This thesis consider the normal distribution with its important appearance in many statistical fields of applications. Some mathematical and statistical properties of the distribution have been collected and illustrated with moments and higher moments. Six related theorems have been studied in the applications of this type of distribution.

The estimation manner and its properties have been illustrated throughout two methods (Moment and Maximum Likelihood methods) which are used to estimate the distribution parameters theoretically. Equality and properties of estimation have been studied throughout many well-known theorems.

Five methods to approximate the cumulative distribution function have been used namely: Trapezoidal, Simpson, Gaussian, Hit or Miss and Sample mean rules. The results of these rules have been compared in its behavior and error of approximation resulted from each method. The comparison shows clearly that the last method "Sample mean rule" is the best method among of five methods for approximating the solution for this type of functions. In addition to that the results of each method have been represented by curves line and numerical tables for helping in reading and comparing the results of each method with each other.

Finally four procedures for generating random varieties from normal distribution are discussed which are Box-Muller, Acceptance-Rejection, Central limit theorem and Tocher procedures and their efficiencies which are compared theoretically and practically by Monte Carlo simulation. The results of comparison shows that the Box-Muller procedure is the best one among three methods for this type of generation in sense of time consuming.
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## Appendix

1 pproximation ${ }^{1}$ rograms

## A1: Approximation by Trapezoidal Rule

```
program trapezod;
uses crt;
var
    j,i,a,n:integer;
    er,ex,b,x,h,sum,g,z,s:real;
    g1,f1,f2:text;
    function f(x:real):real;
    begin
        f:=exp((-1/2)*sqr(x));
    end;
    begin
clrscr;
    assign(f1,'h:\newlex.dat');
    reset(f1);
    assign(f2,'h:\new\x.dat');
    reset(f2);
    a:=0;
    b:=0;
        writeln(' x g(x) Erorr');
        writeln('--------------------------------');
        j:=0;
        while not eof(f2) do
        begin
        readln(f2,b);
        h:=(b-a)/10;
        sum:=0;
        for i:=1 to 9 do
            begin
```

```
            x:=a+i*h;
            sum:=sum+2*f(x);
end;
g:=(h/2)*(f(a)+sum+f(b));
z:=(1/sqrt(2*pi))*g;
s:=(1/2)+z;
readln(fl,ex);
er:=abs(ex-s);
writeln(b:6:3,' ',s:15:8,' ',er:15:8);
{b:=b+0.05;}
j:=j+1;
if j=33 then begin j:=0; readln; end;
```

end;
readln;
end.

## A2: Approximation by Simpson Rule

```
program simpson;
uses crt;
var
    j,i,a,n:integer; b,x,h,sum1,sum2,g,z,s,ex,er:real;
    g1,f1:text;
    function f(x:real):real;
    begin
        f:=exp((-1/2)*sqr(x));
    end;
    begin
    clrscr;
        a:=0;
        assign(f1,'h:\newlex.dat');
        reset(fl);
        b:=0;
        writeln(' x g(x) Erorr');
        writeln('----------------------------------
        j:=0;
        while not eof(f1) do
        begin
        h:=(b-a)/10;
        sum1:=0; sum2:=0;
        for i:=1 to 9 do
```

```
    begin
    x:=a+i*h;
    if i mod 2 =0 then
    sum1:=sum1+2*f(x)
    else sum2:=sum2+4*f(X)
    end;
    g:=(h/3)*(f(a)+sum1+sum2+f(b));
    z:=(1/sqrt(2*pi))*g;
    s:=(1/2)+z;
    readln(f1,ex);
    er:=abs(ex-s);
    writeln(b:6:2,' ',s:6:3,' ',er:15:8);
    b:=b+0.05;
    j:=j+1;
    if j=5 then begin readln; j:=0; end;
    end;
    readln;
end.
```


