinophils, which both showed a significant increase especially in animals treated with the aqueous extract. Neutrophils carry out important non-specific cellular defence mechanism, which is phagocytosis (Abbas and Lichtman, 2003). Such activity was significantly enhanced by both extracts, therefore the effect was functional. Several lines of evidence have suggested that phagocytosis can be modulated positively or negatively as a result of treatment

with different agents (Cole et al., 1998; Moller and Loft, 2002). In this regards, medicinal plants rich in flavonoids and glycosides have caused a significant elevation in the index of phagocytosis, for instance Nigella sativa, Withania somnifera and Foeniculum vulgare (Al-Zendi, 2006). These compounds may exert their effect on phagocytes through providing the cells with the energy required for such process, or enhance their killing ability; however, the latter function was not investigated in the present study. In agreement with this scope, it has been demonstrated that flavonoids and glycosides can modulate the macrophage function and enhance the cellular immune response, in addition to their contribution to the integrity of the immune system function through their antioxidant activity (Schoenherr and Jewell, 1997; Lu and Foo, 2000; Rao et al., 2003). Furthermore, aqueous extract of sage has been effective in stimulating the chemotatic activity, as well as, enhancing the production of 1L-12. Therefore, an enhancement of anti-inflammatory activity is pictured, and an engulfment of more yeast is then expected (Kang et al., 2000; Baricevic et al., 2001; Gabhe et al., 2006).

In addition to the innate immunity, the adaptive immunity was also explored in relation to treatments with aqueous and hexane extracts of sage through three assessments, which were Arthus reaction, delayed type hyper sensitivity and plaque forming cells. The first and third assessments aimed to evaluate humoral immune response, which is outcome in an antibody production. Anti-SRBC antibodies were significantly increased in the plaque forming cell assay, and the results of Arthus reaction support such finding. To explain such effects, it has been demonstrated that sage is able to increase the vitamin E concentration in serum, which is important stimulator of the adaptive immune response (Benich, 1988; Moller and Loft, 2002), therefore the effect could be indirect and as a result of vitamin E modulation. A further vitamin can also be involved, that is vitamin C. It has also been demonstrated that flavonoids extracted from sage exerted some positive effect on this vitamin in mice (Manach *et al.*, 1996; Cook and Samman, 1996). Vitamin C protects plasma lipid and membrane lipid from the effect of oxidant compounds through either increase the production of cytokines or interact with the formation of prostaglandins, and both consequences are in favour of immune system enhancement, especially the antibody production (Hughes, 2001).

The delayed type hypersensitivity reaction is a further test to assess the adaptive immunity with special reference to the cellular immune response (Jacayan *et al.*, 2001). Both extracts of sage were effective in enhancing the cellular immune response against SRBCs. Francis *et al.*, (2002) demonstrated that plants contain saponins can modulate the function of the immune system, due to their action in stimulating the cell-mediated immunity and activating the production of different cytokines, especially those involved in the cellular immune response. Therefore the present effect of sage extracts can be explained in this context, because sage is rich in saponins (Kavvadias *et al.*, 2003).

A water-soluble polysaccharides complex from S.officinalis composed of mannose, xylose, and fructose have shown galactose, glucose, an immunomodulatory activity in the comitogenic thymocyte test which is interpreted as being an *in vitro* correlate of adjuvant activity in addition to their mitogenic activity (Capek and Hribalova, 2004). These polysaccharides from S.officinalis have previously shown to stimulate the immune function of bone marrow cells and in this regard several European demostic herbs have been analysed biologically for active polysaccharides components and reported that these polysaccharides are good modulators of the immune system (anti-cancer, anti-inflamantory, anti-ulcer, complement activating potency, macrophage phagocytosis stimulation and induction of cytokines) (Ebringerova et al., 2003). These augmentations of humoral and cellular immune responses involve mainly four immune cells (neurophils, macrophages and T- and B-lymphocytes), and the effect of sage extracts on these cells numerically or functionally can be explained in the light of active constituents that act either separately or synergistically in enhancing the responsiveness of these cells directly or indirectly (Gabhe et al., 2006).

The function of immune system is also genetically determined, and alternations (mutations) in the genetic make-up of animals do have their effect on such function (Abbas and Lichtman, 2003). To explore the effects of sage extracts (aqueous and hexane) on the genetic make-up of mice directly or through interactions with the drug cytosar, two assessments were carried out; they were micronucleus formation in polychromtic cells of bone marrow and sperm-head abnormalities, which both are good parameters of mutagenic evaluations (Ghaskadbi and Viayda, 1991; Al-Rubaiey, 2000). The results of genetic evaluations showed that a treatment with sage extracts was associated with a significant reduction in micronucleus formation and sperm head abnormalities and such effect was dependent on dose and type of extract. Such findings can be considered important, especially if we consider that most cancers are preceded by mutations induced by different agents, especially those that have oxidant effects (Yassen, 1990; Ad'hiah et al., 2002). Numbers of studies have been conducted in regard to the antioxidant activity of sage, and in general their findings are in agreement with the present results. Wang et al (2000) found that rosmarinic acid extracted from sage possessed anti-oxidant activity and was free radical scavengers, while Miura et al., (2002) added another compound isolated from the plant and has anti-oxidant activity that is 12-O-methyl carnosol. Mimica-Dukic (2001) demonstrated that phenolic diterpenoids extracted from the plant showed a strong anti-oxidant activity, and Bozin et al., (2002) confirmed that, and suggested that these compounds are effective in scavenging free radicals. Such effects can be achieved through several metabolic pathways; for instances, inhibit the formation of free radicals, suppress chain initiation and/or breaking chain propagation reaction, increasing the activity of detoxifying enzymes such as glutathione transferase (GST) and superoxide dismutase (SOD) and *de novo* anti-oxidant and adaptation where the signal for the production and reaction of free radicals formation and transport of the anti-oxidant to the right site (Noguchi et al., 2000). Free radicals have the ability to cause damage to DNA and RNA and inhibit some enzymes from reacting with amino acids (Salganik, 2001).

The subject of anti-oxidant activity and ability of free radicals scavenging is further highlighted, if we consider other constituents of the plant that have these effects. These constituents are glycosides, flavonoids and chlorophylls, which possess antimutagenic activity, in addition to their role in detoxification of mutagenic compounds (Kojima and Kuroda, 1992; Manach *et al.*, 1996). These finding have also been further augmented to be involved in increasing the mitotic index of bone marrow and spleen cells, which was observed in the present study (Kojima and Kuroda, 1992; Manach *et al.*, 1996; Cook and Samman, 1996). Enhancement of mitosis has been recently explored *in vitro*, and the conclusion was in favour of that sage can be considered as a mitogen (Capek et *al.*, 2003).

The flavonoid compounds, in addition to their immune modulatory effect, serve other bio-functions, with special reference to antimutagenic activity. It has been demonstrated that the flavonoid compounds, 3-kameferolcoumate and luteolin, which were extracted from some plants of the family Lamiaceae (S. officinalis, Thymus vulgaris and Mentha piperita) inhibited the mutagenic activity of Trp-p-2, and the suggestion was that these compounds activate the metabolic inactivation by modifying the action of the enzyme cytochrome p450 enzyme (Samejima et al., 1995; Samejima et al., 1998). Such consequence can lead to a decrease in DNA strand breaks, lipid peroxidation and cellular oxidation (Miski et al., 1983; Kanazawa et al., 1998). By these pathways, the present extracts of sage could exert their protection effect against the drug cytosar, especially in the pre-treatment interaction. Such findings confirmed previous demonstrations related to the antimutagenic effects of sage, although different mutagen and biological system of assessment were employed; for instance, Vukovic-Gacic and Simic (1993) showed that UV light-induced mutations in *Echerichia coli* can be reduced to a significant level by extracts of sage.

The integrity of genetic make-up depends on several repair mechanisms; such as, excision repair, photoreactivation repair, post-replication repair, errorprone repair and error-free repair, and such mechanism may be considered as a target for medicinal plants or their products in terms of enhancement. In posttreatment interaction, both extracts showed a significant efficiency in reducing the genetic effects of cytosar, and again these actions have been attributed to the chemical constituents of the plant with regard to the forthcoming repair mechanisms. It has been found that flavonoids of sage enhances the post-replication repair (Kourda *et al.*, 1992), while others have demonstrated that tannins, flavonoids and terpens stimulates the mechanism of error-free repair (Sasaki et *al.*, 1998). Furthermore, terpens can activate recombinational repair mechanism, beside their action in activating the detoxification enzymes (Elosn and Yu, 1994; Burke *et al.*, 1997).

The subject of antimutagenecity was further explored in vitro in blood cultures of acute lymphoid leukemia (ALL) patients and healthy controls through the assay of micronucleus formation. The results confirmed the in vivo findings in albino male mice, and a significant reduction in spontaneous, as well as, cytosar-induced formations of micronuclei was observed in a concentrationdependent manner. Such finding may further qualify the aqueous extract of sage as anti-mutagen. Again, the subject of chemical constituent is overwhelmed to explain such findings. In cultures, the antimutagenic effect of sage's aqueous extract has been ascribed to the low molecular-mass compounds contained in this plant but in relatively large amounts (phenolic acids, glycosides, diterpenoids and flavonoids), many of which possess a variety of related biological activities including: antioxidant, anti-platelet, anti-tumor and antiviral (Lu and Foo, 2001, 2002). Beside that, these compounds stimulate the function of immune system, inhibit the formation of DNA adduct with carcinogens, inhibit hormonal action and metabolic pathways association with the development of cancer and enhance the phase I or II detoxification enzymes (Steinmetz and Potter, 1991; Caragay, 1992; Cuvelier et al., 1994; Smith and Yang, 1996). Furthermore, investigations have shown that terpenoids of sage increase tumor latency and decrease tumor multiplicity (Pearce et al., 1992; Zheng et al., 1993; Elson and Yu, 1994).

The reduction in micronucleus formation in cultures treated with the aqueous extract was due to the polyphenolic compounds, flavonoid, present in the extract that have the ability to inhibit the reaction that induce a malignant mutation by damaging the mutated DNA, and induce the activity of enzyme that protect DNA and repair the damage in the genetic material (Huk *et al.*, 1998). Also polyphenolic compounds inhibit the growth of leukemic cells, and induce apoptosis (Helen *et al.*, 2002). Szende *et al.*, (2000) demonstrated that these compounds induce fast-signaling independent apoptosis in human monocytic leukemia and cause arrest in the S-phase prior to fast-independent apoptosis in acute leukemic cells and induce apoptosis in human premyelocytic leukemia (Surth *et al.*, 1999; Bernhard *et al.*, 2000). Further investigations on phenolic compounds showed that these compounds induce the accumulation of P53 and P21 proteins that slow down the cell cycle (Tze-Chen *et al.*, 1999).

The results of micronucleus formation in untreated cultures of ALL patients revealed that the genetic make-up of patients underwent some alternations (i.e. mutations), and such consequences may lead to establish the leukemia disease. It was always augmented that leukemia requires a mutation before establishing a disease (Micallef *et al.*, 2001), and such mutations can be assessed by several parameters including sister chromatid exchange (SCE) and micronucleus formation (Mertens *et al.*, 1995). Such assessments are in agreement with present study, although different malignancies were investigated (Al-Amiry, 1999; Al-Abassi, 2001). In leukemic cells, these genetic alternations are outcome in loss of apoptosis and regulation of cell cycle progression (Kastan *et al.*, 1995).

The present study also demonstrated that the anti-leukemia drug cytosar increased the frequency of micronucleus formation and sperm-head abnormalities, in addition to the reduction of metaphase index, and such findings suggest that such drug is a mutagen, as with other anti-cancer drugs. In this regard, McCowage *et al.*, (1996) have demonstrated that anticancer drugs may cause abnormalities in lymphocyte receptors involved in mitogen recognition. Such effect may result in an inhibition of blastogenic index, mitotic index, and increase micronucleus formation and chromosomal aberrations. The action of these drugs may act on the repair systems inside the cells, and as a result the cells loss the ability to repair the damaged DNA (Uzeily *et al.*, 1993).

## **1: Conclusions**

- 1- The drug cytosar was immune suppressive and mutagenic agent as suggested by the results of total and differential counts of leucocytes, phagocytosis, Arthus reaction, delayed type hypersensitivity reaction, plaque forming cells, metaphase index, micronucleus formation and spermhead abnormalities in mice.
- 2- The aqueous and hexane extracts of sage (*Salvia officinalis*) were effective in modulating the immune suppressive and mutagenic effects of cytosar, *in vivo* (albino male mice) and *in vitro* (acute lymphoid leukemia patients).
- 3- With respect to immunological functions and genetic effects, both extracts were effective in enhancing the immune response, and reducing the spontaneous formation of micronuclei and sperm-head abnormalities.

## **2: Recommendations**

- 1. The immunological effects of aqueous and hexane extracts of sage require further investigations to determine the function of lymphocytes in terms of their CD markers and cytokine production. Furthermore, the function of phagocytes should also be investigated in this regard.
- 2. Further investigations are required to determine the genetic effects of both extracts, and interactions with other anti-cancer drugs should be investigated with other genetic assessments, especially at the molecular level.
- 3. Purification of the active compounds of both extracts to determine their immunological and genetic effects, as a strategy for a drug technology.

Table 4-2: Total leucocyte count (mean ± standard error) in albino male mice treated with aqueous and hexane extracts of sage leaves, distilled water and sunflower oil (negative controls) and cytosar drug (positive control).

|               | Groups                       | Dose    | Mean ± Standard Error | Treatment      |
|---------------|------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|----------------|
|               |                              | (mg/kg) | (cells/cu.mm.blood)   | Efficiency (%) |
| Positive      | e Control (Cytosar Drug)     | 1.54    | 7200 ± 821 a          | -10.6          |
| Negativ       | ve Control (Distilled Water) | 0.00    | $8050 \pm 591$ a      |                |
| Negativ       | ve Control (Sunflower Oil)   | 0.00    | 9900 ± 208 b          |                |
|               | Aqueous                      | 83.9    | 7050 ± 412 a          | -12.4          |
| ts            | Aqueous                      | 167.8   | 7100 ± 551 a          | -11.8          |
| Sage Extracts | Aqueous                      | 251.7   | 7150 ± 126 a          | -11.2          |
| ge E          | Hexane                       | 83.9    | 8350 ± 550 b          | -15.7          |
| Sa            | Hexane                       | 167.8   | $9650 \pm 96$ b       | -2.25          |
|               | Hexane                       | 251.7   | 6450 ± 222 a          | -34.8          |

Table 4-3: Total lymphocyte count (mean ± standard error) in albino male mice treated with aqueous and hexane extracts of sage leaves, distilled water and sunflower oil (negative controls) and cytosar drug (positive control).

|               | Groups                       | Dose    | Mean ± Standard Error | Treatment      |
|---------------|------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|----------------|
|               |                              | (mg/kg) | (cells/cu.mm.blood)   | Efficiency (%) |
| Positive      | e Control (Cytosar Drug)     | 1.54    | 4629 ± 589 a          | -8.4           |
| Negativ       | re Control (Distilled Water) | 0.00    | 5054 ± 385 a          |                |
| Negativ       | re Control (Sunflower Oil)   | 0.00    | $6106 \pm 164$ b      |                |
|               | Aqueous                      | 83.9    | 4134 ± 199 a          | -18.2          |
| ts            | Aqueous                      | 167.8   | 4089 ± 274 a          | -19.1          |
| Sage Extracts | Aqueous                      | 251.7   | 4005 ± 178 a          | -20.8          |
| ge E          | Hexane                       | 83.9    | 5722 ± 386 b          | -6.3           |
| Sa            | Hexane                       | 167.8   | $6512 \pm 67$ b       | 6.6            |
|               | Hexane                       | 251.7   | $3783 \pm 78  a$      | -38.0          |

Table 4-4: Total neutrophil count (mean ± standard error) in albino male mice treated with aqueous and hexane extracts of sage leaves, distilled water and sunflower oil (negative controls) and cytosar drug (positive control).

|               | Groups                       | Dose    | Mean ± Standard Error | Treatment      |
|---------------|------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|----------------|
|               |                              | (mg/kg) | (cells/cu.mm.blood)   | Efficiency (%) |
| Positive      | e Control (Cytosar Drug)     | 1.54    | 1520 ± 125 a          | -23.8          |
| Negativ       | re Control (Distilled Water) | 0.00    | 1996 ± 88 a           |                |
| Negativ       | re Control (Sunflower Oil)   | 0.00    | $2625 \pm 110$ b      |                |
|               | Aqueous                      | 83.9    | $2520 \pm 147  b$     | 26.3           |
| ts            | Aqueous                      | 167.8   | $2268 \pm 237$ ab     | 13.6           |
| Sage Extracts | Aqueous                      | 251.7   | 2125 ± 87 a           | 6.5            |
| ge E          | Hexane                       | 83.9    | 1895 ± 118 a          | -27.8          |
| Sa            | Hexane                       | 167.8   | 2293 ± 110 ab         | -12.6          |
|               | Hexane                       | 251.7   | 2151 ± 136 ab         | -18.1          |

Table 4-5: Total monocyte count (mean ± standard error) in albino male mice treated with aqueous and hexane extracts of sage leaves, distilled water and sunflower oil (negative controls) and cytosar drug (positive control).

|               | Groups                       | Dose    | Mean ± Standard Error | Treatment      |
|---------------|------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|----------------|
|               |                              | (mg/kg) | (cells/cu.mm.blood)   | Efficiency (%) |
| Positive      | e Control (Cytosar Drug)     | 1.54    | 784 ± 134 a           | -7.7           |
| Negativ       | re Control (Distilled Water) | 0.00    | 849 ± 122 a           |                |
| Negativ       | e Control (Sunflower Oil)    | 0.00    | $968 \pm 104$ b       |                |
|               | Aqueous                      | 83.9    | $265 \pm 49$ c        | -68.8          |
| its           | Aqueous                      | 167.8   | $542 \pm 90$ d        | -36.2          |
| Sage Extracts | Aqueous                      | 251.7   | $736 \pm 91$ a        | -13.3          |
| ge E          | Hexane                       | 83.9    | $669 \pm 91$ a        | -30.9          |
| Sa            | Hexane                       | 167.8   | 797 ± 64 a            | -17.7          |
|               | Hexane                       | 251.7   | $455 \pm 59$ d        | -53.0          |

Table 4-6: Total eosinophil count (mean ± standard error) in albino male mice treated with aqueous and hexane extracts of sage leaves, distilled water and sunflower oil (negative controls) and cytosar drug (positive control).

|               | Groups                       | Dose    | Mean ± Standard Error | Treatment      |
|---------------|------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|----------------|
|               |                              | (mg/kg) | (cells/cu.mm.blood)   | Efficiency (%) |
| Positive      | e Control (Cytosar Drug)     | 1.54    | 251 ± 35 a            | 274.6          |
| Negativ       | ve Control (Distilled Water) | 0.00    | $67 \pm 44$ b         |                |
| Negativ       | ve Control (Sunflower Oil)   | 0.00    | $127 \pm 49$ c        |                |
|               | Aqueous                      | 83.9    | $94 \pm 37$ bc        | 40.3           |
| ts            | Aqueous                      | 167.8   | $186 \pm 35$ d        | 177.6          |
| Sage Extracts | Aqueous                      | 251.7   | $232 \pm 32$ ad       | 246.3          |
| ge E          | Hexane                       | 83.9    | $43 \pm 25$ b         | -66.1          |
| Sa            | Hexane                       | 167.8   | $25 \pm 25$ b         | -80.3          |
|               | Hexane                       | 251.7   | $48 \pm 29$ b         | -62.2          |

Table 4-7: Total basophil count (mean ± standard error) in albino male mice treated with aqueous and hexane extracts of sage leaves, distilled water and sunflower oil (negative controls) and cytosar drug (positive control).

|               | Groups                       | Dose    | Mean ± Standard Error | Treatment      |
|---------------|------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|----------------|
|               |                              | (mg/kg) | (cells/cu.mm.blood)   | Efficiency (%) |
| Positive      | e Control (Cytosar Drug)     | 1.54    | 18 ± 18 a             | -79.1          |
| Negativ       | ve Control (Distilled Water) | 0.00    | $86 \pm 34$ b         |                |
| Negativ       | ve Control (Sunflower Oil)   | 0.00    | $76 \pm 25$ b         |                |
|               | Aqueous                      | 83.9    | $38 \pm 22$ a         | -55.8          |
| ts            | Aqueous                      | 167.8   | 15 ± 15 a             | -82.6          |
| Sage Extracts | Aqueous                      | 251.7   | $53 \pm 18$ ab        | -38.4          |
| ge E          | Hexane                       | 83.9    | $22 \pm 22$ a         | -71.1          |
| Sag           | Hexane                       | 167.8   | $25 \pm 25$ a         | -67.1          |
|               | Hexane                       | 251.7   | 15 ± 15 a             | -80.0          |

Table 4-8: Phagocytic index of peritoneal cells (mean ± standard error) in albino male mice treated with aqueous and hexane extracts of sage leaves, distilled water and sunflower oil (negative controls) and cytosar drug (positive control) after 30 minutes incubation.

|               | Groups                       | Dose    | Mean ± Standard Error (%) | Treatment      |
|---------------|------------------------------|---------|---------------------------|----------------|
|               |                              | (mg/kg) |                           | Efficiency (%) |
| Positive      | e Control (Cytosar Drug)     | 1.54    | $36.00 \pm 1.68$ a        | -6.5           |
| Negativ       | ve Control (Distilled Water) | 0.00    | 38.50 ± 1.85 a            |                |
| Negativ       | ve Control (Sunflower Oil)   | 0.00    | $62.30\pm0.48  b$         |                |
|               | Aqueous                      | 83.9    | $67.75 \pm 0.48$ c        | 76.0           |
| ts            | Aqueous                      | 167.8   | $67.50 \pm 1.55$ c        | 75.3           |
| Sage Extracts | Aqueous                      | 251.7   | $53.80 \pm 1.11$ d        | 39.7           |
| ge E          | Hexane                       | 83.9    | $66.50 \pm 1.04$ c        | 6.7            |
| Sa            | Hexane                       | 167.8   | $54.25 \pm 1.11$ c        | -12.9          |
|               | Hexane                       | 251.7   | $85.75 \pm 0.85$ e        | 37.6           |