## A3: Approximation by Gaussian Quadrature Rule

program gauss;
\{uses crt; $\}$
type
$\mathrm{c}=\operatorname{array}[1 . .100]$ of real;
var
j,i,a,n:integer;
b,sum,v,g,z,ex,er:real;
x,w:c;
f1,f2:text;
function $f(x$ :real):real;
begin
$\mathrm{f}:=\left(1 /\left(\operatorname{sqrt}\left(2^{*} \mathrm{pi}\right)\right)\right) * \exp (-\operatorname{sqr}(\mathrm{x}) / 2)$;
end;
begin
$\mathrm{x}[1]:=0.577350269189626$;
$\mathrm{x}[2]:=-\mathrm{x}[1]$;
$\mathrm{w}[1]:=1.000000000000000$;
$\mathrm{w}[2]:=\mathrm{w}[1]$;
assign(f1,'h:\newlex.dat');
reset(f1);

```
assign(f2,'h:\new\x.dat');
reset(f2);
a:=0;
b:=0;
writeln(' x g(x) Erorr');
writeln('---------------------------------
n:=2;
j:=0;
while not eof(f2) do
begin
sum:=0;
readln(f2,b);
for i:=1 to n do
begin
v:=((b-a)/2)*x[i]+(b+a)/2;
sum:=sum+f(v)*w[i];
g:=((b-a)/2)*sum;
z:=0.5+g;
end;
readln(f1,ex);
er:=abs(ex-z);
writeln(b:6:2,'' ',z:15:8,' ',er:15:8);
{b:=b+0.05;}
j:=j+1;
if j=30 then begin j:=0; readln; end;
end;
end.
```


## A4: Approximation by Hit or Miss Rule

```
program HitMiss;
var
N,i,j,NH:integer;
U:array [1..3500] of real;
U1:array [1..3500] of real;
xa:array [1..3500] of real;
g1,z,a,b,x,s,ex,er:real;
f1,f2:text;
function g (x:real):real;
begin
\[
\mathrm{g}:=\exp ((-1 / 2) * \operatorname{sqr}(\mathrm{x}))
\]
```

```
end;
begin
N:=40;
a:=0;
randomize;
    assign(f1,'c:\tp\bin\ex.dat');
    reset(f1);
    assign(f2,'c:\tp\bin\x.dat');
    reset(f2);
    writeln(' x g(x) Erorr');
    writeln('-------------------------------');
while not eof(f2) do
    begin
        readln(f2,b);
        readln(fl,ex);
        {writeln(pi:10:5);}
        NH:=0;
        for i:=1 to N do
            begin
                U[i]:=random;
                xa[i]:=a+U[i]*(b-a);
            end;
        for i:=1 to N do
            U1[i]:=random;
        for i:=1 to N do
            if g(xa[i])>(g(b)*U1[i]) then
                NH:=NH+1;
        g1:=g(b)*((b-a)*NH)/N;
        z:=(1/sqrt(2*pi))*g1;
        s:=(1/2)+z;
    er:=abs(ex-s);
    writeln(b:6:2,' ',s:10:8,' ',er:10:8);
    j:=j+1;
    if j=30 then begin j:=0; readln; end;
    end;
end.
```

A ${ }^{0}$ : Approximation by Sample Mean Rule
program Samplemean;

```
var
    N,i,j,NH:integer;
    U:array [1..3500] of real;
    xa:array [1..3500] of real;
    z,g1,a,b,x,s,sum,ex,er:real;
    f1,f2:text;
function g(x:real):real;
begin
    g:=exp((-1/2)*sqr(x));
end;
begin
N:=300;
a:=0;
randomize;
    assign(f1,'c:\tp\bin\ex.dat');
    reset(f1);
    assign(f2,'c:\tp\bin\x.dat');
    reset(f2);
    writeln(' x g(x) Erorr');
    writeln('-------------------------------');
while not eof(f2) do
    begin
        readln(f2,b);
        readln(fl,ex);
        sum:=0;
        for i:=1 to N do
            begin
                U[i]:=random;
                xa[i]:=a+U[i]*(b-a);
                sum:=sum+g(xa[i]);
            end;
            g1:=(b-a)*(1/N) * sum;
            z:=(1/sqrt(2*pi))*g1;
            s:=(1/2)+z;
        er:=abs(ex-s);
        writeln(b:6:2,' ',s:10:8,' ',er:10:8);
        j:=j+1;
        if j=30 then begin j:=0; readln; end;
    end;
end.
```


## Appendix

## $\left.E_{\text {stimation }}\right|_{\text {rograms }}$

## B1: N-1 Procedure

program acc_rej;
uses crt;
type
$\mathrm{a}=\operatorname{array}[1 . .2000]$ of real;
var
grd,grm,ercd,i,nx,ny,r,n:integer;
x:a; u,u1,u2,sumx,sumy,sumr,xb,c,v,sg,y,y2,avr:real;
begin
clrscr;
randomize;
$\mathrm{n}:=0$;
while $\mathrm{n}<1000$ do
begin
$\mathrm{n}:=\mathrm{n}+100$;
sumx: $=0$; sumy: $=0$; sumr: $=0$;
for $\mathrm{i}:=1$ to n do
begin
r:=0;
repeat
$\mathrm{r}:=\mathrm{r}+1$;
u1:=random;
u2: = random;
$\mathrm{y}:=-\ln (\mathrm{u} 2)$;
$\mathrm{y} 2:=\exp ((-1 / 2) * \operatorname{sqr}(\mathrm{y}-1))$
until not(ul>y2);
$\mathrm{u}:=$ random;
if $\mathrm{u} 1<=1 / 2$ then $\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{i}]:=\mathrm{y}$
else $x[i]:=-y$;

```
sumx:=sumx+x[i];
sumy:=sumy+sqr(x[i]);
sumr:=sumr+r;
end;
xb:=sumx/n;
sg:=(sumy-n*sqr(xb))/(n-1);
avr:=sumr/n;
c:=1/avr;
writeln(n:3,'Xb=',xb:7:3,' Segma= ',sg:7:3,avr:7:3,c:7:3);
end;
readln;
end.
```


## B2: N-2 Procedure

```
program BoxMuller;
uses crt,dos;
type
a=array[1..1000]of real;
var
    h2,m2,s2,ms2:word;
    i,n,k:integer;
    x,y,v:a; u1,u2,z,sum,sumx,xb,sg,x1,y1,sumt,avr,c:real;
    begin
    clrscr;
    randomize;
    n:=0;
    sumt:=0;
    while n<100 do
    begin
    settime(0,0,0,0);
    n:=n+10;
    for i:=1 to n do
begin
    u1:=random;
    u2:=random;
    x[i]:=sqrt(-2* ln(u1))*
    y[i]:=sqrt(-2*\operatorname{ln}(\textrm{u}1))*\operatorname{sin}(2*\textrm{pi*u}2);
    writeln(x[i],y[i]);
    end;
    gettime(h2,m2,s2,ms2);
```

```
sumt:=sumt+s2*100+ms2;
writeln(n:3,s2:3,ms2:3);
readln;
end;
avr:=sumt/10;
c:=1/avr;
writeln(avr:6:3,c:6:3);
for i:=1 to 200 do
begin
if i<=100 then
v[i]:=x[i]
else v[i]:=y[i-100];
z:=(1/sqrt(2*pi))*exp((-1/2)*sqr(v[i]));
end;
n:=0;
while n<200 do
begin
n:=n+20;
sum:=0; sumx:=0;
for }\textrm{i}:=1\mathrm{ to n do
begin
sum:=sum+v[i];
sumx:=sumx+sqr(v[i]);
end;
xb:=sum/n;
sg:=(sumx-n*sqr(xb))/(n-1);
writeln;
writeln('Xb=',xb:7:3,' Segma= ',sg:7:3);
end;
readln;
readln;
end.
```