Table 4-9: Phagocytic index of peritoneal cells (mean ± standard error) in albino male mice treated with aqueous and hexane extracts of sage leaves, distilled water and sunflower oil (negative controls) and cytosar drug (positive control) after 60 minutes incubation.

|               | Groups                       | Dose    | Mean ± Standard Error (%) | Treatment      |
|---------------|------------------------------|---------|---------------------------|----------------|
|               |                              | (mg/kg) |                           | Efficiency (%) |
| Positive      | e Control (Cytosar Drug)     | 1.54    | 40.25 ± 2.14 a            | -16.6          |
| Negativ       | ve Control (Distilled Water) | 0.00    | $48.25 \pm 4.00$ b        |                |
| Negativ       | ve Control (Sunflower Oil)   | 0.00    | $74.00 \pm 1.68$ c        |                |
|               | Aqueous                      | 83.9    | $72.00 \pm 0.41$ c        | 49.2           |
| ts            | Aqueous                      | 167.8   | 74.25 ± 1.25 c            | 53.9           |
| Sage Extracts | Aqueous                      | 251.7   | 62.75 ± 1.11 d            | 28.5           |
| ge E          | Hexane                       | 83.9    | $71.25 \pm 0.48$ c        | -3.7           |
| Sa            | Hexane                       | 167.8   | 62.75 ± 1.11 d            | -15.2          |
|               | Hexane                       | 251.7   | $91.75 \pm 0.85$ e        | 24.0           |

Table 4-10: Metaphase index of bone marrow cells (mean ± standard error) in albino male mice treated with aqueous and hexane extracts of sage leaves, distilled water and sunflower oil (negative controls) and cytosar drug (positive control).

|               | Groups                       | Dose    | Mean ± Standard Error (%) | Treatment      |
|---------------|------------------------------|---------|---------------------------|----------------|
|               |                              | (mg/kg) |                           | Efficiency (%) |
| Positive      | e Control (Cytosar Drug)     | 1.54    | $2.35 \pm 0.04$ a         | -21.7          |
| Negativ       | ve Control (Distilled Water) | 0.00    | $3.00\pm0.14  b$          |                |
| Negativ       | ve Control (Sunflower Oil)   | 0.00    | $4.33 \pm 0.25$ c         |                |
|               | Aqueous                      | 83.9    | $3.43 \pm 0.92$ b         | 14.3           |
| ts            | Aqueous                      | 167.8   | $3.84 \pm 0.16$ bc        | 28.0           |
| Sage Extracts | Aqueous                      | 251.7   | $5.80 \pm 0.15$ c         | 93.3           |
| ge E          | Hexane                       | 83.9    | $5.54 \pm 0.10$ d         | 27.9           |
| Sa            | Hexane                       | 167.8   | $6.86 \pm 0.13$ e         | 58.4           |
|               | Hexane                       | 251.7   | $7.58 \pm 0.18$ e         | 75.1           |

|               | Groups                       | Dose    | Mean ± Standard Error (%) | Treatment      |
|---------------|------------------------------|---------|---------------------------|----------------|
|               |                              | (mg/kg) |                           | Efficiency (%) |
| Positive      | e Control (Cytosar Drug)     | 1.54    | $0.83 \pm 0.09$ a         | -37.6          |
| Negativ       | re Control (Distilled Water) | 0.00    | $1.33 \pm 0.10$ b         |                |
| Negativ       | e Control (Sunflower Oil)    | 0.00    | $2.56 \pm 0.23$ c         |                |
|               | Aqueous                      | 83.9    | $2.01 \pm 0.09$ d         | 51.1           |
| its           | Aqueous                      | 167.8   | $2.53 \pm 0.10$ c         | 90.2           |
| Sage Extracts | Aqueous                      | 251.7   | $2.74 \pm 0.12$ c         | 106.0          |
| ge E          | Hexane                       | 83.9    | $3.28 \pm 0.09$ e         | 28.1           |
| Sa            | Hexane                       | 167.8   | $3.76 \pm 0.05$ e         | 46.9           |
|               | Hexane                       | 251.7   | $4.41 \pm 0.21$ e         | 72.3           |

Table 4-11: Metaphase index of spleen cells (mean ± standard error) in albino male mice treated with aqueous and hexane extracts of sage leaves, distilled water and sunflower oil (negative controls) and cytosar drug (positive control).

Table 4-12: Micronucleus formation in bone marrow cells (mean ± standard error) of albino male mice treated with aqueous and hexane extracts of sage leaves, distilled water and sunflower oil (negative controls) and cytosar drug (positive control).

|               | Groups                      | Dose    | Mean ± Standard Error | Treatment      |
|---------------|-----------------------------|---------|-----------------------|----------------|
|               |                             | (mg/kg) | (micronucleus/cell)   | Efficiency (%) |
| Positive      | e Control (Cytosar Drug)    | 1.54    | $0.0238 \pm 0.0015$ a | 240.0          |
| Negativ       | e Control (Distilled Water) | 0.00    | $0.0070 \pm 0.0004$ b |                |
| Negativ       | e Control (Sunflower Oil)   | 0.00    | $0.0060 \pm 0.0004$ b |                |
|               | Aqueous                     | 83.9    | $0.0040 \pm 0.0004$ c | -42.9          |
| its           | Aqueous                     | 167.8   | $0.0023 \pm 0.0005$ c | -67.1          |
| Sage Extracts | Aqueous                     | 251.7   | $0.0030 \pm 0.0004$ c | -57.1          |
| ge E          | Hexane                      | 83.9    | $0.0030 \pm 0.0005$ c | -50.0          |
| Sa            | Hexane                      | 167.8   | $0.0050 \pm 0.0003$ c | -16.7          |
|               | Hexane                      | 251.7   | $0.0033 \pm 0.0006$ c | -45.0          |

Table 4-13: Sperm-head abnormalities (mean ± standard error) in albino male mice treated with aqueous and hexane extracts of sage leaves, distilled water and sunflower oil (negative controls) and cytosar drug (positive control).

|               | Groups                       | Dose    | Mean ± Standard Error (%) | Treatment      |
|---------------|------------------------------|---------|---------------------------|----------------|
|               |                              | (mg/kg) |                           | Efficiency (%) |
| Positive      | e Control (Cytosar Drug)     | 1.54    | 10.20 ±0.48 a             | 70.0           |
| Negativ       | ve Control (Distilled Water) | 0.00    | $6.00 \pm 0.63$ b         |                |
| Negativ       | ve Control (Sunflower Oil)   | 0.00    | 5.05±1.12 b               |                |
|               | Aqueous                      | 83.9    | $5.00 \pm 0.22$ b         | -16.7          |
| ts            | Aqueous                      | 167.8   | $5.50 \pm 0.17$ b         | -8.3           |
| Sage Extracts | Aqueous                      | 251.7   | $6.20 \pm 0.18$ b         | 3.3            |
| ee E          | Hexane                       | 83.9    | $6.63 \pm 0.31$ b         | 31.3           |
| Sag           | Hexane                       | 167.8   | 5.35 ± 0.13 b             | 5.9            |
|               | Hexane                       | 251.7   | $6.12 \pm 0.93$ b         | 21.2           |

Table 4-14: Total leucocyte count (mean ± standard error) in albino male mice after interactions (pre- and post-treatments) between the ideal dose (83.9 mg/kg) of aqueous and hexane extracts of sage leaves and cytosar drug.

|                                     | Mean ± Sta       | ndard Error       | Treatment Efficiency (%) |                |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Groups                              | (cells/cu.r      | nm.blood)         |                          |                |
|                                     | Pre-treatment    | Post-treatment    | Pre-treatment            | Post-treatment |
|                                     |                  |                   |                          |                |
| Control I (Distilled Water-Cytosar) | $8650 \pm 430$ a | $10225 \pm 210$ a |                          |                |
| Control II (Sunflower Oil-Cytosar)  | $8150 \pm 435$ a | $7000 \pm 510$ b  |                          |                |
| Aqueous Plant Extract (Ideal Dose)- | 8000 ± 337 a     | $9100 \pm 92$ c   | -7.5                     | -11.0          |
| Cytosar                             |                  |                   |                          |                |
| Hexane Plant Extract (Ideal Dose)-  | $6600 \pm 216$ b | $8550\pm250~~d$   | -19.0                    | 22.1           |
| Cytosar                             |                  |                   |                          |                |

Table 4-15: Total lymphocyte count (mean ± standard error) in albino male mice after interactions (pre- and post-treatments) between the ideal dose (83.9 mg/kg) of aqueous and hexane extracts of sage leaves and

cytosar drug.

|                                     | Mean ± Sta        | ndard Error       | Treatment Efficiency (%) |                |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Groups                              | (cells/cu.n       | nm.blood)         |                          |                |
|                                     | Pre-treatment     | Post-treatment    | Pre-treatment            | Post-treatment |
| Control I (Distilled Water-Cytosar) | $5586 \pm 305$ a  | 7643 ± 163 a      |                          |                |
| Control II (Sunflower Oil-Cytosar)  | $6899 \pm 440  b$ | $5955\pm373~b$    |                          |                |
| Aqueous Plant Extract (Ideal Dose)- | $5000 \pm 225$ a  | $5436\pm73$ b     | -10.5                    | -28.9          |
| Cytosar                             |                   |                   |                          |                |
| Hexane Plant Extract (Ideal Dose)-  | 4782 ± 137 a      | $6195 \pm 149  b$ | -30.7                    | 4.0            |
| Cytosar                             |                   |                   |                          |                |

Table 4-16: Total neutrophil count (mean ± standard error) in albino male mice after interactions (pre- and post-treatments)between the ideal dose (83.9 mg/kg) of aqueous and hexane extracts of sage leaves and cytosar drug.

|   | Mean ± Sta       | andard Error     | Treatment Efficiency (%) |                |
|---|------------------|------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Groups                                    | (cells/cu.       | mm.blood)        |                          |                |
|   | Pre-treatment    | Post-treatment   | Pre-treatment            | Post-treatment |
| Control I (Distilled Water-Cytosar)       | 2270 ± 111 a     | 1790 ± 76 a      |                          |                |
| Control II (Sunflower Oil-Cytosar)        | $849 \pm 33$ b   | $610 \pm 44$ b   |                          |                |
| Aqueous Plant Extract (Ideal Dose)-       | $2162 \pm 148$ a | $3186 \pm 169$ c | -4.8                     | 78.0           |
| Cytosar                                   |                  |                  |                          |                |
| Hexane Plant Extract (Ideal Dose)-Cytosar | $1405 \pm 104$ c | $1759 \pm 208$ a | 65.5                     | 188.4          |

Table 4-17: Total monocyte count (mean ± standard error) in albino male mice after interactions (pre- and post-treatments) between the ideal dose (83.9 mg/kg) of aqueous and hexane extracts of sage leaves and cytosar drug.

|                                     | Mean ± Sta     | ndard Error     | Treatment Efficiency (%) |                |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Groups                              | (cells/cu.r    | nm.blood)       |                          |                |
|                                     | Pre-treatment  | Post-treatment  | Pre-treatment            | Post-treatment |
| Control I (Distilled Water-Cytosar) | $409 \pm 10$ a | $536 \pm 45$ a  |                          |                |
| Control II (Sunflower Oil-Cytosar)  | $343 \pm 34$ a | $405 \pm 67$ ab |                          |                |
| Aqueous Plant Extract (Ideal Dose)- | $717 \pm 19$ b | $250 \pm 43$ b  | 75.3                     | -53.4          |
| Cytosar                             |                |                 |                          |                |
| Hexane Plant Extract (Ideal Dose)-  | 328 ± 17 a     | $386 \pm 76$ ab | -4.4                     | -4.7           |
| Cytosar                             |                |                 |                          |                |

Table 4-18: Total eosinophil count (mean ± standard error) in albino male mice after interactions (pre- and post-treatments) between the ideal dose (83.9 mg/kg) of aqueous and hexane extracts of sage leaves and cytosar drug.

|                                     | Mean ± Standard Error      |   |                    | Treatment Efficiency (%) |                |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Groups                              | (cells/cu.mm.blood)        |   |                    |                          |                |
|                                     | Pre-treatment Post-treatme |   | Post-treatment     | Pre-treatment            | Post-treatment |
| Control I (Distilled Water-Cytosar) | $280 \pm 16  a \qquad 13$  |   | 154.7 ± 32.0 a     |                          |                |
| Control II (Sunflower Oil-Cytosar)  | $38 \pm 22$                | b | $73.5\pm34.8  b$   |                          |                |
| Aqueous Plant Extract (Ideal Dose)- | 80 ± 3                     | b | 159.3 ± 43.2 a     | -71.4                    | 3.0            |
| Cytosar                             |                            |   |                    |                          |                |
| Hexane Plant Extract (Ideal Dose)-  | $69 \pm 40$                | b | $148.5 \pm 40.3$ a | 81.6                     | 102.0          |
| Cytosar                             |                            |   |                    |                          |                |

Table 4-19: Total basophil count (mean ± standard error) in albino male mice after interactions (pre- and post-treatments)between the ideal dose (83.9 mg/kg) of aqueous and hexane extracts of sage leaves and cytosar drug.

|                                     | Mean ± Sta    | undard Error      | Treatment Efficiency (%) |                |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Groups                              | (cells/cu.i   | nm.blood)         |                          |                |
|                                     | Pre-treatment | Post-treatment    | Pre-treatment            | Post-treatment |
| Control I (Distilled Water-Cytosar) | 152 ± 23 a    | $102.3 \pm 2.1$ a |                          |                |
| Control II (Sunflower Oil-Cytosar)  | $23 \pm 23$ b | $57.0\pm39.6 b$   |                          |                |
| Aqueous Plant Extract (Ideal Dose)- | $42 \pm 24$ b | $68.8\pm22.9 b$   | -72.4                    | -32.7          |
| Cytosar                             |               |                   |                          |                |
| Hexane Plant Extract (Ideal Dose)-  | $18 \pm 18$ b | $63.0\pm40.9  b$  | -21.7                    | 10.5           |
| Cytosar                             |               |                   |                          |                |

Table 4-20: Phagocytic index of peritoneal cells (mean ± standard error) in albino male mice after interactions (pre- and post-treatments) between the ideal dose (83.9 mg/kg) of aqueous and hexane extracts of sage leaves and cytosar drug after 30 minutes incubation.

|                                     | Mean ± Sta    | andard Error       | Treatment Efficiency (%) |                |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Groups                              | (9            | %)                 |                          |                |
| Groups                              | Pre-treatment | Post-treatment     | Pre-treatment            | Post-treatment |
| Control I (Distilled Water-Cytosar) | 64.75±1.66 a  | $25.00 \pm 1.08$ a |                          |                |
| Control II (Sunflower Oil-Cytosar)  | 36.25±1.49 b  | $23.50 \pm 1.44$ a |                          |                |
| Aqueous Plant Extract (Ideal Dose)- | 59.25±1.11 c  | $70.75\pm0.85  b$  | -8.5                     | 180.0          |
| Cytosar                             |               |                    |                          |                |
| Hexane Plant Extract (Ideal Dose)-  | 39.75±0.85 b  | $40.00 \pm 1.96$ c | 9.7                      | 70.2           |
| Cytosar                             |               |                    |                          |                |

Table 4-21: Phagocytic index of peritoneal cells (mean ± standard error) in albino male mice after interactions (pre- and post-treatments) between the ideal dose (83.9 mg/kg) of aqueous and hexane extracts of sage leaves and cytosar drug after 60 minutes incubation.

|                                     | Mean ± Star                                  | ndard Error        | Treatment Efficiency (%) |                |
|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Groups                              | (%   | <b>)</b> )         |                          |                |
|                                     | Pre-treatment                                | Post-treatment     | Pre-treatment            | Post-treatment |
| Control I (DistilledWater-Cytosar)  | $62.00 \pm 1.08  a \qquad 37.50 \pm 2.60  a$ |                    |                          |                |
| Control II (Sunflower Oil-Cytosar)  | 49.00±0.41 b                                 | $44.25\pm2.25  b$  |                          |                |
| Aqueous Plant Extract (Ideal Dose)- | 67.75±0.85 c                                 | $76.00 \pm 0.91$ c | 9.3                      | 102.7          |
| Cytosar                             |  |                    |                          |                |
| Hexane Plant Extract (Ideal Dose)-  | 54.75±1.25 d                                 | $58.25 \pm 0.85$ d | 11.7                     | 31.6           |
| Cytosar                             |  |                    |                          |                |

Table 4-22: Arthus reaction (mean ± standard error) in albino male mice after type I- treatment with the ideal dose of aqueous and hexane extracts of sage leaves, distilled water and sunflower oil (negative controls) and cytosar drug (positive control).

|                 | Groups          | Dose    | Mean ± Standard Error (mm) | Treatment Efficiency (%) |
|-----------------|-----------------|---------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
|                 |                 | (mg/kg) |                            |                          |
| ract            | Distilled water | 0.00    | $1.28 \pm 0.09$ a          |                          |
| Aqueous Extract | Cytosar         | 1.54    | $0.64 \pm 0.06$ b          | -50.0                    |
| Aquec           | Extract         | 83.9    | $1.48 \pm 0.05$ c          | 15.6                     |
| act             | Sunflower Oil   | 0.00    | $1.11 \pm 0.04$ a          |                          |
| Hexane Extract  | Cytosar         | 1.54    | $0.64 \pm 0.06$ b          | -42.3                    |
| He              | Extract         | 83.9    | 1.18 ± 0.03 a              | 6.3                      |

Table 4-23: Arthus reaction (mean ± standard error) in albino male mice after type II- treatment with the ideal dose of aqueous and hexane extracts of sage leaves, distilled water and sunflower oil (negative controls) and cytosar drug (positive control).

|                 | Groups          | Dose    | Mean ± Standard Error (mm) | Treatment Efficiency (%) |
|-----------------|-----------------|---------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
|                 |                 | (mg/kg) |                            |                          |
| ract            | Distilled water | 0.00    | $1.25 \pm 0.06$ a          |                          |
| Aqueous Extract | Cytosar         | 1.54    | $1.04 \pm 0.07$ ab         | -17.0                    |
| Aquec           | Extract         | 83.9    | $1.15 \pm 0.06$ ab         | -8.0                     |
| act             | Sunflower Oil   | 0.00    | $0.89 \pm 0.04$ b          |                          |
| Hexane Extract  | Cytosar         | 1.54    | $1.04 \pm 0.07$ ab         | 16.9                     |
| He              | Extract         | 83.9    | $0.69 \pm 0.04$ b          | -22.5                    |

Table 4-24: Delayed type hypersensetivity (mean ± standard error) in albino male mice after type I- treatment with the ideal dose of aqueous and hexane extracts of sage leaves, distilled water and sunflower oil (negative controls) and cytosar drug (positive control).

|                 | Groups          | Dose    | Mean ± Standard Error (mm) |   | Treatment Efficiency (%) |
|-----------------|-----------------|---------|----------------------------|---|--------------------------|
|                 |                 | (mg/kg) |                            |   |                          |
| ract            | Distilled water | 0.00    | $1.43 \pm 0.13$            | a |                          |
| Aqueous Extract | Cytosar         | 1.54    | $0.58 \pm 0.11$            | b | -59.4                    |
| Aquec           | Extract         | 83.9    | $1.67 \pm 0.11$            | a | 16.8                     |
| act             | Sunflower Oil   | 0.00    | $0.46\pm0.06$              | b |                          |
| Hexane Extract  | Cytosar         | 1.54    | 0.58 ± 0.11                | b | 26.1                     |
| Hex             | Extract         | 83.9    | $0.83\pm0.03$              | С | 80.4                     |

Table 4-25: Delayed type hypersensetivity (mean ± standard error) in albino male mice after type II- treatment with the ideal dose of aqueous and hexane extracts of sage leaves, distilled water and sunflower oil (negative controls) and cytosar drug (positive control).

|                 | Groups          | Dose    | Mean ± Standard Error (mm) |   | Treatment Efficiency (%) |
|-----------------|-----------------|---------|----------------------------|---|--------------------------|
|                 |                 | (mg/kg) |                            |   |                          |
| tract           | Distilled water | 0.00    | $0.55\pm0.06$              | a |                          |
| Aqueous Extract | Cytosar         | 1.54    | $0.89\pm0.04$              | b | 61.8                     |
| Aquec           | Extract         | 83.9    | $1.20 \pm 0.04$            | С | 118.3                    |
| lct             | Sunflower Oil   | 0.00    | $0.58 \pm 0.15$            | a |                          |
| Hexane Extract  | Cytosar         | 1.54    | $0.89 \pm 0.04$            | b | 53.4                     |
| Hex             | Extract         | 83.9    | 1.09 ± 0.04                | с | 87.9                     |

Table 4-26: Plaque forming cell (mean ± standard error) in albino male mice after type I- treatment with the ideal dose of aqueous and hexane extracts of sage leaves, distilled water and sunflower oil (negative controls) and cytosar drug (positive control).