## B3: N-3 Procedure

program Central;
uses crt, dos;
type
$\mathrm{a}=$ array[1..1000]of real;
var
h2,s2,m2,ms2:word;

```
i,j,nx,ny,n:integer;
    x:a; u,u1,u2,sumx,sumy,sumu,xb,v,vb,ub,y,y2,avr:real;
begin
    clrscr;
    randomize;
    n:=0;
    while n<200 do
    begin
    settime(0,0,0,0);
    n:=n+20;
    sumx:=0; sumy:=0;
    for i:=1 to n do
    begin
        sumu:=0;
        for j:=1 to 10 do
        begin
        u1:=random;
        sumu:=sumu+u1;
        end;
        ub:=sumu/10;
        x[i]:=sqrt(12*10)*(ub-0.5);
        writeln(x[i]:9:3);
        sumx:=sumx+x[i];
        sumy:=sumy+sqr(x[i]);
        end;
        xb:=sumx/n;
        vb:=(sumy-n*sqr(xb))/(n-1);
        gettime(h2,m2,s2,ms2);
        writeln(n:3,s2:3,ms2:3,'xb',xb:9:4,'vb',vb:9:4);
        readln;
        end;
        readln;
end.
```


## B4: N-4 Procedure

program tocher;
uses crt,dos;
type
a=array[1..1000]of real;
var
h2,m2,s2,ms2:word;
i,nx,ny,n:integer;
x:a; u1,u2,sumx,sumy,xb,v1,v2,vb,y,k:real;
begin
clrscr;
randomize;
$\mathrm{n}:=0$;
while $\mathrm{n}<200$ do
begin
$\mathrm{n}:=\mathrm{n}+20$
settime ( $0,0,0,0$ );
sumx: $=0$; sumy: $=0$;
for $\mathrm{i}:=1$ to n do
begin
u1:=random;
u2:=random;
$\mathrm{y}:=0.5 * \operatorname{sqrt}(\mathrm{pi} / 2) * \ln ((1+\mathrm{u} 1) /(1-\mathrm{u} 2))$;
if $\mathrm{u} 2<=0.5$ then $\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{i}]:=\mathrm{y}$
else $x[i]:=-y$;
writeln(x[i]:9:3);
sumx:=sumx+x[i];
sumy:=sumy+sqr(x[i]);
end;
xb:=sumx/n;
$\mathrm{vb}:=($ sumy- $\mathrm{n} * \mathrm{sqr}(\mathrm{xb})) /(\mathrm{n}-1)$;
gettime(h2,m2,s2,ms2);
writeln(n:3,s2:3,ms2:3,'xb',xb:9:4,'vb',vb:9:4);
readln;
end;
readln;
end.

## CHAPTER

## 1

## г.........

### 1.1 Introduction

Basic mathematical and statistical properties of normal distribution are discussed in this chapter which is involve six sections as follows. Section 1.2 basic properties of normal distribution are given, while in section 1.3 we illustrated the moments of normal distribution. Some related theorems are given in section 1.4, while in section 1.5 two methods of parameters estimation (Moment and Maximum Likelihood) are theoretically discussed. Finally, section 1.6 equality of estimators are studied to reach to the best estimator for the unknown parameters.

### 1.2 Basic Properties of Normal Distribution

### 1.2.1 Definition [26]

A continuous r.v. X is said to have a normal distn., denoted by $X \square \mathrm{~N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$, if X has p.d.f.
$f(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2}},-\infty<x<\infty$

Where $-\infty<x<\infty, \sigma^{2}>0$ are known as location and scale parameters respectively with $e=2.7183$ and $\pi=3.1416$. The graphical representation of eq.(1.1) is


Figure(1.1): The Normal Curve
When $\mu=0$ and $\sigma^{2}=1$, then $X \square \mathrm{~N}(0,1)$ is said to have a standard normal distn. as shown in Figure(1.2) and the p.d.f. of eq.(1.1) reduce to
$f(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}},-\infty<x<\infty$


Figure(1.2): The Standard Normal Curve

To verify that the function $f(x)$ of eq.(1.1) is valid p.d.f., we first note that $f(x)>0$ for all $x \in(-\infty, \infty)$.