| Groups          |                 | Dose    | Mean ± Standard Error (mm) | Treatment Efficiency (%) |
|-----------------|-----------------|---------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
|                 |                 | (mg/kg) |                            |                          |
| Aqueous extract | Distilled water | 0.00    | $34.13 \pm 0.43$ a         |                          |
|                 | Cytosar         | 1.54    | $9.38 \pm 0.63$ b          | -72.5                    |
|                 | Extract         | 83.9    | $61.63 \pm 2.25$ c         | 80.6                     |
| Hexane Extract  | Sunflower Oil   | 0.00    | $45.38 \pm 0.63$ d         |                          |
|                 | Cytosar         | 1.54    | $9.38 \pm 0.63$ b          | -79.3                    |
|                 | Extract         | 83.9    | $61.13 \pm 0.83$ c         | 34.7                     |

Table 4-27: Plaque forming cell (mean ± standard error) in albino male mice after type II- treatment with the ideal dose of aqueous and hexane extracts of sage leaves, distilled water and sunflower oil (negative controls) and cytosar drug (positive control).

| Groups          |                 | Dose    | Mean ± Standard Error (mm) | Treatment Efficiency (%) |
|-----------------|-----------------|---------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
|                 |                 | (mg/kg) |                            |                          |
| Aqueous Extract | Distilled water | 0.00    | $29.38 \pm 0.85$ a         |                          |
|                 | Cytosar         | 1.54    | $19.38 \pm 1.03$ b         | -34.0                    |
|                 | Extract         | 83.9    | $50.25 \pm 0.85$ c         | 71.1                     |
| Hexane Extract  | Sunflower Oil   | 0.00    | $39.38 \pm 0.63$ d         |                          |
|                 | Cytosar         | 1.54    | $19.38 \pm 1.03$ b         | -50.8                    |
|                 | Extract         | 83.9    | $53.25 \pm 2.29$ c         | 35.2                     |

Table 4-28: Metaphase index of bone marrow cells (mean ± standard error) in albino male mice after interactions (pre- and post-treatments) between the ideal dose (83.9 mg/kg) of aqueous and hexane extracts of sage leaves and cytosar drug.

|                                     | Mean ± Sta        | andard Error      | Treatment Efficiency (%) |                |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Groups                              | (9                | %)                |                          |                |
|                                     | Pre-treatment     | Post-treatment    | Pre-treatment            | post-treatment |
| Control I (Distilled Water-Cytosar) | $2.01 \pm 0.16$ a | $1.37 \pm 0.11$ a |                          |                |
| Control II (Sunflower Oil-Cytosar)  | $2.34 \pm 0.14$ a | $2.11\pm0.08  b$  |                          |                |
| Aqueous Plant Extract (Ideal Dose)- | $4.52\pm0.16  b$  | $3.63 \pm 0.30$ c | 125.0                    | 165.0          |
| Cytosar                             |                   |                   |                          |                |
| Hexane Plant Extract (Ideal Dose)-  | $3.60 \pm 0.09$ c | $3.30 \pm 0.14$ c | 53.8                     | 56.4           |
| Cytosar                             |                   |                   |                          |                |

Table 4-29: Metaphase index of spleen cells (mean ± standard error) in albino male mice after interactions (pre- and post-treatments) between the ideal dose (83.9 mg/kg) of aqueous and hexane extracts of sage and cytosar drug.

|                                     | Mean ± Sta        | ndard Error       | Treatment Efficiency (%) |                |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Groups                              | (%                | 6)                |                          |                |
|                                     | Pre-treatment     | Post-treatment    | Pre-treatment            | Post-treatment |
| Control I (Distilled Water-Cytosar) | $1.08 \pm 0.11$ a | $0.68 \pm 0.09$ a |                          |                |
| Control II (Sunflower Oil-Cytosar)  | $1.14 \pm 0.05$ a | $1.47\pm0.11  b$  |                          |                |
| Aqueous Plant Extract (Ideal Dose)- | $2.22\pm0.16 b$   | $1.44 \pm 0.11$ b | 105.6                    | 111.8          |
| Cytosar                             |                   |                   |                          |                |
| Hexane Plant Extract (Ideal Dose)-  | $2.17\pm0.09~b$   | $2.14 \pm 0.09$ c | 90.4                     | 45.6           |
| Cytosar                             |                   |                   |                          |                |

Table 4-30: Micronucleus formation in bone marrow cells (mean ± standard error) of albino male mice after interactions (pre- and post-treatments) between the ideal dose (83.9 mg/kg) of aqueous and hexane extracts of sage leaves and cytosar drug.

|                                     | Mean ± Standard Error |                       | Treatment Efficiency (%) |                |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Groups                              | (micronucleus/cell)   |                       |                          |                |
|                                     | Pre- treatment        | Post- treatment       | Pre-treatment            | Post-treatment |
| Control I (Distilled Water-Cytosar) | 0.0073±0.0009 a       | 0.0160±0.0009 a       |                          |                |
| Control II (Sunflower Oil-Cytosar)  | $0.0238 \pm 0.0015$ b | 0.0168±0.0011 a       |                          |                |
| Aqueous Plant Extract (Ideal Dose)- | $0.0013 \pm 0.0003$ c | $0.0043 \pm 0.0005$ b | -82.2                    | -73.1          |
| Cytosar                             |                       |                       |                          |                |
| Hexane Plant Extract (Ideal Dose)-  | 0.0123±0.0011 d       | $0.0120 \pm 0.0009$ c | -48.3                    | -28.6          |
| Cytosar                             |                       |                       |                          |                |

Different letters in the same column: significant difference ( $P \le 0.05$ ) between means.

Table 4-31: Sperm-head abnormalities (mean ± standard error) of albino male mice after interactions (pre- and post-treatments) between the ideal dose (83.9 mg/kg) of aqueous and hexane extracts of sage and cytosar drug.

|                                     | Mean ± Standard Error |                    | Treatment Efficiency (%) |                |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Groups                              | (%)                   |                    |                          |                |
|                                     | Pre-treatment         | Post-treatment     | Pre-treatment            | Post-treatment |
| Control I (Distilled Water-Cytosar) | $6.50 \pm 0.21$ a     | $8.15 \pm 0.35$ a  |                          |                |
| Control II (Sunflower Oil-Cytosar)  | 12.56±0.71 b          | $12.00 \pm 0.53$ b |                          |                |
| Aqueous Plant Extract (Ideal Dose)- | $5.25 \pm 0.22$ a     | $7.65 \pm 0.25$ a  | -19.2                    | -6.1           |
| Cytosar                             |                       |                    |                          |                |
| Hexane Plant Extract (Ideal Dose)-  | $6.75 \pm 0.30$ a     | $6.60 \pm 0.27$ c  | -46.3                    | -45.0          |
| Cytosar                             |                       |                    |                          |                |

Different letters in the same column: significant difference ( $P \le 0.05$ ) between means.

 Table (4-32): Micronucleus formation in lymphocyte cultures (treated with sage leaves aqueous extract ) of acute lymphoid leukemia patients and healthy controls.

|                               | u                        | Micronucl               | leus/cell         |             |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
|                               | Concentration<br>(µg/ml) | (Mean ± Standard Error) |                   |             |
| Groups                        | ncentrat<br>(µg/ml)      | Healthy Controls        | Leukemia patients | Probability |
|                               | Col                      | (No. = 5)               | (No. = 5)         | <u> </u>    |
| Negative Controls (Untreated) | 0.0                      | 0.0140±0.0011 A         | 0.0236±0.0023 A   | 0.05        |
| Positive Controls (cytosar)   | 125.0                    | 0.0180±0.0013 B         | 0.0230±0.0013 A   | 0.05        |
| Sage                          | 250                      | 0.0104±0.0002 C         | 0.0144±0.0009 B   | 0.05        |
| (Aqueous Extract)             | 500                      | 0.0076±0.0002 D         | 0.0098±0.0009 C   | 0.05        |
|                               | 1000                     | 0.0038±0.0005 D         | 0.0062±0.0004 D   | 0.05        |
| Sage                          | 250                      | 0.0138±0.0007 A         | 0.0190±0.0007 B   | 0.001       |
| (Aqueous Extract) + Cytosar   | 500                      | 0.0110±0.0003 C         | 0.0148±0.0009 B   | 0.05        |
|                               | 1000                     | 0.0086±0.0004 D         | 0.0108±0.0007 B   | 0.05        |

Different letters in the same column: significant difference ( $P \le 0.05$ ) between means.

## **Chapter One: Introduction**

## **1-1: Introduction**

Herbs are plants or plant parts that are valued for their medicinal and savory qualities. They contain and can produce a variety of chemical substances that have different biological actions, with a special reference to their medicinal importance. Therefore, they are employed by herbalists of different cultures, anciently and recently, to remedy peoples of their sicknesses (Anderson, 1977). This theme has encouraged scientists to investigate the medicinal uses of plants and their derivatives, and their efforts have been fruitful in producing drugs to treat a wide range of human diseases. Therefore, the list of drugs that are of a herbal origin is in an increase, and the World Health Organization.(WHO) has established that out of 119 plant-derived pharmaceutical medicines, about 74% are used in modern medicine in ways that correlate directly with their traditional uses as plant target for such medicines due to the facts that the incidence of these diseases is increased in a way, which is a proportional with the complications of modern life (Sarasin, 2003).

The aetiology of cancer is multifactorial, and an interaction between genetic and environmental factors is required to initiate the disease (Goldman *et al.*,1999). The environmental factors are able to induce a mutation(s) in the genetic material of the cell, and such event may promote the cell to undergo a carcinogenic transformation (Raj *et al.*, 1983). So, the mutation is a prerequisite for carcinogenesis, and any material that can interact with the mutation-induced agent or the genetic material may modulate the mutational effect. The medicinal plant and/or their derivatives may act on these pathways, therefore, they have been divided into desmutagens and bioantimutagens (Samejma *et al.*, 1995), and their antimutagenic effects are exerted either on the mutation to prevent fixation of mutation of the mutant, or the genetic material to prevent fixation of mutation (i.e. stimulating DNA repair mechanisms) (Samejma *et al.*, 1998).

Saliva officinalis is one of the medicinal plants, and researches have revealed its anti-mutagenic and anti-carcinogenic potentials, and such medicinal implications have been ascribed to more than 160 types of phenolic compounds that have been described in different species of the plant. The plant is known as sage in Western world, while in Arabian regions is known as Merameya. It is shrub-like plant, which is classified under the family Lamiaceae (Lu and Foo, 2002). Sage is a further subject of medicinal plant researches, and in folkloric medicine, the plant is advised to be used in the treatment of colds, abdominal pain and fever, while in anti-mutagen and anti-carcinogen researches, the plant chemical compounds have shown promising results (Gali-Muhtasib *et al.*, 2000; Lima *et al.*, 2005).

## 1-2: Aims of study

The present project was designed to evaluate the immunological and cytogenic effects of two extracts (aqueous and hexane) of *S. officinalis* leaves in albino male mice. Interactions between the plant extracts and cytosar (anti-leukemia drug) were also made to evaluate their action in modulating the drug effects. The parameters of evaluation were:

## • Immunological parameters

- 1. Total and differential count of Leucocytes.
- 2. Phagocytosis.
- 3. Arthus reaction and Delayed type hypersensitivity.
- 4. Plaque forming cells.

## • Cytogenetic parameters

- 1. Metaphase index.
- 2. Micronucleus formation.
- 3. Sperm-head abnormalities.

The assay of micronucleus formation was further evaluated in cultures of blood cells obtained from patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. However, only the aqueous extract was investigated in this respect.

# **Chapter Three Materials and Methods**

## **3-1: Materials**

The general laboratory equipments and chemicals, which were employed in the present study, are presented in tables 3-1 and 3-2, respectively.

| Equipments        | Company / Country           |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Autoclave         | SES little Sister / England |
| Centrifuge        | Beckman / England           |
| incubator         | Memmert / Germany           |
| Digital camera    | Mercury / China             |
| Electric balance  | Sartorius / Germany         |
| Hemocytometer     | Neubauer / Germany          |
| Laminar air flow  | Napco / France              |
| Micropipette      | Gilson / France             |
| Microscope        | Motic / Japan               |
| Oven              | Osaw / India                |
| pH meter          | Radiometer / Denmark        |
| Rotary evaporator | Buchi / Switzerland         |
| Soxhlet           | Electrothermol / England    |
| Vernier           | Japan                       |
| Vortex            | Griffin / England           |
| Water bath        | Gallenkamp / England        |

Table 3-1: General laboratory equipments.

Table 3-2: Chemical materials.

| Chemical Material                | Company / Country           |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Agarose                          | Sigma / USA                 |
| Ammonium chloride                | BDH / England               |
| Calcium chloride                 | BDH / England               |
| Chloroform                       | BDH / England               |
| Citric acid                      | BDH / England               |
| Dextrose                         | Fluka / Switzerland         |
| Eosin                            | BDH / England               |
| Ethanol                          | Ferak / Germany             |
| Foetal calf serum                | Sigma / USA                 |
| Giemsa stain                     | Fluka / Switzerland         |
| Glacial acetic acid              | Fluka / Switzerland         |
| Glycerin                         | Fluka / Switzerland         |
| Heparin                          | Leo Pharmaceutical /Denmark |
| Hepes                            | Sigma / USA                 |
| Hydrochloric acid                | Sigma / USA                 |
| Magnesium sulfate di-hydrate     | Fluka / Switzerland         |
| Methanol                         | Fluka / Switzerland         |
| Penicillin and Streptromycin     | Sigma / USA                 |
| Potassium chloride               | Sigma / USA                 |
| Potassium di-hydrogen Phosphate  | BDH / England               |
| Potassium hydroxide              | Sigma / USA                 |
| Potassium monohydrogen phosphate | BDH / England               |
| RPMI 1640 medium                 | Sigma / USA                 |
| Sodium bicarbonates              | BDH / England               |
| Sodium citrate                   | Sigma / USA                 |
| Sodium hydroxide                 | Sigma / USA                 |
| Trypan blue                      | Sigma/USA                   |

## **3-2: The Plant Sage (Salvia officinalis L.)**

## **3-2-1: Plant Collection and Identification**

Dr. Khulood W. AL-Samarraei (Biotechnology Research Centre, Al-Nahrain University) supplied the aireal parts of plant (leaves) as dried material, which was imported from Jordan. She also identified the plant as *Salvia officinalis*.

## **3-2-2: Preparation of Plant Extracts**

The plant was extracted with two types of solvents, which were distilled water or hexane. In both cases, the extracted part of the plant was leaves. The leaves were air-dried, and then powdered using a coffee grinder. Fifty grams of the leaf powder were extracted for three hours in 250 ml of the solvent (distilled water or hexane) using the soxhlet apparatus and the source of heating was a warm water bath (45°C). The leaf extract solution was then evaporated at 45°C using a rotary evaporator, and the resultant crude extract was frozen at -20°C until use to prepare the required doses and concentrations (Nadir *et al.*, 1986).

## **3-2-3: Sage Doses and Concentrations**

The plant extracts (aqueous and hexane) were assessed in two biological models, which were a mammalian model (albino mouse; *in vivo*) and an *in vitro* blood culture model (human blood cells). In the mammalian model, three doses of the two extracts were used (83.9, 167.8 or 251.7 mg/kg). These doses were correspondent to 10, 20 and 30%, respectively of the LD<sub>50</sub> dose in mice (839 mg/kg) of the same genus (*Saliva*) but for a different species (*S. libanotica*) (Farahat *et al.*, 2001). To prepare these doses, the dried aqueous extract was dissolved in distilled water, while for hexane extract, the plant extract was dissolved in sunflower oil.

In human cultures, only the aqueous extract was assessed, in which, three concentrations (250, 500 or 1000  $\mu$ g/ml) of the plant extract were tested. These

concentrations have shown immuno-modulatory activities using the *in vitro* mitogenic and comitogenic rat thymocyte tests (Ebringerova *et al.*, 2003).

## **3-3: Solutions**

## **1-** Colchicine

One tablet (0.5 mg) of colchicine (Ibn Hayan/Syria) was dissolved in 1 ml of sterilized normal saline (Allen *et al.*, 1977).The solution was freshly used.

### 2- Potassium chloride (KCl) hypotonic solution (0.075M)

Potassium chloride (5.75g) was dissolved in 1000 ml of distilled water, and then the solution was autoclaved (121°C, 1.05 pound /  $in^2$ , 20 minutes) and stored at 4°C (Allen *et al.*, 1977).

#### **3-** Potassium chloride (KCl) hypotonic solution (0.1 M)

The solution was prepared by dissolving 7.45 g of KCl in 1000 ml of distilled water, and then autoclaved and stored at 4°C until used (Al-Sudany, 2005).

#### 4. Leucocyte diluent

The solution was prepared by adding 2 ml of glacial acetic acid to 98 ml of distilled water, in addition to a few drops of methylene blue as a colour indicator (Sood, 1986).

## 5. Normal saline (0.9% NaCl)

A ready prepared solution (Jadda Company, Kingdom of Saudia Arabia) was used. The solution was supplied by the Baghdad Teaching Hospital.

## 5- Fixative solution

The solution was freshly prepared by mixing 3 parts of absolute methanol with 1 part of glacial acetic acid (Patton, 1967).

## 6- Sodium bicarbonate

Sodium bicarbonate (7.5 g) was dissolved in 100 ml of distilled water, and the solution was stored at  $4^{\circ}$ C (Allen *et al.*, 1977).

## 7- Alsever's solution

Dextrose (20 g), sodium citrate (8 g), citric acid (0.55 g) and sodium chloride (4.2 g) were dissolved in 500 ml of distilled water, after adjusting the pH to 6.1, the volume was made up to 1000 ml, the solution was filter-sterilized, and stored at  $4^{\circ}$ C (Hudson and Hay, 1989).

## 8- Giemsa stain

Giemsa stock solution was prepared by dissolving one gram of Giemsa powder in 33 ml glycerin using water bath (60°C) for 2 hours with a continuous shaking. After cooling the solution for 30 min at room temperature, 66 ml of absolute methanol were added with a continuous mixing. The solution was then kept in a dark bottle at room temperature (Allen *et al.*, 1977).

To prepare Giesma stain working solution, the following solutions were mixed:

- Giemsa stock solution: 1 ml
- Absolute methanol 1.25 ml
- Sodium bicarbonate solution 0.5 ml
- Distilled water 40 ml

#### 9-Eosin stain

The stain was prepared by dissolving 1gm of eosin yellowish powder in 100 ml of distilled water. The stain was centrifuged (2000 rpm for 10 minutes) before use (Wyrobek and Bruce, 1975).

## **10-Ammonium chloride (NH<sub>4</sub>Cl)**

Ammonium chloride (8.7 g) was dissolved in 1000 ml of distilled water, and then the solution was autoclaved and stored at 4°C (Ad'hiah, 1990).

#### **11-Antibiotic Solutions**

Streptomycin solution was prepared by dissolving 1g of streptomycin sulphate in 10 ml of distilled water, while benzyl penicillin was prepared by dissolving 1000000 IU in 10 ml of distilled water. Both solutions were filter-sterilized (Millipore filter; 0.22  $\mu$ m), and divided into aliquots (1 ml), and then stored at -20°C until use (Freshney, 2000).

#### 12-RPMI – 1640 medium

RPMI-1640 medium base (10 g), sodium bicarbonate (2 g) and hepes (4 g) were dissolved in 500 ml of distilled water, and then 100 ml of heat inactivated foetal calf serum, 5 ml of penicillin (100000 IU/ml) and 5 ml of streptomycin (100 mg/ml) were added. The volume was made up to 1000 ml with distilled water, and the pH was adjusted to 7.2. The medium was filter-sterilized using Millipore filter (0.22  $\mu$ m), and then divided into aliquots (2 ml) and stored in the freezer (4°C) until used (Nara and McCulloch, 1985).

#### **13-Heat-killed Yeast Suspension**

The yeast *Sacchoromyces cerevisiae* (Pakmaya Company, Turkey) was used to prepare the yeast suspension (Metcalf *et al.*, 1986). The method is outlined as follows:

- 1- Ten grams of the yeast were suspended in 150 ml of sterile normal saline.
- 2- The suspension was heated in a boiling water bath for 60 minutes.
- 3- After cooling the suspension at room temperature, it was filtered using double layers of gauze.
- 4- The filtered cell suspension was assessed for yeast cell viability by dye exclusion test (trypan blue) to assure that all cells were dead.
- 5- The cell suspension was divided into aliquots (5 ml) after adjusting the cell count to  $10^7$  cell / ml, and stored at -20°C until use.

#### 14-Human Plasma

The National Blood Transfusion Centre in Baghdad supplied the human AB plasma. The plasma was transferred to the laboratory in an ice box.

In the laboratory, the plasma was divided into aliquots (5 ml) in sterile test tubes. Some of these tubes were stored in the freezer (-20°C) until use in the phagocytosis assay (Metcalf *et al.*, 1986). The other tubes were placed in a water bath (56°C) for 30 minutes to inactivate the complement, and then stored at -20°C until use in the micronucleus assay (Schmid, 1976). In both cases, the plasma was filter-sterilized.

## **15-Gunia Pig Serum**

The blood (5 ml) was collected from gunia pig by heart puncture in a sterile test tube using a disposable syringe, and left at 4°C for 30 minutes to agglutinate. Then the tube was centrifuged (2000 rpm) for 10 minutes, and the serum was collected and stored at -20°C until used. One ml of the serum was diluted up to 10 ml with HEPES-BSS (Solution No. 17) in the plaque forming cell assay (Myres, 1995).

#### 16-Sheep Red Blood cell (SRBC) Suspension

The procedure of Myers (1995) was followed to prepare the SRBC suspension.

- 1- The blood (5 ml) was collected in a sterile test tube from the jugular vein and diluted with an equal volume of Alsever's solution.
- 2- The diluted blood was left in the refrigerator (4°C) overnight.
- 3- The blood was centrifuged (1000 rpm) for 15 minutes, and the plasma and buffy coat were discarded.
- 4- The SRBC pellet was collected and washed with HEPES-BSS at least three times or until the supernatant was clear, and in each wash the centrifugation speed was 2000 rpm and for 5 minutes. After that, the deposited cells were suspended in HEPES-BSS as required, and stored in the refrigerator (4°C) until used.

## 17- HEPES-Buffered Balanced Salt Solution (HEPES-BSS)

Six stock solutions were prepared as follows:

- First solution: Phosphate buffer was made by dissolving 22.9 g of KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> and 19.5 g of K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> in 950 ml of distilled water. The pH was adjusted to 7.2 with KOH, and the volume was made up to 1000 ml.
- Second solution: HEPES buffer was prepared by dissolving 80 g of HEPES and 13.4 g of NaOH in 950 ml of distilled water. The pH was adjusted to 7.2 with NaOH, and the volume was made up to 1000 ml.
- **Third solution**: Sodium chloride (9.83 g) was dissolved in 950 ml of distilled water and the volume was made up to 1000 ml.
- Fourth solution: Potassium chloride (12.5 g) was dissolved in 950 ml of distilled water and the volume was made up to 1000 ml.
- **Fifth solution**: Calcium chloride (12.45 g) was dissolved in 950 ml of distilled water and the volume was made up to 1000 ml.
- Sixth solution: Magnesium sulfate (41.3 g) was dissolved in 950 ml of distilled water and the volume was made up to 1000 ml.

The HEPES-BSS working solution was prepared by adding the above stock solutions in the following proportions:

10 ml of first solution
30 ml of second solution
605 ml of third solution
20 ml of fourth solution
15 ml of fifth solution
5 ml of sixth solution

The solution was filter-sterilized (Millipore filter, 22  $\mu$ m) and stored at 4°C (Myers, 1995).

### **18-Leishman Stain**

The Institute of Sera and Vaccine (Baghdad) supplied a ready prepared stain kit.

## 19-Phytohemagglutinin (PHA)

The Iraqi Center for Cancer and Genetic Research (Al-Mustansryiah University) and the Agriculture Research Centre (Ministry of Biotechnology) supplied the PHA as a ready solution.

## **20-Heparin**

The Baghdad Teaching Hospital supplied the solution of heparin (5000 IU/ml), which was the product of Leo Pharmaceutical (Denmark).

#### **21-Trypan blue**

One gram of trypan blue powder was dissolved in 100 ml of normal saline. The stain solution was filtred (Whattman filter paper No.3) before use (Ad'hiah, 1990).

#### 22- Dose and Concentration of the Drug Cytosar

The Baghdad Teaching Hospital supplied the drug cytosar (Cytarabine), which was the product of Pharmacia Company (Belgium).

In the mammalian model, a dose of 1.54 mg/kg was tested. Such dose is the recommended dose in the treatment of leukemia in humans (Company Leaflet). While in human blood cultures, a concentration of 125  $\mu$ g/ml was used. Such concentration has been found to be genotoxic in human blood cultures (Ramakers-van Woerden *et al.*, 2000). In both cases, the drug solution was diluted with distilled water to prepare the required dose and concentration.

## **3-4: Laboratory Animals**

Albino Swiss male mice (*Mus musculs*) were the laboratory animals. They were supplied by the Biotechnology Research Centre (Al-Nahrain University). Their age at the start of experiments was 8-10 weeks, and their weight was 23-27 gram. They were divided into groups, and each group was kept in a separate plastic cage (details of these groups are given in the section of experimental design). The animals were maintained at a temperature of  $23 - 25^{\circ}$ C, and they had free excess to food (standard pellets) and water (*ad libitum*).

## **3-5: Experimental Design**

The experiments were designed to evaluate (*in vivo*) the genetic and immunological effects of sage extracts (aqueous and hexane) in albino male mice, as well as, their role in modulating the genetic and immunological effects of the anti-leukemia drug cytosar. Therefore, such evaluations were carried out through three stages.

## 3-5-1: First Stage

In this stage, the genetic and Immunological effects of three doses of sage extracts (aqueous or hexane) and cytosar were investigated. Therefore, the animals were divided into five groups:

- **Group I**: The animals were treated with distilled water (negative controls of aqueous extract = 8 animals).
- **Group II**: The animals were treated with sunflower oil (negative controls of hexane extract = 8 animals).
- **Group III**: The animals were treated with cytosar at a dose of 1.54 mg/kg (positive controls = 8 animals).
- **Group IV**: The animals were treated with three doses of the aqueous extract (83.9, 167.8 or 251.7 mg/kg) (24 animals).
- **Group V**: The animals were treated with three doses of the hexane extract (83.9, 167.8 or 251.7 mg/kg) (24 animals).

The tested materials were injected subcutaneously as a single dose (0.1 ml) per a day and for 7 days. Then the mice were sacrificed in day 8 for laboratory assessments. The total number of mice in this stage was 72 animals.

## 3-5-2: Second Stage

In this stage, interactions (pre- and post-treatments) between the ideal dose of both extracts (83.9 mg/ kg) and cytosar (1.54 mg/kg) were carried out. The criterion of selection for the ideal dose was based on the approximation between the values of total leucocyte count in the extract-treated animals and negative controls.

• In pre-treatment interaction, the plant extract (aqueous or hexane) was given for 6 days (single dose/day), while cytosar was given in day 7, and then animals were sacrificed in day 8 for laboratory assessments. In both cases, the material was given subcutaneously

(0.1 ml). The total number of mice in this interaction was 32 animals.

• In post-treatment interaction, the animals was given cytosar on day one, while the plant extract (aqueous or hexane) was given in day 2 till day 7 (single dose/day), and then animals were sacrificed in day 8 for laboratory assessments. In both cases, the material was given subcutaneously (0.1 ml). The total number of mice in this interaction was 32 animals.

For both treatments, control groups were paralleled the two types of interactions, in which the plant extract was replaced by either distilled water (aqueous extract) or sunflower oil (hexane extract).

## 3-5-3: Third Stage

This experiment was carried out to assess three types of immunological reactions (Arthus reaction, delayed type hypersensitivity reaction and plaque forming cell assay) in mice that were immunized intraperitoneally with 0.1 ml of 10% SRBC suspension. These reactions were assessed in the animals after a subcutaneous injection (0.1 ml) of the plant ideal dose (83.9 mg/kg for both extracts), cytosar (1.54 mg/kg), distilled water and sunflower oil in two types of immunization-interaction regimes (type I and type II treatments). Details of the two types of treatments are given in tables 3-3 and 3-4, respectively.

Table 3-3: Immunization-interaction regimes between SRBC and the ideal dose of aqueous and hexane extract, cytosar, distilled water and sunflower oil in albino male mice (type I treatment).

| Days    | Material of Injection (M)   |  |  |
|---------|---|--|--|
| 1-7     | M (either aqueous extract, hexane extract, cytosar, distilled water or        |  |  |
|         | sunflower oil)  |  |  |
| 8       | Sheep Red Blood Cells (SRBC)  |  |  |
| 9,10,11 | No injection  |  |  |
| 12      | SRBC  |  |  |
| 13,14   | No injection  |  |  |
| 15      | Arhtus Reaction   |  |  |
| 16      | Delayed type hypersensitivity + plaque forming cells                          |  |  |
| Total 1 | Total number of animals = $20$ mice (4 animal for each material of injection) |  |  |

Table 3-4: Immunization-interaction regimes between SRBC and the ideal dose of aqueous and hexane extracts, cytosar, distilled water and sunflower oil in albino male mice (type II treatment).

| Days    | Material of Injection (M)   |  |  |
|---------|---|--|--|
| 1-4     | M (either aqueous extract, hexane extract, cytosar, distilled water or        |  |  |
|         | sunflower oil)  |  |  |
| 5       | M + SRBC  |  |  |
| 6-8     | М   |  |  |
| 9       | M + SRBC  |  |  |
| 10-11   | М   |  |  |
| 12      | Arhtus Reaction   |  |  |
| 13      | Delayed type hypersensitivity + plaque forming cells                          |  |  |
| Total 1 | Total number of animals = $20$ mice (4 animal for each material of injection) |  |  |

## **3-6: Laboratory Methods**

## **3-6-1: Chemical Analysis of Plant Extracts**

The chemical analysis of plant extracts (aqueous and hexane) were carried out at the College of Pharmacology (University of Baghdad) to detect the following compounds:

- Alkaloids, saponins, terpenes, flavonoids and glycosides (aqueous extract).
- Steroids (hexane extract)

## **3-6-2: Total Leucocyte Count**

Blood samples were collected by heart puncture using a disposable insulin syringe (1 ml) precoated with heparin. The method of Haen (1995) was followed, in which, an aliquot of 0.02 ml blood was mixed with 0.38 ml of leucocyte diluent in a test tube, and left at room temperature for 5 minutes. A drop of the mixture was applied to the surface of Neubauer chamber under the cover slip, and the chamber was left for 3 minutes to settle the cells. The leucocytes were counted in 4 large squares (each with 16 small squares), and the total count of leucocytes was obtained using the following equation:

Total Count (cell/cu.mm.blood) = 
$$\left(\frac{\text{Number of Cells Counted}}{4}\right) \times 20 \times 10^{-10}$$

## **3-6-3: Differential Count of Leucocytes**

One drop of blood was smeared on a clean slide using another slide and left to dry at room temperature. The smear was stained with Leishman stain for 5 minutes and buffered for 10 minutes, and then washed with tap water. The slide was air-dried, and then examined under oil immersion lens (100X) (Haen, 1995). At least 100 leucocytes were examined, and the percentage of each type was recorded, while the total count of each type was obtained using the following equation:

Total Count (cell/cu.mm.blood) = 
$$\left(\frac{\text{Percentage of Cells x Total Count}}{100}\right)$$

## **3-6-4:** Phayocytic Index

The evaluation of phagocytosis was carried out on phagocytes obtained from the peritoneum of mice. The procedure of Metcalf *et al.*, (1986) was followed with some modifications.

- 1- The animal was anaesthetized with chloroform, and then injected intraperitoneially with 3 ml of normal warm saline (37°). After that, the abdominal region was massaged for 3 minutes.
- 2- The animal was dissected, and the peritoneal cells were collected with a pasture pipette and transferred to a clean test tube.
- 3- The tube was centrifuged (2000 rpm/minutes) for 5 minutes.
- 4- The cells were suspended in 1 ml of normal saline, counted and their number was adjusted to  $10^6$  cell /ml. Also, the cell viability was assessed using trypan blue stain.
- 5- To carry out phagocytosis, 0.2 ml of cell suspension, 0.1 ml of heatkilled yeast suspension and 0.1 ml of human plasma AB were mixed in a test tube and incubated in a shaking water bath (37°C).
- 6- After 30 and 60 minute incubations, smears were made and the slides were air-dried, and then stained with Giemsa stain for 15 minutes.
- 7- The slides were examined under oil immersion lens (100X), and at least 100 yeast-phagocytic and non-phagocytic cells were randomly counted.
   The phagocytic activity was expressed as a phagocytic index, which was calculated using the following equation:

Phagocytic Index (%) = 
$$\left(\frac{\text{Number of Phagocytic Cells}}{\text{Total Count}}\right) \times 100$$

## **3-6-5: Metaphase Index Assay**

Metaphase index was determined for cells obtained from bone marrow and spleen, following the procedure of Allen *et al.*, (1977). Each animal was injected intraperitoneally with 0.25 ml of colchicine solution, and after 1.5 - 2hours, the animal was sacrificed by cervical dislocation and then dissected to obtain femur bone and spleen. The femur bone was cut from both ends, and its cellular contents were collected in a test tube using a disposable insulin syringe (1 ml) and normal physiological saline (5 ml). The spleen was placed in a Petri dish containing 5 ml normal physiological saline, and then punctured several times with the needle of insulin syringe. The cellular content was obtained by repeated infusions of saline in the spleen, and by then the cell suspension (5 ml) was transferred to a test tube.

The cells of both organs were manipulated in a similar way, and as follows:

- 1- The cells were gently suspended using Pasteur pipette, and the tubes were centrifuged (2000 rpm/min) for 10 minutes.
- 2- The supernatant was discarded, and the cell deposit was suspended in 5 ml of a warm (37°C) hypotonic solution (KCl; 0.075 M). Then the tubes were incubated in a water bath (37°C) for 30 min with a gentle shaking every 5 minutes.
- 3- The tubes were centrifuged at 2000 rpm/min for 10 minute, and the supernatant was discarded.
- 4- Five ml of the fixative solution was added a drop-wise to the cell deposit with a gentle and a continuous mixing to make a homogeneous cell suspension. Then, the tubes were incubated in the refrigerator (0°C) for 30 minutes,
- 5- The tubes were centrifuged (2000 rpm/min) for 10 minutes, and step 4 was repeated two times.

- 6- The cell deposit was well-suspended in 2 ml of the fixative, and 4-5 drops of the cell suspension were dropped on a clean slide from a height of about two feet.
- 7- The slide was air-dried at room temperature, and by then it was stained with Giemsa stain for 15 minutes and rinsed with distilled water.
- 8- The slide was examined under oil emersion lens (100X), and at least 1000 cells were examined. The percentage of metaphase cells (metaphase index) was recorded using the following equation:

Metaphase Index (%) = 
$$\left(\frac{\text{Number of Metaphase Cells}}{\text{Total Count}}\right) \times 100$$

## **3-6-6: Micronucleus Formation Assay**

To carry out the assessment of micronucleus formation, the procedure of Schmid (1976) was followed, which is outlined in the following steps:

- 1. The mouse was sacrificed by cervical dislocation, and then dissected to obtain the femur bone. After cutting both ends of the bone, it was grapped from the middle with a forceps in a vertical position over the edge of a test tube, and then the cellular content was collected with a heat inactivated (56°C for 30 minutes) human AB plasma (2 ml) using a disposable insulin syringe.
- 2. The test tube was centrifuged (1000 rpm) for 10 minutes, and the supernatant was discarded.
- 3. The cellular deposit was gently mixed, and a thin smear was made on a clean slide, and air-dried at room temperature.
- 4. The smear was fixed with absolute methanol for 5 minutes, and then air-dried at room temperature.
- 5. The smear was stained with Giemsa stain for 15 minutes, and rinsed with distilled water.

6. The slides were examined under oil immersion lens (100X), and at least 1000 polychromatic erythrocytes (PCE) were examined for the presence of micronucleus formation. The micronucleus index was obtained using the following equation:

Micronucleus Index (micronucleus/cell) = 
$$\left(\frac{\text{Number of Micronuclei}}{\text{Total Count of PCE}}\right) \times 100$$

## **3-6-7: Sperm-head Abnormality Assay (SHA)**

The mouse was sacrificed by cervical dislocation and then dissected to obtain the epididymis, which was collected in as Petri-dish containing 5 ml of normal saline. The epididymis was dispersed with a forceps and a scalpel to free the spermatozoa. The spermatozoa-containing saline was transferred to a test tube, which was centrifuged (1000 rpm) for 10 minutes. The supernatant was discarded, and the spermatozoa deposit was gently suspended in 1 ml of normal saline. A thin smear of the suspension was made on a clean slide, which was then air-dried at room temperature. The slide was stained with eosin for 5 minutes, rinsed with distilled water and air-dried. The slide was examined under oil immersion lens (100X), and at least 1000 spermatozoa were inspected for the morphology of their heads (Wyrobek and Bruce, 1975). The sperm-head abnormality (SHA) index was scored using the following equation:

SHA Index (%) = 
$$\left(\frac{\text{Number of Spermatozoae with Abnormal Head}}{\text{Total Count}}\right) \times 100$$

## **3-6-8:** Arthus Reaction

After the immunization regimes presented in tables (3-3) and (3-4), the left foot pad was injected intradermally with 0.05 ml of 10% SRBC, while the right foot pad was injected with 0.05 ml of normal saline. After four hours, the thickness of both pads was measured using a vernier, and the difference

represented Arthus reaction index, which was given in units of millimeter (mm) (Triolo *et al.*, 1989).

## **3-6-9: Delayed Type Hypersensitivity**

The index of delayed type hypersensitivity (DTH) was carried out as outlined for Arthus reaction index, but the difference between the thicknesses of both pads was measured after 24 hours.

## **3-6-10: Plaque Forming Cell Assay**

The procedure of Myers (1995) was followed to determine the plaque forming cells (PFC). After carrying out the DTH index, the mouse was sacrificed, dissected and the spleen was collected in a Petri-dish containing 5 ml of HEPES-BSS. The spleen was dispersed to free its cells, which were collected in a test tube. The test tube was centrifuged (2000 rpm) for 5 minutes and the supernatant was then discarded, and the cells were suspended in 10 ml of NH<sub>4</sub>Cl. The cell suspension was incubated in a water bath at 37°C for 10 minutes, and then the cells were washed three times with HEPES-BSS, and in each wash the centrifugation speed was 2000 rpm (5 minutes). After the third wash, the cell deposit was gently suspended in 1 ml of HEPES-BSS, and the cell count was adjusted to  $2.5 \times 10^7$  cell/ml. The cell suspension was kept in an ice bath until the determination of PFC, which required a preparation of two agarose solutions.

The agarose under-layer was prepared by dissolving 1.5 gm of agarose in 100 ml of HEPES-BSS, using a boiling water bath. Then, the solution was cooled to 45°C and divided into aliquots (2 ml) in test tubes. The agarose overlayer was prepared in a similar way, but the amount of agarose was 0.7 gm. Both solutions were autoclaved and then kept in the refrigerator (4°C) until use.

To carry out the PFC assay, the tubes of both agarose layers were transferred to a warm water bath ( $45^{\circ}$ C) to melt the agarose. The agarose underlayer was poured in a disposable Petri-dish (1.5 x 6.0 mm) to make a thin supporting layer, and then the dish was transferred to the refrigerator (4°C) to solidify the agarose. While, the agarose over-layer was mixed gently with 0.5 ml of spleen cell suspension and 0.2 ml of 15% SRBC suspension, and the mixture was applied to the surface of agarose under-layer in the Petri-dish. The dish was first incubated in the refrigerator (4°C) for 15 minutes, and then it was further incubated in a water bath (37°C) for 60 minutes. By then, 2 ml of diluted Guinea pig serum (1:10 with HEPES-BSS) were added, and a further 60 minutes incubation in the water bath was carried out.

The Petri-dish was examined under a 10X immersion lens, and an inspection of cells that lysed SRBC was carried out. These cells were recognized by a zone of lysis around them. At least, 100 cells (with lysis zone and without) were selected randomly for examination, and the percentage of cells that lysed SRBC (PFC) was scored using the following equation:

PFC Index (%) = 
$$\left(\frac{\text{Number of Cells with Lysis Zone}}{\text{Total Count}}\right) \times 100$$

# **3-6-11:** Micronucleus Formation in the Blood of Leukemia Patients **3-6-11-1:** Subjects

The subjects of this assay were patients (5 subjects) with acute lymphoid leukemia (ALL), which were referred to the Baghdad Teaching Hospital for diagnosis and treatment. The diagnosis was based on a clinical examination and laboratory evaluations, which were carried out by the consultant medical staff at the hospital. The patients were Iraqi Arabs, and their age range was 25-40 years. They were firstly diagnosed (January-April, 2006), and none of them was under treatment. A further five healthy subjects (control group) were also investigated. They were university staff and students that had no history or signs of leukemia, and matched with patients for ethnic background and age.

Peripheral blood (5 ml) was obtained under aseptic conditions from each subject by a venepuncture using a disposable syringe precoated with heparin. The blood sample was placed in a cool box and transferred to the laboratory.

## **3-6-11-2: Treated Groups**

This experiment was designed to assess *in vitro* the effects of three concentrations of sage aqueous extract (250, 500 or 1000  $\mu$ g/ml) and one concentration of cytosar (125  $\mu$ g/ml) in inducing micronucleus formation in the cultured blood cells of patients and controls. Therefore, eight cultures were set–up for each subject:

- Culture Number 1: The culture was treated with 250µg/ml of sage aqueous extract.
- Culture Number 2: The culture was treated with 500  $\mu$ g/ml of sage aqueous extract.
- Culture Number 3: The culture was treated with 1000  $\mu$ g/ml of sage aqueous extract.
- Culture Number 4: The culture was treated with 125 µg/ml of cytosar.
- **Culture Number 5**: The culture was not treated with any material (negative control).
- Culture Number 6: An interaction between sage aqueous extract (250 μg /ml) and cytosar (125 μg/ml) was made.
- Culture Number 7: An interaction between sage aqueous extract (500 μg /ml) and cytosar (125 μg/ml) was made.
- **Culture Number 8**: An interaction between sage aqueous extract (1000µg/ml) and cytosar (125 µg/ml) was made.

## **3-6-11-3: Micronucleus Test Cultures**

The procedure of Al-Sudany (2005) was followed, in which, 2 ml of RPMI-1640 culture medium were supplemented with 0.1-0.3 ml of PHA, and

then 0.5 ml of blood was added to the culture tube (cultures number 4 and 5), together with 0.1 ml of the plant extract (culture numbers 1, 2, 3, 6, 7 and 8). The eight cultures were incubated at 37°C for 72 hours, while in cultures numbers 4, 6, 7 and 8, 0.1 of cytosar (125  $\mu$ g/ml) was added after a period of 24 hours incubation, and, then the incubation continued up to 72 hours as in the rest of cultures.

After 72 hours incubation, the culture tubes were centrifuged (800 rpm) for 5 minutes, and then the supernatant was discarded and the cell deposit was gently suspended in 5 ml of a warm (37°C) hypotonic KCl solution (0.1M). The cell suspension was incubated in a water bath (37°C) for 30 minutes with a gentle mixing every 5 minutes. Then, the suspension was centrifuged (800 rpm) for 5 minutes, and the supernatant was discarded. The deposit was suspended in a few drops of a cold fixative (4°C), and the volume was made up to 5 ml with the fixative. The fixed cell suspension was incubated in the refrigerator (4°C) for 30 minutes, and after that, it was centrifuged (800 rpm) for 5 minutes. The process of fixation was repeated two times, and by then the cells was suspended in 1 ml of the fixative. The fixed cells were smeared on a clean slide, and left for air-drying. The slide was stained with Giemsa stain for 15 minutes, and then rinsed with distilled water, and finally it was air-dried.