We also need to show that integral of $f(x)$ over $-\infty<x<\infty$ is unity. Viz
Consider the integral
$I=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) d x=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2}} d x$
Make a simple transformation by setting $y=\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}$ with $d x=\sigma d y$, we have

$$
I=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2} y^{2}} d y
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I^{2} & =\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}} d x\right\}\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2} y^{2}} d y\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)} d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Changing to polar coordinates by setting $x=r \cos \theta, y=r \sin \theta$, where $0<r<\infty, 0<\theta<2 \pi$

The Jacobian of transformation
$J=\frac{\partial(x, y)}{\partial(r, \theta)}=\left|\begin{array}{ll}\frac{\partial x}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial x}{\partial \theta} \\ \frac{\partial y}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial \theta}\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cc}\cos \theta & -r \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & r \cos \theta\end{array}\right|=r \cos ^{2} \theta+r \sin ^{2} \theta=r$
and that implies $d x d y=r d r d \theta$, therefore
$I^{2}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\theta=0}^{2 \pi} \int_{r=0}^{\infty} r e^{-\frac{1}{2} r^{2}} d r d \theta=-\left.\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\theta=0}^{2 \pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2} r^{2}}\right|_{0} ^{\infty} d \theta=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\theta=0}^{2 \pi} d \theta=1$
since $I^{2}>0$, it follow that $I=1$.

### 1.2.2 Properties of Normal Curve

The graph of Figure (1.1) represents the curve of the normal p.d.f. $f(x)$ given by eq.(1.1), where curve has the following properties:

1. The curve is symmetric about a vertical axis through the mean $\mu$.
2. The curve has the line $y=0$ ( x -axis) as a horizontal asymptote.
3. The curve increasing for $-\infty<x<\mu$ and decreasing for $\mu<x<\infty$.
4. The curve has maximum point at $x=\mu$.
5. The curve have points of inflection at $x=\mu \pm \sigma$.
6. The curve concave upward for $-\infty<x<\mu-\sigma, \mu+\sigma<x<\infty$ and concave downward for $\mu-\sigma<x<\mu+\sigma$.
7. The total area under the curve and above the horizontal axis is equal to one.

### 1.2.3 Relation Between $\mathrm{N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{N}(0,1)$

The relation between the normal and the standard normal distributions is given by the following theorem

## Theorem [14]

The r.v. $X \square \mathrm{~N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ iff the r.v. $Y=\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right) \square \mathrm{N}(0,1)$.

## Proof:

$\Rightarrow$ Let $X \square \mathrm{~N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$, then $X$ has p.d.f.
$f(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2}}$
the function $y=\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)$ define one-to-one transformation that maps
the space $A=\{x:-\infty<x<\infty\}$ onto $B=\{y:-\infty<y<\infty\}$ with inverses $x=\sigma y+\mu$ and the Jacobian is $J=\frac{d x}{d y}=\sigma$

Then, the p.d.f. of r.v. $y$, say $g(y)$, is
$g(y)=f(y)|J|=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2} y^{2}} \sigma=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} y^{2}},-\infty<y<\infty$
which is the p.d.f. of the theorem is obvious.
$\Leftarrow$ The converse of the theorem is obvious.

### 1.2.4 The Cumulative Distribution Function

The c.d.f. of r.v. $\mathrm{X} \square \mathrm{N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi(x) & =\operatorname{pr}(X \leq x)=\int_{-\infty}^{x} f(t) d t \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{t-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2}} d t \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

The integral side of eq.(1.3) can not be evaluated analytically because the derivative of $e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{t-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2}}$ is not available under the integral sign therefore, table(1.1) below is prepared in most of statistical books which evaluate $\operatorname{pr}(\mathrm{X} \leq x)=\operatorname{pr}\left(\frac{\mathrm{X}-\mu}{\sigma} \leq \frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)=\operatorname{pr}\left(Y \leq \frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)$ where $y=\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma} \square \mathrm{N}(0,1)$. In this case the c.d.f of $Y$ is $\Phi(y)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{y} e^{-\frac{1}{2} t^{2}} d t$

More discussion is given in chapter two about several suggested procedures for approximating the integral side of eq.(1.4).