The slide was examined under oil immersion lens (100X), and the cells were inspected for the formation of micronucleus. A total of 1000 cells was randomly examined, and the micronucleus index was scored using the following equation:

Micronucleus index (micronucleus/cell) = 
$$\left(\frac{\text{Number of Micronuclei}}{\text{Total Count of Cells}}\right) \times 100$$

## **3-7: Statistical Analysis**

The values of the investigated parameters were given in terms of mean  $\pm$  standard error, and differences between means were assessed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Duncan test, using the computer programme SPSS version 7.5.The difference was considered significant when the probability value was equal or less than 0.05.

A further estimation was also given; it was treatment efficiency (Perez-Serrano *et al.*, 1997), which was calculated according to the following equation:

Treatment efficiency = 
$$\left(\frac{A - B}{B}\right) \times 100$$

A = Treated groups (plant extracts or cytosar).

B = Negative control groups (distilled water or sunflower oil).

## Chapter Two Literature Review

## **2-1: Medicinal Plants**

Plants are the oldest friends of mankind. They not only provided food and shelter but also served the humanity to cure different ailments, and according to the world health organization (WHO), about three-quarters of the world population relies upon traditional remedies (mainly herbs) for the health care of its people (Calixto, 2005). Historians from all around the world have produced evidence to show that apparently all primitive peoples used herbs, and often in a sophisticated way. Quinine from Cinchona bark was used to treat the symptoms of malaria long before the disease was identified, and the raw ingredients of a common or garden aspirin tablets were a popular painkiller for far longer than we have had access to tablet-making machinery (Pieters and Vlietinick, 2005). By the middle of the nineteenth century at least 80% of all medicines were derived from herbs. Even today, many pharmacological classes of drugs include a natural product prototype. Aspirin, atropine, artimesinin, colchicine, digoxin, ephedrine, morphine, physostigmine, pilocarpine, quinine, quinidine, reserpine, taxol, tubocurarine, vincristine, and vinblastine are a few examples of what medicinal plants have given us in the past (Gurib-Fakim, 2005). Most of these plant-derived drugs were originally discovered through the study of traditional cures and folkloric knowledge of indigenous people and some of these could not be substituted despite the enormous advancement in synthetic chemistry (Gilani and Atta-ur-Rahman, 2005). In this regard, sage (Salvia officinalis) is a further subject of medicinal plants, and the literatures are rich in overwhelming us with its medicinal uses (Bolta et al., 2000; Ninomiya et al., 2004; Lima et al., 2005).

## 2-2: The Plant Sage (Salvia officinalis L.)

Numerous species of the genus *Salvia* have been employed since ancient times in folkloric medicine and subjected to an extensive pharmacognostic

research to identify their biologically active compounds. Sage has a very long history of effective medicinal uses and is an important domestic herbal remedy for disorders of the digestive system. Its antiseptic qualities make it an effective gargle for the mouth where it can heal sore throats and ulcers. The leaves applied to an aching tooth will often relieve the pain. The whole herb is antihydrotic, antiseptic, antispasmodic, astringent, carminative, stimulant, tonic and vasodilator. Sage is also used internally in the treatment of excessive lactation, night sweats, excessive salivation (as in Parkinson's disease), profuse perspiration (as in tuberculosis), anxiety, depression, female sterility and menopausal problems (Phillips and Foy, 1990). Externally, it is used to treat insect bite, skin, throat, mouth and gum infections and vaginal discharge. The essential oil from the plant is used in small doses to remove heavy collections of mucous from the respiratory organs and mixed in embrocations for treating rheumatism. In larger doses, however, it can cause epileptic fits and giddiness. The leaves make excellent tooth cleaners, have antiseptic properties and can heal diseased gums (Genders, 1994).

## 2-2-1: Common Names and Classification

In English literature, several common names are used to describe *S*. *officinalis*, for instance, sage, garden sage, narrow-leaved white sage, meadow sage, true sage, scarlet sage, broad-leaved white sage and kitchen sage (Simon *et al.*,1984). However, from the point view of taxonomists, the plant follows the following classification (Internet I):

## Kingdom:

#### Plantae

Sub-kingdom: Tracheobionta Superdivision: Spermatophyta Division: Magnoliophyta Class: Magnoliopsida Sub-class: Asterdia

Order: Lamiales Family: Lamiaceae (labiates) Genus: *Salvia* Species: *Salvia officinalis* L.

## 2-2-2: Plant Description and Distribution

Sage is a herbaceous annual plant with a strong root. The stem is sturdy and branched with length between 15-30 cm. Leaves are gray to silver-green with a velvety texture. The flowers are white, blue or purple, which bloom from late winter to early summer. Each flower has four corolla and a calyx smaller than the corolla with purple tints, while the ovary has two fusion parts and the peel consists of four nutlets, each one contains one seed (Figure 2-1) (Jones and Luchsinger, 1987).



Figure 2-1: The plant sage (S. officinalis) (Internet II).

The plant is distributed in tropical regions and it is indigenous in Southern Europe, in which it is planted for its medicinal and garden purposes. The plant is also cultivated and collected from the wild in Albania, Turkey, Italy, Greece, Spain and the United States of America. In Iraq, the plant is distributed in different regions, but it is more common in Western regions (Chada, 1976; Simon *et al.*, 1984).

## 2-2-3: Chemical Constituents

Chemical analyses of sage revealed that the plant is rich in the following constituents:

- Essential oils: The principal components are monoterpenoids, such as αthujone, β-thujone, camphor and 1,8-cineole, together with sesquiterpenes such as α-humulene, β-caryophyllene and viridiflorol (Pitatevic *et al.*, 1984; Lawrence, 1992).
- Hydroxycinnamic acid derivatives: Principally, they are presented by caffeic acid dimer rosmarinic acid. Caffeic acid trimers (melitric acid A, methyl melitrate A, sage coumarin and salvianolic acid K) and a tetramer (sagerinic acid) have also been isolated. Collectively, these and similar compounds are sometimes described as "tannins". However, they are not genuine tannins in the sense of condensed tannins (proanthocyanidins) or hydrolysable tannins (gallo- and ellagitannins). Other hydroxycinnamic compounds are also present, including 6-feruloyl-glucose and a polyalcohol derivative of it, three hydroxycinnamic esters of disaccharides and free caffeic acid (Lu *et al.*, 1999).
- Phenolic diterpenes: Carnosic acid, a tricyclic diterpene, occurs in the • fresh leaves and to some extent in the dried leaves and certain types of extracts. However, carnosic acid is fairly unstable and readily autooxidises to form lactones, especially the bitter-tasting lactone carnosol. In turn, carnosol can degrade further to produce other phenolic diterpenes with such as rosmanol, epirosmanol, 7lactone structures. methoxyrosmanol and galdosol, which have been identified in sage leaves (Kavvadias et al., 2003).

- Triterpenes: They occur as pentacyclic triterpene acids, which are mainly ursolic acid and oleanolic acid, and the triterpene alcohols α- and β-amyrin (Wang *et al.*, 2000).
- **Flavonoids**: Principally, they are flavones and their glycosides (Lu and Foo, 2000).
- **Phenolic glycosides**: They represent a diverse range including, picein (4-hydroxyacetophenone glucoside), 4-hydroxyacetophenone 4-(6'-apiosyl)-glucoside, *cis* and *trans-p*-coumaric acid 4-(2'-apiosyl)-glucoside, isolariciresinol 3-glucoside and 1-hydroxypinoresinol 1-glucoside (Wang *et al.*, 2000).
- **Polysaccharides**: Crude fractions rich in water-soluble arabinogalactans, high molecular weight pectin and glucuronoxylan-related polysaccharides have been isolated from the aerial parts of sage (Capek *et al.*, 2003).
- Other constituents include small amounts of benzoic acid derivatives (*p*-hydroxybenzoic, gentisic, syringic and other acids) and phytosterols (β-sitosterol and stigmasterol) (Kennedy *et al.*, 2006).

## 2-2-4: Biological Potentials

Several biological potentials have described for different extracts of sage or its natural products. They can be summarized in the following:

• Antioxidant activity: The leaf extracts exhibit strong antioxidant activity, largely attributable to various phenolic constituents including phenolic diterpenes such as carnosol and hydroxycinnamic acid derivatives, notably rosmarinic acid (Lamaison *et al.*, 1991; Wang *et al.*, 2000). In a carotene bleaching test, the antioxidative activity of a dry acetone extract from leaves was found to be 101-116% of that of the synthetic antioxidant butylated hydroxytoluene (Dapkevicius *et al.*, 1998). Lipid peroxidation in both enzyme-dependent and enzyme-independent test systems were

inhibited more effectively by a dry 50%-methanolic extract from aerial parts of leaves than by  $\alpha$ -tocopheryl acid succinate (as a positive control). The antioxidant activity was attributed mainly to phenolic compounds, rosmarinic acid being the main contributor due to its high concentration in the extract (Hohmann *et al.*, 1999; Zupko *et al.*, 2001). Recently, it has been demonstrated that the leaf aqueous extract of sage can improve the antioxidant status of livers in mice and rats (Lima *et al.*, 2005).

- Antimicrobial activity: Antiviral, antibacterial and antifungal activities of sage have been demonstrated. With respect to antiviral potentials, extracts of the plant were effective in the treatment of hepatitis B virus (Xiong, 1993), vesicular stomatitis viral infection (Sivropoulou *et al.*, 1997) and *Herpes labialis* (Saller *et al.*, 2001). As antibacterial, different extracts of sage were effective against different Gramnegative, as well as, Gram-positive bacteria (*Bacillus subtilits, Echerichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, Salmonella typhimurium, Proteus mirabilis* and *Morganella morganii*) (Hammer *et al.*, 1999; Bariceviv *et al.*, 2001; Pereira *et al.*, 2004; Rios and Recio, 2005). Similarly, different fungus species (*Botrytis cinerea, Ehrysanthemum morifolium, Trichophyton mentagrophytes* and *Epidermophyton floccosum*) showed a sensitive response to the volatile oils extracted from sage (Catra *et al.*, 1996; Al-Khaphagi, 2000).
- Antimutagenic and antitumor activities: *Salvia* is considered as plant that has chemical constituents with antimutagenic and antitumour potentials. In this regard, 18 compounds were isolated from the species *Salvia miltiorrhizae* and tested for their cytotoxicity *in vitro* against cancer cells from colon, lung, ovary and skin. The results revealed that such compounds were effective in inhibiting the proliferation of these cells (Sato *et al.*, 1992: Ryue *et al.*, 1997). Such findings were further confirmed on mice with induced skin tumor, and the conclusion

favoured that the plant compounds are cytostatic rather than cytotoxic (Gali-Muhtasib and Affra, 2000). However, cytotoxic and DNAdamaging effects of diterpenoid quinones from the roots of sage on cultures of colonic and hepatic human cells have been demonstrated *in vitro* (Santos-Gomes *et al.*, 2002). Furthermore, sage extracts with in vivo antimutagenic effects have also been reported (Vujosevic and Blagojevic, 2005).

• Immunological activity: Immunostimulant and anti-inflammatory properties have been suggested *in vitro* and *in vivo* for extracts and compounds of sage. It was demonstrated that sage extracts (hexane or chloroform) inhibit induced ear edema in mice by Croton oil, therefore anti-inflammatory effects were suggested (Baricevic *et al.*, 2001). Isolated polysaccharides from sage leaves were effective in enhancing the lymphocyte transformation (Capek *et al.*, 2003), while Ebringerova *et al.*, (2003) considered the sage polysaccharides as immune modulators with a high adjuvant activity. In a further study, the plant aqueous extract of aerial parts showed mitogenic and comitogenic activities on human peripheral blood lymphocytes and rat thymocytes (Capek and Hribalova, 2004).

## 2-3: Leukemia

Leukemias are group of malignant disorders of the haematopoietic tissues that are characteristically associated with increased numbers of leucocytes in bone marrow and/or peripheral blood. The course of leukemia may vary from a few days or weeks to many years, depending on the type of disease (John, 2000).

## 2-3-1: Incidence of Leukemia

The worldwide incidence of all types of leukemia in the populations is approximately 10 per 1000000 per year. Males are affected more frequently than female at ratio of 3:2 in acute leukemia and 2:1 in chronic leukemia. Acute lymphoid leukemia accounts for 20-25% of all reported cases of leukemia, and 60-70% of these cases occur in children, while only 20% of cases occur in adults. In contrast, acute myeloid leukemia is almost a disease of adults (Haen, 1995; Pekham *et al.*, 1995; Behrman *et al.*, 1998; Edward and Boucher, 1999).

## 2-3-2: Aetiology

The aetiology of leukemia is unknown but several lines of evidence do suggest that the disease is a result of interactions between several factors:

I: Viral infections: Virally induced tumors are well-recognized, and shared expressed antigens by tumors induced by the same virus have been documented. For instance, when mice are injected with killed cells from a particular polyoma-induced tumor, the recipients are protected against a subsequent challenge with live cells from any polyoma-induced tumor. Additionally, in human Burkitts lymphoma, cells have been shown to express nuclear antigen of Epstein-Barr virus (Ricard, 2000)

**II: Ionizing radiations:** X-ray and other ionizing radiations are the first agents, which are associated with an increased risk of leukemia. This was apparent in the survivors of atomic bomb explosion in Hiroshima and Nagasaki, furthermore, an evidence of low dose irradiation induced leukemia has been presented (Henshaw *et al.*, 1990). Moreover, patients who received radiotherapy for malignant disease may have a greater chance to develop leukemia (Granfield and Bunch, 1995).

**III. Chemicals:** Different types of chemical have been suspected as a cause of leukemia. For instance, benzene and petroleum derivatives, alkylating agents, paints, herbicides and pesticides have been associated with increased risks of leukemia (Freibert and Susan, 1998)

**IV-Genetic factors:** Family based evidences have suggested that identical twins have much more chance to develope the disease when compared with the general population (Behrman *et al.*, 1998). In agreement with this scope, genetic studies of several leukemias have identified a number of genes that must be mutated in order to trigger the development of leukemia or maintain the growth of malignancy. In this regard, the term oncogenes has been introduced, and the products of such mutated DNA sequence play a role in the formation and growth of malignant tumors (Shovlin *et al.*, 1999). Proto–oncogenes are defined as normal cellular genes that are involved in a normal cell differentiation, and have the potential to contribute to the induction of malignant tumors when their structure is altered due to:

- 1. Mutation in DNA that either induced or spontaneous (Martein *et al.*, 1999).
- 2. Chromosome rearrangements like translocations or inversions (Croce and klein, 1984).
- 3. Gene amplification that involves oncogenes (Alitalo et al., 1983).

#### 2-3-3: Classification

Leukemia is clinically classified into two categories; acute rapidly progressing disease (the history is usually brief and life expectancy, without treatment, is short) and chronic slowly progressing multi-staged disease (the patient may have been unwell for months, and survival is usually measured in years). Undifferentiated, proliferating and immature cells characterize acute leukemia, whereas chronic leukemia involves more differentiated cells. Chronic leukemia is subdivided into chronic lymphoid leukemia (CLL), which results form proliferation of non-functional lymphocytes, and chronic myeloid leukemia (CML), which is a malignant transformation of the myeloid stem cell. Neither terms refer to severity of the disease, but depend on the type of cell involved in the malignancy (Goldman and Tarig, 1999; McGuire and Kazakoff, 1999; Sarasins, 2003)

As in chronic leukemia, acute leukemia is also subdivided into lymphoid (ALL) and myeloid (AML) (Richmann, 2000). The former leukemia was investigated in the present study.

#### 2-3-4: Acute Lymphoid Leukemia

Acute lymphoid leukemia (ALL) is a malignant transformation of lymphoblasts, characterized by excessive accumulation of lymphoblasts and their progenitors in the blood. The disease is thought to arise from a clonal proliferation of a precursor cell that had undergone a genetic alternation leading to an unregulated growth and arrested differentiation (Richmann, 2000). The malignant cells in ALL are lymphoid precursor cells (lymphoblastic) that replace the normal marrow elements resulting in marked decrease of normal blood cells, therefore, anemia, thrombocytopenia and neutropenia are occurred with varying degrees (Seiter, 2001).

#### 2-3-4-1: Incidence

The incidence of ALL varies, and depends on age, sex and race; however, it is more common in children (80% of ALL) than adults (20% of ALL), and boys have much more chance to develope the disease than girls. The survival outcome depends on race, and in this respect it has been demonstrated that Negro children have a lower survival rate than Caucasian children (Friebert and Susan, 1998). In Iraq, it has been recorded that the incidence of ALL is increased during the period 1991-1998, especially in the ages between 1-10 years (Mukheef, 1999).

#### 2-3-4-2: Aetiology:

There is no specific factor which can be considered as an aetiological agent of ALL. Several observations may suggest the role of genetics, environment or an interaction between them. Genetically identical twins have shown much higher concordance rate to develop leukemia than dizygotic twins (Friebert and Susan, 1998). Some environmental factors like maternal and paternal exposure to radiation have also been suggested (Uckumn *et al.*, 1998). However, the most accepted theory is the interaction between environmental factors and genetic make-up that cause new DNA sequences or rearrangements that contribute to the development of malignant conditions such as ALL (Goldman and Tarig,1999).

#### 2-4: Antineoplastic (Chemotherapeutic) Agents

Antineoplastic agents (cytotoxic drugs) are used in the treatment of malignant diseases when radiotherapy and surgery are not possible or they are not effective. The aim of cytotoxic therapy is to induce a remission (absence of any clinical conventional laboratory evidence of the disease) and to eliminate the hidden leukemia cell populations by courses of a consolidation therapy (Reynolds, 1989).

The chemotherapeutic agents have been categorized by Laurance (1996) into three groups:

- 1. Cycle specific agents, which kill only cells that are actively cycling such as antimetabolite agents.
- 2. Phase-specific agents, which kill only cells that are at a particular phase of the active cycle.
- 3. Cycle-non specific agents, which kill cells wheather resting or actively cycling such as alkalyting agents.

In leukemia, the main treatment is chemotherapy, and one of these therapies is the drug cytosar, which is grouped under the antimetabolite agents. It is a pyrmidine antagonist, which is known with other names, for instance cytarabine and cytosine arabinoside (Nandy *et al.*, 1999).

Cytosar is a cytotoxic agent to a wide variety of proliferating mammalian cells in culture. It inhibits cell cycling phases and specifically G1-phase to S-phase and it has been suggested that cytosar acts through the inhibition of DNA

polymerase. However, the balance of kinase and deaminase level may be important factors that determine the sensitivity and resistance of the cell to cytosar (Frei and Bodey, 1973).

Metabolically, cytosar is converted intracellulary to nucleoside triphosphate, which is an inhibitor of DNA polymerase and is also incorporated into DNA, where it terminates the strand elongation (Kufe *et al* ., 1984). Such activation is carried out by two intracellular enzymes; cytidine deaminase and deoxycytidylate deaminase. The activity of cytosar depends on the intracellular phosphorylation by the enzyme cytoplasmic deoxycytidine kinase, which affects the formation of cytosar nucleoside triphosphate (Hande and Chabner, 1997; Beutler *et al.*, 2001).

Acquired cytosar resistance in experimental leukemias results from the loss of deoxycytidine kinase, which is the initial activating enzyme in the cytosar pathway (Owens *et al.*, 1992). Other changes are also implicated in experimental tumors including decreased drug uptake, increased deamination and increased pool size of competitive deoxycytidine triphosphate (Hannun, 1997). Such drug-resistance studies have paved the way to seek alternative medicines as a treatment of leukemia, and the medicinal plants and their natural products are candidates in this regard, which have been the subject of an intensive research, moreover, most chemotherapies including cytosar are mutagens that have the potential to cause chromosomal aberrations and other structural changes in the DNA material (Umemoto *et al.*, 2000; Mukherjee *et al.*, 2001).

#### **2-5: Investigated Parameters**

Cytogenetic analysis have been employed widely to detect the effect of mutagens and carcinogens on the genetic make-up. This is carried out using *in vivo* and *in vitro* systems. Chick embryos were the first to be utilized *in vivo* analysis (Bloon and Hsu, 1975), but later mice became the animals of choice in such experiments due to their fast reproduction, small size and easy handling, moreover, they represent a typical mammalian system (Haung *et al.*, 1990). *In* 

*vitro* studies generally employ live cells of a mammalian origin, and in this regard the lymphocytes are the cells of choice in investigating the mutagenic effects of some agents (Nath *et al.*, 1988).

#### 2-5-1: Mitotic Index

Many studies that determine the activity of immune system and the effect of different agents on it depend on the ability of lymphocytes to proliferate in lymphoid organs and/or depend on dividing cells of bone marrow because it is the source of all blood cells (Hughes, 2001). Mitotic index assay is defined as the ratio of the numbers of cells in a population undergoing mitosis to the total numbers of cells (Gosh *et al.*, 1991). Therefore, by the employment of this assay the effect of different physical and chemical agents on the mitotic response can be detected, and studies have revealed that the mitotic index can be affected negatively or positively by chemicals, radiations, drugs and medicinal plants (Ad'hiah *et al.*, 2001a; Ad'hiah *et al.*, 2002; Ad'hiah *et al.*, 2004; Ad'hiah *et al.*, 2006).

#### **2-5-2: Micronucleus Formation**

Micronuclei are cytoplasmic chromation masses with the appearance of small nuclei that arise from chromosome lagging at anaphase or from acentric chromosomal fragments (Tian *et al.*, 2003).