Table (1.1): The Normal Distribution of parameter $\mu=0, \sigma^{2}=1$

| $\begin{aligned} & \Phi(y)=\operatorname{pr}(Y \leq y)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{y} e^{-\frac{1}{2} t^{2}} d t \\ & \Phi(-y)=1-\Phi(y) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| y | $\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathrm{y})$ | y | $\Phi(\mathbf{y})$ | y | $\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathrm{y})$ |
| 0.00 | 0.500 | 1.10 | 0.864 | 2.05 | 0.980 |
| 0.05 | 0.520 | 1.15 | 0.875 | 2.10 | 0.982 |
| 0.10 | 0.540 | 1.20 | 0.885 | 2.15 | 0.984 |
| 0.15 | 0.560 | 1.25 | 0.894 | 2.20 | 0.986 |
| 0.20 | 0.579 | 1.282 | 0.900 | 2.25 | 0.988 |
| 0.25 | 0.599 | 1.30 | 0.903 | 2.30 | 0.989 |
| 0.30 | 0.618 | 1.35 | 0.911 | 2.326 | 0.990 |
| 0.35 | 0.637 | 1.40 | 0.919 | 2.35 | 0.991 |
| 0.40 | 0.655 | 1.45 | 0.926 | 2.40 | 0.992 |
| 0.45 | 0.674 | 1.50 | 0.933 | 2.45 | 0.993 |
| 0.50 | 0.691 | 1.55 | 0.939 | 2.50 | 0.994 |
| 0.55 | 0.709 | 1.60 | 0.945 | 2.55 | 0.995 |
| 0.60 | 0.726 | 1.645 | 0.950 | 2.576 | 0.995 |
| 0.65 | 0.742 | 1.65 | 0.951 | 2.60 | 0.995 |
| 0.70 | 0.758 | 1.70 | 0.955 | 2.65 | 0.996 |
| 0.75 | 0.773 | 1.75 | 0.960 | 2.70 | 0.997 |
| 0.80 | 0.788 | 1.80 | 0.964 | 2.75 | 0.997 |
| 0.85 | 0.802 | 1.85 | 0.968 | 2.80 | 0.997 |
| 0.90 | 0.816 | 1.90 | 0.971 | 2.85 | 0.998 |
| 0.95 | 0.829 | 1.95 | 0.974 | 2.90 | 0.998 |
| 1.00 | 0.841 | 1.960 | 0.975 | 2.95 | 0.998 |
| 1.05 | 0.853 | 2.00 | 0.977 | 3.00 | 0.999 |

### 1.3 The Moments of $\mathrm{N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ [26]

The m.g.f. of r.v. $\mathrm{X} \square \mathrm{N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{M}_{X}(t)=\mathrm{E}\left(e^{t X}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{t x} f(x) d x=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{t x} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2}} d x \\
&=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\left[(x-\mu)^{2}-2 \sigma^{2} t x\right]} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the exponent

$$
\begin{aligned}
(x-\mu)^{2}-2 \sigma^{2} t x & =x^{2}-2 \mu x+\mu^{2}-2 \sigma^{2} t x \\
& =x^{2}-2\left(\mu+\sigma^{2} t\right) x+\left(\mu+\sigma^{2} t\right)^{2}+\mu^{2}-\left(\mu+\sigma^{2} t\right)^{2} \\
& =\left[x-\left(\mu+\sigma^{2} t\right)\right]^{2}+\mu^{2}-\mu^{2}-2 \mu \sigma^{2} t-\sigma^{4} t^{2} \\
& =\left[x-\left(\mu+\sigma^{2} t\right)\right]^{2}-2 \sigma^{2}\left(\mu t+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} t^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,
$\mathrm{M}_{X}(t)=e^{\mu t+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} t^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{x-\left(\mu+\sigma^{2} t\right)}{\sigma}\right]^{2}} d x$
Setting $y=\frac{x-\left(\mu+\sigma^{2} t\right)}{\sigma}$ that implies
$x=\sigma y+\left(\mu+\sigma^{2} t\right)$ and $d x=\sigma d y$
So,
$\mathrm{M}_{X}(t)=e^{\mu t+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} t^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2} y^{2}} \sigma d y$

$$
=e^{\mu t+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} t^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} y^{2}} d y
$$

The integral side of the above equation is unity
Thus,
$\mathrm{M}_{X}(t)=e^{\mu t+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} t^{2}}$
According to the theorem of section 1.2.3, the r.v. $Y=\frac{X-\mu}{\sigma} \square N(0,1)$ has m.g.f.
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Y}}(t)=e^{\frac{1}{2} t^{2}}$
Maclaurian series expansion of $e^{\frac{1}{2} t^{2}}$ leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Y}}(t) & =e^{\frac{1}{2} t^{2}}=1+\frac{t^{2}}{2}+\frac{1}{2!}\left(\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{3!}\left(\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right)^{3}+\ldots+\frac{1}{r!}\left(\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right)^{r}+\ldots \\
& =1+1 \cdot \frac{t^{2}}{2!}+1 \cdot 3 \frac{t^{4}}{4!}+1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \frac{t^{6}}{6!}+\ldots+1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \ldots(2 r-1) \frac{t^{2 r}}{(2 r)!}+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{2 r}\right)$ is the coefficient of $\frac{t^{2 r}}{(2 r)!}$. That is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{2 r}\right) & =1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \ldots(2 r-1) \\
& =1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \ldots(2 r-1) \frac{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \ldots 2 r}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \ldots 2 r}=\frac{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \ldots 2 r}{2^{r}(1 \cdot 2 \ldots r)} \\
\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{2 r}\right) & =\frac{(2 r)!}{2^{r} r!}, r=1,2,3, \ldots \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{2 r-1}\right)=0, r=1,2,3, \ldots \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.3.1 Central Moments of $\mathrm{N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$

Setting $\mathrm{Y}=\frac{X-\mu}{\sigma}$, in eq.(1.7) and eq.(1.8), we have
$\mathrm{E}\left[\left(\frac{\mathrm{X}-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2 r}\right]=\frac{(2 r)!}{2^{r} r!} \quad$ leads to
$\mathrm{E}\left[(\mathrm{X}-\mu)^{2 r}\right]=\frac{(2 r)!}{2^{r} r!} \sigma^{2 r}, r=1,2,3, \ldots$
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}\left[(\mathrm{X}-\mu)^{2 r-1}\right]=0, r=1,2,3, \ldots \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## (I) Mean

Use of eq.(1.10) with $r=1$, we have
$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X}-\mu)=0$ and that implies $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X})=\mu$, where $\mu$ is called the mean of r.v. X (or distn.). It is a measure of central tendency.

## (II) Variance

Use of eq.(1.9) with $r=1$, we have
$\mathrm{E}\left[(\mathrm{X}-\mu)^{2}\right]=\sigma^{2}$, where $\sigma^{2}$ is called the variance of r.v. X (or distn.). It is a measure of dispersion.

## (III) Coefficient of Skewness

$\gamma_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{E}\left[(\mathrm{X}-\mu)^{3}\right]}{\left(\sigma^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}$ is called the coefficient of skewness. It is a measure
of departure from symmetry of frequency curve.
Use of eq. (1.10) with $r=2$, we have $\gamma_{1}=0$.

## (IV) Coefficient of Kurtosis

$\gamma_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{E}\left[(X-\mu)^{4}\right]}{\left(\sigma^{2}\right)^{2}}-3$ is called the coefficient of kurtosis. It is a measure of
degree of flatting of frequency curve.
Use of eq. (1.9) with $r=2$, we have
$\gamma_{2}=\frac{3 \sigma^{4}}{\sigma^{4}}-3=0$.

### 1.3.2 Other Central Moments

## (I) Mode

A mode of a distn. is defined to be the value of $x$ which maximize the p.d.f. $f(x)$. For continuous distributions, the mode $x$ is the solution of $\frac{d f(x)}{d x}=0$ and $\frac{d^{2} f(x)}{d x^{2}}<0$. A mode is a measure of location.