Evans *et al.*, (1959) employed the micronucleus assay to measure the cytogenetic damage induced in the dividing cells of root tips by fast neutrons and X-ray in the presence and absence of oxygen. It was found that all chromatid and chromosome breaks will give rise to acentric fragments at mitosis, and these fragments are excluded from the daughter nuclei and appear in follwoing interphase as micronuclei.

Schroder (1966, 1970) recommended the use of bone marrow smears to detect *in vivo* genetic damage induced by chemical mutagens and demonstrated

the occurrence of micronuclei in bone marrow cells in connection with cytogenetic damages.

von Ledebur and Schmid in 1973 reached the conclusion that the incidence of micronucleated polychromatic erthrocytes (PCE) was particularly useful index of an *in vivo* bone marrow cytogenetic damage, and such finding formed the basis to develop a simple *in vivo* assay based on an identification of micronuclei in PCE of mouse bone marrow. Since then, many researchers have employed this assay for the assessment of mutagenic effects induced by different mutagens (Martino-Roth *et al.*, 2003; Ad'hiah *et al.*, 2006).

#### 2-5-3: Sperm-head Abnormality Assay

The entire process of sperm formation, from spermatogonia to spermatozoa is reffered to as spermatogenesis, which occurs in the seminiferous tubules of the testis (Seely et al., 1996). The stem cell (spermatogonia) is divided mitotically to give primary spermatocytes that undergo mieosis I to give rise to haploid secondary spermatocytes that undergo mieosis II to produce spermatids. The spermatids are then transformed to spermatozoa by a series of morphological changes (Hafez and Hafez, 2000). These events are under a genetic control, especially the morphological changes involved in sperm head formation. Therefore, an assay was developed to detect genotoxic effects induced by physical and chemical agents. The assay scores the percentage of sperms with abnormal head morphology. Such morphological changes are dependent on the stage of spermatogenesis. If the abnormality appeared at the end of first week post-treatment, it means that the genetic abnormality occurred in the stage spermatid formation, while if the abnormality appeared after 3-5 weeks post-treatment, it means that the abnormality occurred in the stages of spermatocytes or spermatogonia. Therefore, this test has been qualified to assess the mutagenic effects of chemical and physical mutagens in vivo (Topham, 1980; Al-Rubaiey, 2000).

#### 2-5-4: Total and Differential Counts of Leucocytes

Leucocytes are considered as the active cells in carrying out the functions of the immune system, both non-specifically and specifically, and their count may give a general picture about the function of the immune system (Lydyard and Grossi, 1998). These cells are originated in the bone marrow through two cell lineages; myeloid progenitor and lymphoid progenitor, which give rise to the five types of leucocytes (neutrophils, lymphocytes, monocytes, eosinophils and basophils). Each type of these cells is commenced to carry out an immune function. The neutrophils are mainly involved in the innate immune system to carry out phagocytosis, while lymphocytes represent the humoral and cellular arms of specific immunity. Monocytes are involved in carrying out phagocytosis, but they are also professional antigen presenting cells. Eosinophils are involved in allergic and inflammatory reactions, as well as, parasitic infections. Basophils release histamine, heparin and some pharmacological mediators of immunological reactions (Kramer, 2003). Due to these diverse immunological functions, the normal counts of leucocytes (total and differential) can be deviated by infections, radiations, environmental pollutants, drugs and products of medicinal plants (Ad'hiah et al., 2001b; Ad'hiah et al., 2002; Ad'hiah et al., 2004; Ad'hiah et al., 2006).

#### 2-5-5: Phagocytosis

Phagocytosis represents the non-specific cellular immune response, which is carried out mainly by neutrophils and monocytes. These cells function to engulf and attack particles in the host that have been signaled for removal by various mechanisms (Asmis and Jelk, 2000). Both cells can migrate from the blood stream to the site of infections in response to some chemo-attractants (i.e. C3a and C5a), but the monocytes (also known as macrophages in tissues) can migrate back to the secondary lymphoid organs where they act as professional antigen presenting cells. Phagocytes are then motile cells and roam throughout the bloodstream, the lymphatic system and non-vascular tissue in search of particles to engulf. When a non-self particle is encountered, it is taken into the phagocyte, combined with destructive compounds and destroyed (Hughes, 2001). This process, termed phagocytosis, involves several discrete steps:

- 1. Detection of the foreign particle and movement of the phagocyte to the area as a response to chemo-attractants.
- 2. Attachment of the foreign particle to the phagocyte. Such mechanism is enhanced by IgG and C3b (opsonins), because, the phagocyte expresses surface receptors for them.
- 3. Engulfment or ingestion of the foreign particle.
- 4. Fusion with lysosome and formation of the phagolysosome.
- 5. Intracellular killing and digestion, which are carried out through two chemical pathways; oxygen-dependent and oxygenindependent killing.
- 6. In the case of macrophages, epitopes of the engulfed object are presented to the lymphocytes in the secondary lymphoid organs.

Several investigations have suggested that phagocytosis can be modulated positively or negatively as a consequence of infections or treatments with drugs, chemicals, natural products and vitamins (Ad'hiah *et al.*, 2002; Al-Keenani, 2005; Abdullah, 2005; Maiekan, 2006).

#### 2-5-6: Arthus Reaction

Arthus reaction is a type III hypersensitivity reaction, which is mediated by immune complex formation in a second challenge with the same antigen. The immune complexes are formed between antibodies and the challenged antigen in the area of injection. Such formation leads to the activation of the classical pathway of complement system, which in turn leads to the generation of chemo-attractants factors (C3a and C5a) that enhance the migration of neutrophils to the area of injection. As a consequence, a local inflammatory response is generated with the manifestation of a local erythema and oedema after 3-4 hours of the

injection. Therefore, this assay can be successively employed to assess the humoral immune response, as well as, the role of some agents in modulating such response *in vivo* (Szalai *et al.*, 2000; Cruse and Lewis, 2000).

#### 2-5-7: Delayed Type Hypersensitivity Reaction (DTHR)

Delayed type hypersensitivity reaction represents the fourth type of hypersensitivity reaction (Type IV), which differs from Arthus reaction in the immunological constituents that participate in its generation. It is cell-mediated reaction, in which a specifiv T-helper lymphocyte, called  $T_{DTH}$ , plays a major role in its initiation together with macrophages. It occurs locally after 24-48 hours of a second challenge with the same antigen. Such time is required to activate the  $T_{DTH}$  by the antigen that is presented by macrophages, a process that requires the production of cytokines (IL-2, IFN- $\gamma$  and tumour necrosis factor- $\beta$ ). These cytokines stimulate the migration of more macrophages to the area of injection, which in turn produce extracellular lysozymes that are responsible for the inflammatory reaction in the area of injection. Therefore, the DTHR is employed to assess the cellular immune response *in vivo* (Moore *et al.*, 1999; Jacayan *et al.*, 2001).

#### 2-5-8: Plaque Forming Cells (PFC)

Jerne and Nordin developed the PFC assay in 1963 with the aim to detect antibody-producing lymphocytes *in vitro*. In this assay, mice are immunized with a large inoculate of sheep red blood cells (SRBC) to elicit a primary antibody response. Lymphocytes then become sensitized to the large number of antigenic determinants on the SRBCs, antibody production begins, and the titer of IgM antibodies rises over a period of about four days (direct method). A second challenge with SRBCs promotes the antibody-producing cells to produce IgG (indirect method). The immunized mice are then sacrificed, the spleen is removed, and a single-cell suspension of spleen cells is made. These cells are mixed with a suspension of SRBCs. The mixture is incorporated into agarose support medium and poured as a thin layer in a Petri dish. After an incubation period at 37°C, sensitized lymphocytes secrete antibodies that diffuse through the agarose and react with the indicator cells (SRBCs). The formed immune complexes (antibody-SRBC) are able to activate the classical pathway of the added complement. A clear area of lysis surrounding the antibody producing cell is then produced and called a plaque. Therefore, this assay is a good parameter to estimate the antibody producing cells, and to test the effect of medicinal plants and their products on this mechanism (Myers, 1995; Cruse and Lewis, 2000; Rahim *et al.*, 2003).

# **Supervisor Certification**

We certify that this thesis was prepared under our supervision in the College of Science, Al-Nahrain University as a partial requirement for the degree of Master of Science in Biotechnology.

Signature:

Supervisor:

#### Dr. Khulood W. Al-Samarraei

Scientific Degree:

Assistant Professor

Date:

Signature:

Supervisor:

**Dr. Ali H. Ad'hiah** Scientific Degree:

Assistant Professor

Date:

In review of the available recommendations, I forward this thesis for debate by the examining committee.

Signature:

Name: **Dr. Nabeel K. Al-Ani** Scientific Degree: Assistant professor. Title: Head of Biotechnology Department. Date:

# **Committee Certification**

We, the examining committee, certify that we have read this thesis and examined the student in its contents and that, according to our opinion, is accepted as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science in Biotechnology.

Signature:

Name: Dr. Amna N. Jasim

Scientific Degree: Assistant professor

Date:

(Chairman)

Signature:

Signature:

Name: Dr. Kadhim M. Ibrahim Name: Dr. Abdul Kareem A. Al-Kazaz

Scientific Degree: Assistant professor Scientific Degree: Assistant professor

Date:

Date:

(Member)

#### I hereby certify upon the decision of the examining committee

Signature:

Name: Dr. Laith A. Al- Ani

Scientific Degree: Assistant Professor

(Member)

Title: Dean of College of Science

Date:

## List of Contents

| Index          | Subjects                               | Page  |
|----------------|--|-------|
|                | Abstract                               | Ι     |
|                | List of contents                       | III   |
|                | List of Tables                         | XI    |
|                | List of Figures                        | XVIII |
|                | List of abbreviations                  | XIX   |
| Chapter<br>One | Introduction                           |       |
| 1-1            | Introduction                           | 1     |
| 1-2            | Aim of Study                           | 2     |
| Chapter<br>Two | Literature Review                      |       |
| 2-1            | Medicinal Plants                       | 3     |
| 2-2            | The Plant Sage (Salvia officinalis L.) | 3     |
| 2-2-1          | Common Names and Classification        | 4     |

| 2-2-2   | Plant Describtion and Distribution       | 5  |
|---------|--|----|
| 2-2-3   | Chemical Constituents                    | 6  |
| 2-2-4   | Biological Potentials                    | 7  |
| 2-3     | Leukemia                                 | 9  |
| 2-3-1   | Incidence of Leukemia                    | 10 |
| 2-3-2   | Aetiology                                | 10 |
| 2-3-3   | Classification                           | 11 |
| 2-3-4   | Acute Lymphoid Leukemia                  | 12 |
| 2-3-4-1 | Incidence                                | 12 |
| 2-3-4-2 | Aetiology                                | 12 |
| 2-4     | Antineoplastic (Chemotherapeutic) Agents | 13 |
| 2-5     | Investigated Parameters                  | 14 |

| 2-5-1            | Mitotic Index                               | 15 |
|------------------|---|----|
| 2-5-2            | Micronucleus Formation                      | 15 |
| 2-5-3            | Sperm-head Abnormality Assay                | 16 |
| 2-5-4            | Total and Differential Counts of Leucocytes | 17 |
| 2-5-5            | Phagocytosis                                | 17 |
| 2-5-6            | Arthus Reaction                             | 18 |
| 2-5-7            | Delayed Type Hypersensitivity Reaction      | 19 |
| 2-5-8            | Plaque Forming Cells                        | 20 |
| Chapter<br>Three | Materials and Methods                       |    |
| 3-1              | Materials                                   | 21 |
| 3-2              | The Plant Sage (Salvia officinalis L.)      | 23 |
| 3-2-1            | Plant Collection and Identification         | 23 |
| 3-2-2            | Preparation of Plant Extracts               | 23 |
| 3-2-3            | Sage Doses and Concentrations               | 23 |

| 3-3   | Solutions                           | 24 |
|-------|-------------------------------------|----|
| 3-4   | Laboratory Animals                  | 30 |
| 3-5   | Expermental Design                  | 30 |
| 3-5-1 | First Stage                         | 31 |
| 3-5-2 | Second Stage                        | 31 |
| 3-5-3 | Third Stage                         | 32 |
| 3-6   | Laboratory Methods                  | 34 |
| 3-6-1 | Chemical Analysis of Plant Extracts | 34 |
| 3-6-2 | Total Leucocyte Count               | 34 |
| 3-6-3 | Differential Count of Leucocytes    | 34 |
| 3-6-4 | Phagocytic Index                    | 35 |
| 3-6-5 | Metaphase Index Assay               | 36 |
| 3-6-6 | Micronucleus Formation Assay        | 37 |
| 3-6-7 | Sperm-head Abnormality Assay        | 38 |

| 3-6-8           | Arthus Reaction   | 38 |
|-----------------|---|----|
| 3-6-9           | Delayed Type Hypersensitivity                               | 39 |
| 3-6-10          | Plaque Forming Cells Assay                                  | 39 |
| 3-6-11          | Micronucleus Formation in the Blood of Leukemia<br>Patients | 40 |
| 3-6-11-1        | Subjects  | 40 |
| 3-6-11-2        | Treated Groups  | 41 |
| 3-6-11-3        | Micronucleus Test Cultures                                  | 41 |
| 3-7             | Statistical Analysis  | 43 |
| Chapter<br>Four | Results   |    |
| 4-1             | Chemical Analysis of Sage                                   | 44 |
| 4-2             | Immunological and Cytogenetic Effects of Sage               | 45 |
| 4-2-1           | Total Count of Leucocytes                                   | 45 |
| 4-2-2           | Differential Count of Leucocytes                            | 45 |

| 4-2-2-1 | Lymphocytes                   | 45 |
|---------|-------------------------------|----|
| 4-2-2-2 | Neutrophils                   | 48 |
| 4-2-2-3 | Monocytes                     | 48 |
| 4-2-2-4 | Eosinophils                   | 51 |
| 4-2-2-5 | Basophils                     | 51 |
| 4-2-3   | Phagocytic Index              | 54 |
| 4-2-3-1 | After 30 Minute Incubation    | 54 |
| 4-2-3-2 | After 60 Minute Incubation    | 54 |
| 4-2-4   | Metaphase Index               | 54 |
| 4-2-4-1 | Bone Marrow                   | 57 |
| 4-2-4-2 | Spleen                        | 59 |
| 4-2-5   | Micronucleus Index            | 59 |
| 4-2-6   | Sperm-head Abnormality Assay  | 59 |
| 4-3     | Exteract-Cytosar Interactions | 64 |

| 4-3-1   | Total Leucocyte Count                       | 64 |
|---------|---|----|
| 4-3-2   | Differential Count of Leucocytes            | 66 |
| 4-3-2-1 | Lymphocytes                                 | 66 |
| 4-3-2-1 | Neutrophils                                 | 66 |
| 4-3-2-3 | Monocytes                                   | 66 |
| 4-3-2-4 | Easinophils                                 | 70 |
| 4-3-2-5 | Bosophils                                   | 70 |
| 4-3-3   | Phagocytic Index                            | 70 |
| 4-3-4   | Arthus Reaction                             | 75 |
| 4-3-5   | Delayed Type Hypersensitivity               | 75 |
| 4-3-6   | Plaque Forming Cells                        | 75 |
| 4-3-7   | Metaphase Index of Bone Marrow              | 82 |
| 4-3-8   | Metaphase Index of Spleen                   | 82 |
| 4-3-9   | Micronucleus Formation in Bone Marrow Cells | 82 |

| 4-3-10          | Sperm-head Abnormality Index                | 82 |
|-----------------|---|----|
| 4-4             | Micronucleus Formation in Leukemia Patients | 87 |
| Chapter<br>Five | Discussion                                  | 90 |
|                 | Conclusions and Recommendations             |    |
| 1               | Conclusions                                 | 97 |
| 2               | Recommendations                             | 97 |
|                 | References                                  | 98 |

## List of Tables

| No  | Subjects   | Page |
|-----|--|------|
| 3-1 | General laboratory equipments  | 21   |
| 3-2 | Chemical materials   | 22   |
| 3-3 | Immunization-interaction regimes between SRBC and<br>the ideal dose of aqueous and hexane extracts, cytosar,<br>distilled water and sunflower oil in albino male mice<br>(Type I treatment)                                  | 33   |
| 3-4 | Immunization-interaction regimes between SRBC and<br>the ideal dose of aqueous and hexane extracts, cytosar,<br>distilled water and sunflower oil in albino male mice<br>(Type II treatment)                                 | 33   |
| 4-1 | Chemical analysis of sage Extracts (aqueous and hexane)  | 44   |
| 4-2 | Total leucocyte count (mean $\pm$ standard error) in<br>albino male mice treated with aqueous and hexane<br>extracts of sage, distilled water and sunflower oil<br>(negative controls) and cytosar drug (positive control).  | 46   |
| 4-3 | Total lymphocyte count (mean $\pm$ standard error) in<br>albino male mice treated with aqueous and hexane<br>extracts of sage, distilled water and sunflower oil<br>(negative controls) and cytosar drug (positive control). | 47   |

|     |  | 1  |
|-----|--|----|
|     | Total neutrophil count (mean $\pm$ standard error) in albino male mice treated with aqueous and hexane |    |
| 4-4 | extracts of sage, distilled water and sunflower oil  | 49 |
|     | (negative controls) and cytosar drug (positive control).   |    |
|     | Fotal monocyte count (mean $\pm$ standard error) in albino   |    |
|     | male mice treated with aqueous and hexane extracts   |    |
| 4-5 | of sage, distilled water and sunflower oil (negative   | 50 |
|     | controls) and cytosar drug (positive control).   |    |
|     | Total eosinophil count (mean ± standard error) in  |    |
|     | albino male mice treated with aqueous and hexane   |    |
| 4-6 | extracts of sage, distilled water and sunflower oil  | 52 |
|     | (negative controls) and cytosar drug (positive   |    |
|     | control).  |    |
|     | Total basophil count (mean $\pm$ standard error) in albino   |    |
|     | male mice treated with aqueous and hexane extracts   |    |
| 4-7 | of sage, distilled water and sunflower oil (negative   | 53 |
|     | controls) and cytosar drug (positive control).   |    |
|     | Phagocytic index of peritoneal cells (mean ±   |    |
|     | standard error) in albino male mice treated with   |    |
| 4-8 | aqueous and hexane extracts of sage, distilled water   | 55 |
|     | and sunflower oil (negative controls) and cytosar drug   |    |
|     | (positive control) after 30 minutes incubation.  |    |
|     | Phagocytic index of peritoneal cells (mean $\pm$ standard  |    |
|     | error) in albino male mice treated with aqueous and  |    |
| 4-9 | hexane extracts of sage, distilled water and sunflower   | 56 |
|     | oil (negative controls) and cytosar drug (positive   |    |
|     | control) after 60 minutes incubation.  |    |
|     |  |    |

|      | Metaphase index of bone marrow cells (mean ±   |    |
|------|--|----|
| 4-10 | standard error) in albino male mice treated with<br>aqueous and hexane extracts of sage, distilled water<br>and sunflower oil (negative controls) and cytosar drug<br>(positive control).  | 58 |
| 4-11 | Metaphase index of spleen cells (mean $\pm$ standard error) in albino male mice treated with aqueous and hexane extracts of sage, distilled water and sunflower oil (negative controls) and cytosar drug (positive control).             | 60 |
| 4-12 | Micronucleus formation in bone marrow cells (mean $\pm$ standard error) of albino male mice treated with aqueous and hexane extracts of sage, distilled water and sunflower oil (negative controls) and cytosar drug (positive control). | 61 |
| 4-13 | Sperm head abnormalities (mean $\pm$ standard error) in<br>albino male mice treated with aqueous and hexane<br>extracts of sage, distilled water and sunflower oil<br>(negative controls) and cytosar drug (positive control).           | 63 |
| 4-14 | Total leucocyte count (mean $\pm$ standard error) in<br>albino male mice after interactions (pre- and post-<br>treatments) between the ideal dose (83.9 mg/kg) of<br>aqueous and hexane extracts of sage and cytosar drug.               | 65 |

|      | 1  |    |
|------|--|----|
|      | Total lymphocyte count (mean ± standard error) in        |    |
|      | albino male mice after interactions (pre- and post-      |    |
| 4-15 | treatments) between the ideal dose (83.9 mg/kg) of       | 67 |
|      | aqueous and hexane extracts of sage and cytosar drug.    |    |
|      | Total neutrophil count (mean ± standard error) in        |    |
|      | albino male mice after interactions (pre- and post-      |    |
| 4-16 | treatments) between the ideal dose (83.9 mg/kg) of       | 68 |
|      | aqueous and hexane extracts of sage and cytosar drug.    |    |
|      |  |    |
|      | Fotal monocyte count (mean ± standard error) in albino   |    |
|      | male mice after interactions (pre- and post-treatments)  |    |
| 4-17 | between the ideal dose (83.9 mg/kg) of aqueous and       | 69 |
|      | hexane extracts of sage and cytosar drug.                |    |
|      |  |    |
|      | Total easinophil count (mean ± standard error) in albino |    |
|      | male mice after interactions (pre- and post-treatments)  |    |
| 4-18 | between the ideal dose (83.9 mg/kg) of aqueous and       | 71 |
|      | hexane extracts of sage and cytosar drug.                |    |
|      |  |    |
|      | Total basophil count (mean ± standard error) in albino   |    |
|      | male mice after interactions (pre- and post-treatments)  |    |
| 4-19 | between the ideal dose (83.9 mg/kg) of aqueous and       | 72 |
|      | hexane extracts of sage and cytosar drug.                |    |
|      |  |    |
|      |  |    |

|      | Phagocytic index of peritoneal cells (mean ± standard   |    |
|------|---|----|
|      | error) in albino male mice after interactions (pre- and |    |
| 4-20 | post-treatments) between the ideal dose (83.9 mg/kg)    | 73 |
|      | of aqueous and hexane extracts of sage and cytosar      |    |
|      | drug after 30 minutes incubation.                       |    |
|      |   |    |
|      | Phagocytic index of peritoneal cells (mean ± standard   |    |
|      | error) in albino male mice after interactions (pre- and |    |
| 4-21 | post-treatments) between the ideal dose (83.9 mg/kg)    | 76 |
|      | of aqueous and hexane extracts of sage and cytosar      |    |
|      | drug after 60 minutes incubation.                       |    |
|      | Arthus reaction(mean ± standard error) in albino male   |    |
|      | mice after type I- treatment with the ideal dose of     |    |
| 4-22 | aqueous and hexane extracts of sage, distilled water    | 77 |
|      | and sunflower oil (negative controls) and cytosar drug  |    |
|      | (positive control).                                     |    |
|      | Arthus reaction (mean ± standard error) in albino male  |    |
|      | mice after type II- treatment with the ideal dose of    |    |
| 4-23 | aqueous and hexane extracts of sage, distilled water    | 78 |
|      | and sunflower oil (negative controls) and cytosar drug  |    |
|      | (positive control).                                     |    |
|      |   |    |
|      | Delayed type hypersensetivity (mean ± standard error)   |    |
| 4-24 | in albino male mice after type I- treatment with the    |    |
|      | ideal dose of aqueous and hexane extracts of sage,      | 79 |
|      | distilled water and sunflower oil (negative controls)   |    |
|      | and cytosar drug (positive control).                    |    |
|      |   |    |

| 4-25 | Delayed type hypersensetivity (mean ± standard error)<br>in albino male mice after type II- treatment with the<br>ideal dose of aqueous and hexane extracts of sage,<br>distilled water and sunflower oil (negative controls)<br>and cytosar drug (positive control). | 80 |
|------|---|----|
| 4-26 | Plaque forming cell (mean $\pm$ standard error) in albino<br>male mice after type I- treatment with the ideal dose of<br>aqueous and hexane extracts of sage, distilled water<br>and sunflower oil (negative controls) and cytosar drug<br>(positive control).        | 81 |
| 4-27 | Plaque forming cell (mean $\pm$ standard error) in albino<br>male mice after type II- treatment with the ideal dose of<br>aqueous and hexane extracts of sage, distilled water<br>and sunflower oil (negative controls) and cytosar drug<br>(positive control).       | 81 |
| 4-28 | Metaphase index of bone marrow cells (mean $\pm$ standard error) in albino male mice after interactions (pre- and post-treatments) between the ideal dose (83.9 mg/kg) of aqueous and hexane extracts of sage and cytosar drug.                                       | 83 |

| 4-29 | Metaphase index of spleen cells (mean $\pm$ standard error) in albino male mice after interactions (pre- and post-treatments) between the ideal dose (83.9 mg/kg) of aqueous and hexane extracts of sage and cytosar                   | 84 |
|------|--|----|
|      | drug.  |    |
| 4-30 | Micronucleus formation in bone marrow cells (mean $\pm$ standard error) of albino male mice after interactions (pre- and post-treatments) between the ideal dose (83.9 mg/kg) of aqueous and hexane extracts of sage and cytosar drug. | 85 |
| 4-31 | Sperm-head abnormalities (mean $\pm$ standard error) of<br>albino male mice after interactions (pre- and post-<br>treatments) between the ideal dose (83.9 mg/kg) of<br>aqueous and hexane extracts of sage and cytosar drug.          | 86 |
| 4-32 | Micronucleus formation in lymphocyte cultures<br>(treated with sage aqueous extract ) of acute lymphoid<br>leukemia patients and healthy controls  | 89 |

## List of Figures

| No  | Subject  | page |
|-----|--|------|
| 2-1 | The Plant sage (Salvia officinalis)  | 5    |
| 4-1 | Metaphase preparation of a bone marrow cell in mouse treated with the first dose (83.9 mg/kg) of sage's aqueous extract. | 57   |
| 4-2 | Normal (A) and abnormal sperm heads (B and C) in mice treated with cytosar.  | 62   |
| 4-3 | Micronucleus formation in acute lymphoid leukemia  | 88   |

## List of abbreviations

| S.officinalis | Salvia officinalis                        |
|---------------|---|
| ALL           | Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia              |
| AML           | Acute Myeloid Leukemia                    |
| CLL           | Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia              |
| CML           | Chronic Myeloid Leukemia                  |
| WHO           | World Health Organization                 |
| PCE           | Polychromatic Erythrocytes                |
| PFC           | Plaque Forming Cells                      |
| SRBc          | Sheep Red Blood Cells                     |
| DTHR          | Delayed Type Hypersensitivity<br>Reaction |
| S.libanotica  | Salvia libanotica                         |
| INF-γ         | Interferon- γ                             |
| РНА           | Phytohaemoagglutinin                      |
| SHA           | Sperm Head Abnormality                    |
| SOD           | Superoxide Dismutase                      |
| GST           | Glutathione Transferase                   |
| UV            | Ultraviolet                               |

| DNA       | Deoxyribonucleic Acid                 |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|
| RNA       | Ribonucleic Acid                      |
| C3a       | Complement Component C3a              |
| C3b       | Complement Component C3b              |
| C5a       | Complement Component C5b              |
| IgG       | Immunoglobulin G                      |
| IL-12     | Interleukin-12                        |
| SCE       | Sister Chromatid Exchange             |
| HEPES-BSS | HEPES-Buffered Balanced Salt Solution |
| TLC       | Total Leucocytes Count                |
| ppt       | Precipitate                           |
| rpm       | Revolution Per Minute                 |
| LD50      | Lethal Dose 50                        |

Republic of Iraq Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research Al-Nahrain University College of Science Department of Biotechnology



# Immunological and Cytogenetic Effects of Sage (*Salvia officinalis*) Leaves Extracts on Albino Male Mice and Acute Lymphoid Leukemic Cells

A Thesis

Submitted to the College of Science / AL-Nahrain University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of M.Sc. in Biotechnology

By

## **Roqaya Mohammed Ebraheem Al-Ezzy**

B. Sc. Biotechnology. Al-Nahrain University (2003)

December

Dhul-Qa'da

2006

1427

جمهورية العراق وزارة التعليم العالي و البحث العلمي جامعة النهرين كلية العلوم قسم التقانة الأحيائيه التأثير المناعى و الوراثي لمستخلصات أوراق نبات الميرامية (Salvia officinalis) في ذكور الفئران البيض وخلايا ابيضاض الدم اللمفي الحاد رسالة مقدمة إلى كلية العلوم جامعة النهرين وهى جزء من متطلبات نيل درجة ماجستير علوم فى التقانة الإحيائية من قبل رقيه محمد إبراهيم العزي بكالوريوس تقانة إحيائية جامعة النهرين ٢٠٠٣ كانون الأول ذو القعدة 1... \$ 12YV

### References

- Abbas, A. K. and Lichtman, A. H. (Editors) (2003). Cellular and Molecular Immunology, 5<sup>th</sup> Edition. Elsevier Science (U.S.A.) pp. 1-16.
- Abdullah, C. S. (2005). The evaluation of some immunological, physiological and genetic effects of dandzol in albino mice. M.Sc. Thesis/College of Education/Ibn Al-Haitham/University of Baghdad/Iraq.
- Ad'hiah, A. H. (1990). Immunogenetic studies in selected human diseases. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Newcastle upon Tyne, England.
- Ad'hiah, A. H.; Al-Kashaly, S. S. and Abbas, T. A. A. (2002). Group A streptococcus (Streptococcus pyogenes) and the mitotic activity of lymphoid organs in albino mice. The Eight Scientific Conference of the Technical Education Committee, pp. 302-208.
- Ad'hiah, A. H.; Ghali, K. H. and El-Hassani, M. (2001a). An epidemiological approach to bladder cancer in Iraq from 1976 to 1998. AL- Mustansirya Journal of Science, 11: 25- 30.
- Ad'hiah, A. H.; Hassan, M. K. A. and Kadhim, K. K. (2001 b). The hematological and cytogenetic effect of gamma radiation on white Mouse (*Mus musculus*). Ibn Al–Haitham Journal of Pure and Application Science, 14: 45-56.
- Ad'hiah, A. H.; Sayhood, Y. D. and Shubber, E. K. (2004). Inhibiting the hematological and cytogenetic effects of tamoxifen by alcoholic extract of garlic (*Allium sativa*). Nucleus, 47:10-16.
- Ad'hiah, A. H.; Sulaiman, G. M. and Al-Zaidy, M. S. (2006). Some immunological evaluations of propolis in albino male mice. Journal of the Faculty of Medicine. (Accepted).
- Al-Abassi, H. M. (2001). Enzymatic and cytogenetic study for colon cancer patients. Ph.D. Thesis, College of Science/Al-Mustansirya University/Iraq.

- Al-Amiry, E. W. (1999). Enzymatic, Cytogenetic and drug resistance studies on blood from patients with breast cancer. M.Sc. Thesis, College of Education for Women/University of Baghdad/Iraq.
- Allen, J. W.; Shuler, C. V.; Mendes, R. W. and Latt, S. A. (1977). A simplified technique for *in vivo* analysis of sister chromatid exchanges using 5-bromo-deoxy uridine tablets. Cytogenetics and Cell Genetics, 18: 231-37.
- Alitalo, K.; Schwab, M.; Normus, H. F. and Bishop, J. M. (1983). Homogeneously staining chromosome region contain amplified copies of abnormality expressed cellular oncogens (C-myc) in malignant nuroendocrine cell from human colon cancer. Proceeding of the National Academy of Science of U.S.A..80: 1707-1711.
- Al-Keenani, I. B. (2005). The role of Vitamins A, C and E in modulating the genetic and immunological effects of etoposide in albino mice (*Mus musculus*). M.Sc. Thesis. College of Education/Ibn Al-Haitham/University of Baghdad/Iraq.
- Al-Khaphagi, B. R. A. (2000). Effect of Withania somnifera, Salvia officinalis and Salix acmophylla extracts on the growth of some dermatophytes. M.Sc. Thesis. College of Science/Al-Mustansiriya University/Iraq.
- Al-Rubaiey, F. A. A. (2000). A study of the mutagenic and antimutagenic ability of some Iraqi medicinal plants. M.Sc. Thesis, College of Education/ Ibn Al-Haitham/University of Baghdad/Iraq.
- Al-Sudany, A. M. (2005). Inhibitory effects of black seed oil and honey on the genotoxicity of tamoxifen in mice. M.Sc. Thesis, College of Science/University of Al- Nahrin/ Iraq.
- Al-Zendi, S. K. J. (2006). The effect of some plant extracts on immune system of albino mice . M.Sc. Thesis. College of Science for Women/ University of Baghdad/Iraq.

- Anderson, F. J. (1977). An illustrated history of herbals. Columbia University Press, New York.
- Asmis, R. and jelk, J. (2000). Vitamin E supplementation of human macrophages prevent neither foam cell formation nor increased susceptibility of foam cells to lysis by oxidized LDL. Vascular Biology, 20: 990-997.
- Saricevic, D.; Sosa, S.; Loggia, R. D.; Tubaro, A.; Simonovska, B.; Kransa, A. and Zupancic, A. (2001). Topical antiinflamentary activity of *Salvia officinalis* L. leaves: the revelance of ursolic acid. Journal of Ethnopharmacology, **75**: 152-132.
- Barnes, J. (2002). An introduction to herbal medicine products. The Pharmaceutical Journal, 268: 304-306.
- Behrman, E. R. and Robert, M. K. (1998). Nelson Essential of Periatric. 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. 590-596.
- Benich, A. (1988). Vitamin E and immune function. Basic Life Science, 49:615-20.
- Sernhard, D.; Tinhofer, I.; Tonko, M.; Hubl, H.; Ausserlechner, M. J.; Greil, R.; Kofler, R. and Csordas, A. (2000). Resveratrol causes arrest in the S-phase prior to fast-independent apoptosis in CEM-C7H2 acute leukemia cells. Cell Death and Differentiation, 7:834-842.
- Beutler, E.; Marshal, A.; Barry, S.; Thouas, J. and Uri, S. (2001). Willians Hematology, McGraw, H 111. New York.
- Bloom, S. E. and Hsu, T. C. (1975). differential fluorescence of sister chromatids in chicken embryos exposed to 5-bromodeoxyuridine. Chromosama, 51: 261-267.
- Bolta, Z.; Baricevic, D.; Bohanes, B. and Andresen, S. (2000). A preliminary investigation of urosolic acid in cell suspension culture of *Salvia officinalis*. Plant Cell Tissue Organ Culture. 62: 57-63.

- Bozin, B.; Mimica-Dukic, N.; Matavulj, M. and Simin, N. (2002). Coference on medical and aromatic plants. Serbian Pharmaceutical Society.
- Burke, Y. D.; Stark, M. J.; Roach, S. L.; Sen, S. E. and Crowell, P. L. (1997). Inhibition of pancreatic cancer growth by the dietary isopernoids farnesol and geranoil. Lipids, 32:151-5.
- Calixto, J. B. (2005). Twenty-Five years of research on medicinal plants in Latin America. A personal view. Journal of Ethnopharmacology, 100: 131-134.
- Capek, P. and Hribalova, V. (2004). Water-Soluble polysaccharides from Salvia officinalis L. possessing immunomodulatory activity. Phytochemistry, 65: 1983-1992.
- Capek, P.; Hribalova, V.; Svandova, E.; Ebringerova, A.; Sasinkova, V. and Masarova, J. (2003). Characterization of immunomodulatory polysaccharides from *Salvia officinalis* L. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules, **33**: 113-119.
- Caragay, A. B. (1992). Cancer-preventative foods and ingredients. Food Technology, 46:65-8.
- Catra, C.; Moretti, M. D. and Peana, A. T. (1996). Activity of the oil of Salvia officinalis against Botrytis cinerea. Journal of Essential Oil Research, 8: 399-404.
- Chada, Y. R. (1976). The wealth of India (Raw Materials) V. X., publication and information directorate, C. S. I. R. New Delhi, India, pp. 580-585.
- Cole, A. S.; Eastoe, J. E.; Mcgivan, J.; Hayes, M. L. and Smillie, A. C. (1998). Biochemistry and Biology, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, London, pp.156-169.
- Cook, N.C. and Samman, S. (1996). Flavonoids-chemistry, metabolism, cardioprotective effects, and dietary sources. Journal of Nutrition Biochemistry, 7: 66-76.

- Croce, C. M. and Klein, G. (1984). Molecular basis of human-B-cell neoplasia. Blood, 65: 1.
- Cruse, J. M. and Lewis, R. E. (2000). Atlas of Immunology. CRC press. U.S.A.
- Cuvelier, M. E.; Berset, C. and Richard, H. (1994). Antioxidant constituents in sage (*Salvia officinalis*). Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 42:665-9.
- Depkevicius, A.; Venskutonis, R.; van Beek, T. A. and Liussen, J. P. H. (1998). Antioxidant activity of extracts obtained by different isolation producers from some aromatic herbs grown in Lithuania. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry., 77: 140-146.
- Derrida, M. (2003). Anti-cancer medicine: immune support extracts.(Internet: www.medidea.com.).
- Ebringerova, A.; Kardosova, A.; Hromadkova, Z. and Hriblova, V. (2003). Mitogenic and comitogenic activities of polysaccharides from some European herbaceous plants. Fitoterpia, 74: 52-61.
- Edward, C. R. W. and Bouchier, I. A. D. (1999). Davidson's principles and practice of medicine. 8<sup>th</sup> Edition, 5: 394-410.
- Elson, C. E. and Yu, S. G. (1994). The chemoprevention of cancer by mevalonate derived constituents of fruits and vegetables. Journal of Nutrition, 124:607-14.
- Elson, E.; Peffley, D. M.; Hentosh, P. and Mo, H. (1999). Isoprenoidmediated inhibition of mevalanate synthesis potential application to cancer. Particulate Science and Technology, 221:294-11.
- Evans, H. J.; Neary, G. J. and Williamson, F. S. (1959). International Journal of Radiation Biology, 1: 216-229.
- Farahat, G. N.; Affra, N. I. and Gali-Muhtasib, H. A. (2001). Seasonal changes in the composion of the essential oil extract of East Mediterranean sage (*Salvia libanotica*) and it's toxicity in mice. Toxicon, 39: 1601-1605.

- Francis, G.; Kerem, Z.; Makkar, H. P. S. and Becker, K. (2002). The biology action of saponins in animal systems: areview. British Journal of Nutrition, 88:5870605.
- Frei, E. and Bodey, G. P. (1973). Pharmacycologic and Cytokinetic studies of Arabinosyl Cytosine, In Unifying Concepts of leukemia. Hematology: 1085-1097.
- Freibiert, S. E. and Susan, B. S. (1998). Acute lymphocytic leukemia part 1. Contemporary Pediatrics, 15: 118-136.
- Freshney, R. I. (2000). Culture of animal cells. A manual for basic technique. 4<sup>th</sup> Eedition. Wiley-liss, Ajohuwiley and Sons. Inc. Publication, New York.
- Gabhe, S. Y.; Tatke, P. A. and Khan, T. A. (2006). Evaluation of the immunomodulatory activity of the methanol extract from *Ficus benghalensis* roots in rats. Indian Journal of Pharmacology, 38:271-275.
- Gali-Muhtasib, H. U. and Affra, N. I. (2000). Chemo preventive effects of sage oil on skin popillomas in mice. Phytomedicine, 7:129-36.
- Gali-Muhtasib, H.; Hilan, C. and Khater, C. (2000). Traditional uses of Salvia libanotica (East Mediterranean Sages) and the effect of it's essential oils. Journal of Ethnopharmacology, 71: 513-20.
- ✤ Genders, R. (Editor) (1994). Scented Flora of the World. Robert Hale.U.K.
- Ghaskadbi, S, and Vaidya, V. G. (1991). Studies on modulation of the effects of colchicine by L-cysteine using bone marrow of swiss mice. Mutation Research, 260:181-185.
- Gilani, A. H. and Atta-ur-Rahman (2005). Trends in ethnopharmacology. Journal of Ethnopharmacology, 100: 43-49.
- Goldman, J. and Tarig, M. (1999). Understanding Leukemia and Related Cancer. Blackwell Science, UK. 3- 45.
- Gosh, B. B.; Talukder, G. and Shorama, A. (1991). Effect of culture media on spontaneous residence of mitotic index, chromosomal aberration,

micronucleus counts, sister chromatid exchange and cell cycle. Kinetics in principle blood lymphocytes of male and female donars. Cytobios, **67**: 71-75.

- Granfield, T. and Bunch, C. (1995). Acute leukemia. Medicine, 23: 503-508.
- Gurib-Fakim, A. (2005). Medicinal plants. Traditions of yesterday and drugs of tomorrow. Molecular Aspects of Medicine.
- Haen, P. J. (1995). Principles of Hematology. Edited by L. H. Young and W. B. Publisher, London. pp: 310-325.
- Hafez, B. and Hafez, E. S. E. (2000). Reproduction in farm animals. Awolters kluwer Company.
- Hammer, K. A.; Carson, C. F. and Riley, T. V. (1999). Antimicrobial activity of essential oils and other plant extracts. Journal of Applied Microbiology, 86: 985-990.
- Hande, R. K. and Chabner B. A. (1997). Pyramidine enclete monophosphate kinase from human leukemia blast cells. Cancer Research, 38: 579-585.
- Hannun, Y. (1997). Apoptosis and the dilemman of cancer chemotherapy. Blood. 89: 1845.
- Haung, C. C.; Jan, J. C.; Pacholec, P. D. and Chapman, V. M. (1990). Comparison of base line sister chromatid exchanges (SCE), cyclophosphamide-ethylnitrosourea (ENU), induce cell cycle delay and chromosome aberrations between Peru and laboratory mice. Mutation Research, 230: 93-100.
- Helen, F.; Mariamaman; Francis, B. and Denisthioled (2002). Reseveratrol inhibit the growth and induce apoptosis of both normal and leukemic Cells. Carcinogenesis, 23:1327-1333.
- Henshaw, D. L.; Etough, J. P. and Richardson, R. P. (1990). Causative factor in the induction of myeloid leukemia and other cancer in adults and children. Lancet, 335: 1008-1012.

- Hohmann, J.; Zupko, I.; Redei, D.; Csany, M.; Falkay, G.; Mathe, I. and Janicsak, G. (1999). Protective effects of the aerial parts of *Salvia* officinalis, Melissa officinalis and lavandula angustitolia and their constituents against enzyme-dependant and enzyme-independant lipid peroxidation. Planta Medica, 65: 576-578.
- Hudson, I. and Hay, F. (1989). Immunology. Section I, Backwell Scientific publication. pp. 116.
- Hughes, D. A. (2001). Dietary carotenoids and human immune function. Nutrition, 1:823-827.
- Huk, I.; Boovkych, V. and Nanobashvill, S. (1998). Bioflavonoid quercetin scavenges superoxide and increases nitricoxide concentration in ischaerria-reperfusion injury, an experimental study. British Journal of Surgery, 85:1080-1085.
- Hussein, F. T. K. (1981). Agriculture and composition of medicinal plants. Mars House for Publication, Al-Reya.
- Internet (I). http://plants usda.gov/classification-about.htm/
- ✤ Internet (II). Sage (Salvia officinalis). www.csdl.tamn.edu/FLORA/gallery.htm
- Jacayan, J.; Abtahamasohn, I. A. and Macedo, M. S. (2001). Modulation of delayed type hypersensetivity during the time course of immune response to aprotien antigen. Immunology, 102:373-379.
- ✤ John, S. L. (2000). Childhood leukemia, the facts. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition.Oxford University Press.
- Jones, S. B. and Luchsinger, A. E. (1987). Plant systemaics, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, New York.
- Kanazawa, K.; Yamashita, T.; Ashida, H. and Danno, G. (1998). Antimytagenicity of flavons and flavonols to heterocyclic amines by spesific and strong inhibition of the cytochrome P4501 A family. Bioscience Biotechnology Biochemistry, 62:970-77.

- Kang, B. Y.; Chung, S. W. and Kim, S. H. (2000). Inhibition of interleukin-12 and interferon-gamma production in immune cell by tashinones from *Salvia miltiorrhiza*. Immunopharmacology, **49**: 355-61.
- Katsan, M. B.; Kanman, C. E. and Leonard, C. J. (1995). P<sup>53</sup> Cell cycle control and apoptosis implication for cancer. Cancer Metastasis Research, 14: 3-15.
- Kavvadias, D.; Monschein, V.; Sand, P.; Riederer, P. and Schreier, P. (2003). Constituents of sage (*Salvia officinalis*) with in vitro affinity to human brain benzodiapine receptor. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 69:113-117.
- Kennedy, D. O.; Pace, S.; Haskell, C.; Okello, E. J.; Milne, A. and Scholey, A. B. (2006). Effects of cholinesterase inhibiting sage (*Salvia officinalis*) on mood, anxiety and performance on a psychological stressor battery. Neuropsychiatrypharmacology, **31**: 845-852.
- Kojima, H. and Kuroda, Y. (1992). Effect of L-ascorbic acid on the mutagenicity of ethyl methane-sulfonate in cultured mammalian cells. Mutation Research, 266: 85-91.
- Kramer, R. J. (2003). Complete Blood Count. Internet./www.jci.org/ cgi/content.
- Kufe, D. W.; Munroe, D. and Herrick, D. (1984). Effect of 1-B-D arabinofur anosylcytosine incorporation on eukaryotic DNA template function. Molecular Pharmacology, 26: 128.
- Kuroda, Y.; Jian, A. K.; Tezuka, H. and Kada, T. (1992). Antimutagenecity in cultured mammalian cells. Mutation Research, 267:201-9.
- Lamaison, J. L.; Petitjean-Freytet, C.; Duband, F. and Carnat, A.P. (1991). Rosmarinic acid content and antioxidant activity in French Lamiaceae. Fitoterapia, 62: 166-171.
- Laurence, D. R. (1996). Clinical Pharmacology. 12<sup>th</sup> Edition. Edinburgh London and New York: 70-82.

- Lawrence, B. M. (1992). Progress in essential oils. Perfumer and Flavorist. 23: 47-57.
- Lima, C. F.; Andrade, P. B.; Seabra, R. M.; Fernandes-Ferreira, M. and Pereira-Walson, C. (2005). The drinking of *Salvia officinalis* infusion improves liver antioxidant status in mice and rats. Journal of Ethnopharmacology, 97: 383-389.
- Lu, Y. and Foo, L. (2000). Flavonoid and phenolic glycosides from Salvia officinalis. Phytochemistry, 55: 263-267.
- Lu, Y. and Foo, L. Y. (2001). Antioxidant activities of polyphenols from sage (*Salvia officinalis*). Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 75: 197-202.
- Lu, Y. and Foo, L. Y. (2002). Polyphenols from Salvia-a review. Phytochemistry, 59:117-140.
- Lu, Y.; Foo, L. and Wong, H. (1999). Sage coumarin, a novel caffeic acid trimmer from *Salvia officinalis*. Phytochemistry, 52 : 1149-1152.
- Lydyard, P. and Grossi, C. (1998). Cells involved in the immune response. In: Immunology, 5<sup>th</sup> Edition, Edited by I. Roitt, J. Biostoff and D. Male. Mosby International Ltd. u. k. pp. 14-30.
- Manach, C.; Regerat, F. and Texier, O. (1996). Biovialability, metabolism and physiological impact of 4-oxo-flavonoid. Nutrition Research, 16:517-44.
- Marteins, P. M.; Schasion, H. and Jonzer, J. (1999). Chronic myeloid leukemia: No rearrangement of the break point cluster region. Cancer Genet Cytogenet, 36: 227-229.
- Martino-Roth, M. G.; Viegas, J. and Roth, D. M. (2003). Occupational genotoxicity risk evaluation through the comet assay and the micronucleus test. Genetics and Molecular Biology, 2:410-417.
- ✤ McCowage, G. B.; Frush, D.P. and Kutzbery, J. (1996). Successful treatment of two children with langerhanse cell histocytosis with 2-

deoxycoformycine. Journal of Pediatric Hematology Oncology, **18**: 154-158.

- McGuire, T. R. and Kazakoff, P. W. (1999). Chronic Leukemia. In: Dieiro J. T., Talpert R. L., Yee G., Stamford C. T.(eds). Appellation and Lange:2169-2179.
- Mertens, R.; Rubbert, F. and Bussing, A. (1995). Childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) sister chromatid exchange (SCE) frequency and lymphocyte sub-population during therapy. Leukemia, 9: 501-505.
- Metcalf, J. A.; Gallin, M. D.; Nauseef, M. D. and Root, R. K. (1986). Laboratory Manual of Neutrophil Function. Raven Press New York, U.S.A.
- Micallef, I.N.; Rohatiner, A.Z. and Carter, M. (2001). Long-term outcome of patients surviving for more than ten years following treatment for acute leukemia. British Journal of Hematology, 113: 443-5.
- Mimica-Dukic, N. (2001). Proceeding of the V<sup>th</sup> international symposium on interdisciplinary regional research, Szeged, hungary. pp. 30-38.
- Miski, M.; Ulubele, A. and Mabry, T. J. (1983). 6-Hydroxy flavones from *Thymbra spicata*. Phytochemistry, 22: 2093-94.
- Miura, K.; Kikuzaki, H. and Nakatani, N. (2002). Antioxidant of chemical components from sage (*Salvia officinalis* L.) and thyme (*Thymus vulgaris* L.) measured by the oil stability index method. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, **50**: 1845-1851.
- Moller, P. and Loft, S. (2002). Oxidative DNA damage in human white blood cells in dietary antioxidant intervention studies. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 76: 303-310.
- Moore, A. R.; Gilroy, D. W.; Colville-Nash, P. R.;Greenslade, K.; Asculai, S and Willoughby, D. A. (1999). Effects of hyluronan on models

of immediate and delayed hypersensetivity in the rat.International Journal of Immunopharmacology, **21**: 195-203.

- Mukheef, F. J. (1999). Cytogenetic study on leukemia. M.Sc. Thesis, College of Scince/Al-Nahrain University/ Iraq.
- Mukherjee, A. K.; Basu, S.; Sarkar, N. and Ghosh, A. C. (2001). Advances in cancer threapy with plant natural products. Current Madicinal Chemistry, 8: 1467-1486.
- ✤ Myres, R. L. (Editor) (1995). Immunology, a laboratory manual, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition.Wm.C.Brown Communications, Ine.USA. pp. 109-112.
- Nadir, M. T.; Salih, F. M.; Dhahir, A. J.; Nori, M. and Hussain, A. M. (1986). Antimicrobial activity of *Salvia* species indigenous to Iraqi. Journal Biological Science Research, 17: 109-117.
- Nandy, P.; Piriclou, A. P. and Avrams, V. (1999). The synergism of 6mercaptopurine plus cytosine arabinoside followed by PEG-ASP aragise in human leukemia cell lines (CCRF/CEM/O and CRF/CEM/ara-C/7A) is due to increased cellular apoptosis. Anticancer Research, 18:727-738.
- Nara, N. and McCulloch, E. (1985). The proliferation in suspension of the progenitors of the blast cells in acute myeloblastic leukemia. Blood, 65: 1484-1492.
- Nath, J.; Krishna, G.; Petersen, M. and Ong, T. (1988). Sister chromatid exchanges induced by triethyleneamine: *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies in mouse and Chinese hamster bone marrow and spleen cells, Mutation Research, 206: 73-82.
- Ninomiya, K.; Matsuda, H.; Shimoda, H.; Nishida, N.; Kasajima, N.; Yoshino, T.; Morikawa, T. and Yoshikawa, M. (2004). Carnosic acid, a new class of lipid absorption inhibitor from sage. Bioorganic and Medicinal chemistry letters, 14: 1943-1946.
- Noguchi, N.; Watanabe, A. and Shi, H. (2000). Free Radiation Research, 33: 809-817.

- Owens, J. K.; Shewach, D. S.; Ullman, B. and Mitchell, R. S. (1992). Resistance to 1-B-D arabinofur anosylcytosine in human T-Lymphobasts mediated by mutation within the deoxycytidine kinase gene. Cancer Research, 52: 2389.
- Patton, J. L. (1967). Chromosome studies of certain pocket genus perogenathus (Radentia: Heteromyidae). Journal of Mammalogy, 48: 27-37.
- Pearce, B. C.; Paker, R. A.; deason, M. E.; Qureshi, A. A. and Wright, J. J. (1992). Hypocholesterolemic activity of synthetic and natural tocortienols. Journal of Medical Chemistry, 35: 3595-606.
- Peckham, M.; Herpert, P.; and Umberto, V. (1995). Oxford Text Book of Oncology, Oxford University Press. pp. 1608-1627.
- Pereira, R. S.; Sumita, T. C.; Furlan, M. R.; Jorge, A. O. C. and Ueno, M. (2004). Antibacterial activity of essential oils on microorganisms isolated from urinary truct infection. Review Saudi Publication, 38.
- Perez-Serrano, J.; Denegri, G.; Casado, N. and Fodriguez-Cabaeiro (1997). *In vivo* effect of oral albendazole and albendazole sulphoxide on development of secondary echinococcosij in mice. International Journal for Parasitology, 27: 1341-1345.
- ◆ Philips, R. and Foy, N. (Editor) (1990). Herbs. Pan Books Ltd. U.K.
- Pieters, L. and Vlietinck, A. J. (2005). Bioguided isolation of pharmacologically active plant components, still a valuable strategy for the finding of new lead compounds?. Journal of Ethnopharmacology, 100: 57-60.
- Pitarevic, I.; Kuftinec, J.; Blazevic, N. and Kustrak, D. (1984). Seasonal variation of essential oil yield and composition of Dalmation sage, *Salvia officinalis*. Journal of National Products, 47: 409-412.
- Rahim, R. T.; Meissler, J. J.; Zhang, L.; Adler, M.W.; Rogers, T. J. and Eisenstein, T. K. (2003). Withdrawel from morphine in mice suppresses

splenic macrophage function, cytokine production and costimulatory molecules. Journal of Neuroimmunology, **144**: 16-27.

- Raj, A. S.; Heddle, J. A.; Newmark, H. L. and Katz, M. (1983). Caffeic acid as an inhibitor of DMB-iduced chromosomal breakage in mice assessted by bone-marrow micronucleus test. Mutation Research, 124: 247-53.
- Ramakers-van Woerden, N. L.; Pietres, R.; Loonen, A. H.; Hubeek, I.; van Drunen, E.; Beverloo, H. B.; Salter, R. M.; Harboot, J.; Seyfarth, J.; van Wering, E. R.; Hahlen, K.; Schmiegelow, K.; Janka-Schaub, G. E. and Veerman, A. J. P. (2000). TEL/AML 1 gene fusion is related to *in vitro* drug sensitivity for L-asparaginase in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Blood, **96**: 1094-1099.
- Rao, V. S. N.; Paiva, L. A. F.; Souza, M. F.; Campos, A. R.;Ribeiro, R. A.; Brito, G. A. C.; Teixeiro, M. J. and Silveira, E. R. (2003). Ternatin, an anti-inflammantory flavonoid, inhibits thioglycolate-elicited eritoneal neutrophil accumulation and LPS-activated nitric oxide production in murine macrophages. Planta Medical, 69: 851-853.
- Reynolds, E. F. (1989). Martindale the Extra Pharmacopoeia. 29<sup>th</sup> Edition. Kathlan Parfitt, London. The Pharmaceutical Press. pp. 580-589.
- Ricard, A. G.; Thomas, J. K. and Barbara, A. O. (2000). Kuby immunology. 4<sup>th</sup> Edition. Edited by W. H. Feeman and company, New York.
- Richmann, R. (2000). Acute lymphoblastic leukemia. A review Trinity Student Medical Journal. Internet/<u>www.at.Embant</u>/.
- Rois, J. L. and Recio, M. C. (2005) Medicinal plants and antimicrobial activity. Journal of Ethnopharmacology, 100:80-84.
- Ryu, S. Y.; Lee, C. O. and Choi, S. U. (1997). *In vitro* cytotoxicity of tanshinones from *Salvia miltiorrhiza*.Planta Medical, 63:339-42.
- Salganik, R. I. (2001). The benefits and hazards of antioxidant: controlling apoptosis and other protective mechanisms in cancer patients

and the human population. Journal of American College Health, **20**: 464-472.

- Saller, R.; Buechl, S.; Meyrat, R. and Schmidhauser, C. (2001). Combined herbal preparation for topical treatment of herps labialis. Forsch-Komplementarmed-Klass-Nalurheild. 8: 373-382.
- Samejima, K.; Kanazawa, K.; Ashida, H. and Danno, G. (1995). Luteolin:a strong antimutagin against dietary carcinogen 3-amino-1methyl-5H-pyrido [4,3-10] indole (Trp-P-2). Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 46: 4864-86.
- Samejma, K.; Kanazawa, K.; Ashida, H. and Danno, G. (1998). Baylaural contains antimutagenic kaempferyl coumarate against the dietary carcinogen 3-amino-1-methel-SH-pyrido (4,3-10) indole (Trp-p-2). Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 46: 4864-68.
- Santos-Gomes, P. C. and Fernandes-Ferreira, M. (2001). Organ- and season-dependant variation in the essential oil composition of *Salvia* officinalis L. cultivated at two different sites. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, **49**:2908-2916.
- Santos-Gomes, P. C.; Seabra, R. M.; Andrade, P. B. and Fernandes-Ferreira, M. (2002). Phenolic antioxidant compounds produced in vitro shoots of sage (*Salvia officinalis* L.). Plant Science, 162: 981-987.
- Sarasin, A. (2003). An overview of the mechanism of mutagenesis and carcinogenesis. Mutation Research, 544: 99-106.
- Sasaki, Y. F.; Imanishi, H.; Ohta, T.; Watanabe, M.; Matsumoto, K. and shirasa, Y. (1998). Suppressing effect of tannic acid on UV and chemically induced chromosome aberration in cultured mammalian cell. Agricultural Biology Chemistry, 52: 3423-28.
- Sato, M.; Sato, T. and Ose, Y. (1992). Modulating effect of tanshinones on mutagenic activity of Trp-p-1 and benzene (a) pyrene in *Salmonella typhimurium*. Mutation Research, 265: 49-54.

- Schmid, W. (1976). The cell micronucleus test for cytogenes analysis in: Hollaender, A. (Ed.) Chemical Mutagens principles and Methods for their Detection. Volume Four. Plenum Press, New York and London. pp:31-53.
- Schoenherr, W. D. and Jewell, D. E. (1997). Nutritional modification of inflammantry disease. Seminars in Veterinary Medicine and Surgery-Small Animal, 12: 212-22.
- **Schroder**, T. M. (1966) Human Genetic. **2**: 287-3116.
- Schroder, T. M. (1970). Chemical mutagenesis in mammals and man, Springer, Heideberg, pp. 214-219.
- Seely, R. R.; Stephens, T. D. and Tate, P. (1996). Essentials of Anatomy and Physiology. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition.
- Seiter, K. (2001). Acute lymphoblastic leukemia treatment.Calrance sarkode. Internet/<u>www.emidicine.Com</u>/.
- Shovlin, C. L.; Lamb, J. R. and Haslett, C. (1999). The molecular and cellular basis of disease. In haslet, C, Chilvers, R. E., Hunter, A. J. and Boon, A. N. (Eds) Davidson, principles and practice of medicine. 18<sup>th</sup> Edition. Carchillvingston, New York: 1-56.
- Simon, J. E.; Chadwick, A. F. and Craker, L. E. (1984). Herbs: an indexed bibliography: 1971-1980.In: The Scientific Literature on Selected Herbs and Aromatic Medicinal Plants of the Temperate Zone, Edited by C. T. Hamden. Arch on Books. pp. 770.
- Sivropoulou, A.; Nikolaou, C.; Papanikolaou, E.; Kokkini, S.; Lanaras, T. and Arsenakis, M. (1997). Antimicrobial, Cytotoxic and Antiviral activities of *Salvia Fructicosa* essential oil . Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 45: 3197-32010.
- Smith, T. J. and Yang, C. S. (1996). Effects of food phytochemicals or xenobiotic metabolism.In: Huang, M.T., Osawa, T., Ho C.T., Rosen, R.T., eds. Food phytochemicals for cancer prevention I. Fruits and vegetables. Washington, D.C. American Chemical Society: 17-48.

- Sood, R. (1986). Hematology for Students and Practitioners. Jaypee Brothers, New Delhi, India.
- Steinmetz, K. A. and Potter, J. D. (1991). Vegetables, fruit and cancer.II Mechanisms. Cancer Causes Control, 2: 427-42.
- Surth, Y. J.; Hurh, Y. J.; Kang, J. Y.; Lee, E.; Kong, G. and Lee, S. J. (1999). Reveratrol an antioxidant present in red wine induces apoptosis in human promyelocytic leukemia (HL-60) cells. Proceeding in Natural Science . Republic China, 23: 99-106.
- Szalai, A. J.; Digerness, S. B.; Agrawal, A.; Kearney, J. F.; Bucy, R.P.; Niwas, S. and Kilpatrik, J. M. (2000). The Arthus reaction in rodents species requirement of complement, Journal of Immunology, 164: 463-468.
- Szende, B.; Tyihak, E. and Kiraly-Veghely, Z. (2000). Dose dependant effect of resveratrol on proliferation and apoptosis in endothelial and tumer cell culture. Experimental Molecular and Medicine, 32: 88-92.
- Tian, Y.; Ishikawa, H.; Piao, F. Y.; Yamamoto, H.; Yamauchi, T.; Duan, Z. W.; Zhany, Y. M.; Ma, M. Y.; and Cui, J. S. (2003). Micronucleus assay of human Capillary blood lymphocytes methods. Occupational Health Nursing Journal, 45: 408-409.
- Topham, J. C. (1980). The detection of carcinogen induced sperm head abnormalities mice. Mutation Research, 69: 149-155.
- Triolo, A. J.; Osteholm, L. and Kratky, M. T. (1989). Enhancement of the Arthus and suppression of delayed type hypersensitivity (DTH) by bluronic f 68. A detergent frequently used to prepase perf carbon emulsion. International Journal of Immunopharmacology, 11: 41-48.
- TZC-Chen, H.; Gloria, J.; Kiewicz, Z.B.G. and Jos, P. M. (1999). Resveratral increase nitricoxide synthase induce accumulation of P<sup>53</sup> and P<sup>21</sup>WAFI/CIPI suppress cultured bovine pulmonary artery endothelial cell proliferation. Cancer Research, **59**: 2596-2601.

- Uckumn, M. F.; Marth, G.; Sensial, I.; Peter, G. and Steinher, M. (1998).
   Biology and treatment of childhood T-lineage ALL. Blood, 91: 735-746.
- Umemoto, A.; Monden, Y.; Suwa, M.; Kanno, Y.; Suzuki, M.; Lin, C.; Veyama, Y.; Abdul Monem, M. D.; Ravindernath, A.; Shibutani, S. and Komaki, K. (2000). Identification of hepatic tamoxifen-DNA adducts in mice. Carcinogengenesis, 21: 1737-1744.
- Uziely, B.; Lewin, A.; Brufman, G.; Dormbus, D. and Mor-Yousif, S. (1993). The effect of tamoxifen on the endometrium. Breast Cancer, 26: 101-105.
- Von Ledebur, M. and Shmid, W. (1973). Mutation Research, 19: 109-117.
- Vujosevic, M. and Blagojevic, J. (2005). Antimutagenic effects of extracts from sage (*Salvia officinalis*) in mammalian system *in vitro*. Acta Vetrinaria Hungarica, 52: 439-443.
- Vukovic-Gacic, B. and Simic, D. (1993). Identification of natural antimutagen with modulating effects on DNA repair. In: Bronzetti, G.; Hayatsu, H.; Deflora, S.; Waters, M. D. and Shankel, D. M. (Editors). Antimutagenesis and Anticarcinogenesis Mechanisms III, Plenum, New York, pp.269-77.
- Wang, M.; Kikuzaki, H.; Zhu, N.; Sang, S.; Nakatani, N. and Ho, C-T. (2000). Isolation and structure elucidation of two new glycosides from sage (*Salvia officinalis*). Journal of Agricultural and Food Cheistry, 48: 235-238.
- Wyrobek, A. J. and Bruce, W. R. (1975). Chemical indication of spermalities in mice. Proceeding of National Academy of Science, 72: 4425-4429.
- Xiong, L. L. (1993). Therapeutic effect of combined therapy of Salvia miliorrizae and polyporus umbellatus polysaccharide in the treatment of chronic hepatits B.Chung-Kuo-Chung-His-I-Chieh-Ho-Tsa-Chih. 13: 533-516.

- Yaseen, N. Y. (1990). Cytogenetic study of human colorectal cancer. Ph.D. Thesis/University Sheffield. U. K..
- Zheng, G. Q.; Kenny, P. M. and Lam, L. K. T. (1993). Potential anticarcinogenic natural products isolated from lemengrass oil and galanga root oil. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 41:153-6.
- Zupko, I.; Hohmann, J.; Redei, D.; Falkay, G.; Janicsak, G. and Mathe, I. (2001). Antioxidant activity of leaves of *Salvia* species in enzymedependant and enzyme-independant systems of lipid peroxidantion and their phenolic constituents. Planta Medica, 67: 336- 368.