For $\mathrm{N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ distn. with p.d.f. of eq.(1.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{\prime}(x)=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \sigma^{-3}(x-\mu) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2}} \\
& f^{\prime}(x)=0 \Rightarrow x-\mu=0 \Rightarrow x=\mu
\end{aligned}
$$

$f^{\prime \prime}(x)=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \sigma^{-3}\left[-\sigma^{-2}(x-\mu)^{2}+1\right] e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2}}$
$f^{\prime \prime}(\mu)=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \sigma^{-3}<0$
Thus, the distn. mode is $\mu$.

## (II) Median

A median of a distn. is defined to be the value of $x$ such that the c.d.f. $F(x)=\frac{1}{2}$.

The median is a measure of location.
For $\mathrm{N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ with c.d.f. of eq.(1.3), we have
$\frac{1}{2}=\operatorname{pr}(\mathrm{X} \leq x)=p r\left(\frac{\mathrm{X}-\mu}{\sigma} \leq \frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)=\operatorname{pr}\left(\mathrm{Y} \leq \frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)$
where $\mathrm{Y} \square \mathrm{N}(0,1)$ and that implies from table(1.1) $\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}=0 \Rightarrow x=\mu$ thus, the distn. median is $\mu$.

### 1.4 Some Related Theorems [14]

## Theorem (1.4.1)

If the r.v. $\mathrm{X} \square \mathrm{N}(0,1)$ then the r.v. $\mathrm{Y}=X^{2} \square \chi^{2}(1)$, where the p.d.f. of $\begin{aligned} y \text { is } g(y)= & \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) 2^{\frac{1}{2}}} x^{\frac{1}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{x}{2}}, 0<x<\infty \\ & =0, \text { e.w. }\end{aligned}$

## Theorem (1.4.2)

If $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are indep. r.v.' ${ }^{\text {s }}$ with $X_{i} \square \mathrm{~N}\left(\mu_{i}, \sigma_{i}^{2}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, n$,
then the r.v. $\mathrm{Y}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{i} X_{i} \square \mathrm{~N}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{i} \mu_{i}, \sum_{i=1}^{n}{k_{i}}^{2} \sigma_{i}{ }^{2}\right]$.

## Theorem (1.4.3)

If $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are indep. r.v. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ with $X_{i} \square \chi^{2}\left(r_{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, n$, then the r.v. $\mathrm{Y}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \square \chi^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}\right)$.

## Theorem (1.4.4)

If $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ is a r.s. of size n from $\mathrm{N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$, then the r.v.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Y}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{X_{i}-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2} \square \chi^{2}(n) \text { where the p.d.f. of } \mathrm{y} \text { is } \\
& g(y)=\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) 2^{\frac{n}{2}}} x^{\frac{n}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{x}{2}}, 0<x<\infty, n=1,2,3, \ldots \\
& \\
& =0, e . w
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem (1.4.5)

If the $r v^{s} \mathrm{X}$ and Y are stochastically independent with

1.4.1 Independence of $\bar{X}$ and $\frac{(n-1) S^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}$

For normal case, there are many techniques can be found throughout the literature providing the independency of the statistics $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ and $\frac{(n-1) S^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}$ [26].

To the best of our knowledge the following approach seem to be knew. Let $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{n}$ be a r.s. of size n from $\mathrm{N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ and let $\overline{\mathrm{X}}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{X}_{i}$ and $S^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\overline{\mathrm{X}}\right)^{2}$ be the sample mean and sample variance respectively.

The aims are to show that $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ and $\frac{(n-1) S^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}$ are stochastically independent. The joint p.d.f. of the sets $\left\{X_{i}\right\}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(\underset{\sim}{x})=\prod_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{i}\right)=(2 \pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sigma^{-n} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{x_{i}-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2}\right],-\infty<x_{i}<\infty \\
& i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{1.11}
\end{align*}
$$

If we consider the transformation $W_{i}=\frac{\mathrm{X}_{i}-\mu}{\sigma}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$, then according to the theorem of section 1.2 .3 , the r.v. $W_{i} \square \mathrm{~N}(0,1)$ and the joint p.d.f. of r.v. ${ }^{\text {s }} W_{1}, W_{2}, \ldots, W_{n}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)=(2 \pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right],-\infty<w_{i}<\infty, i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now,
Let $\bar{W}=\left(\frac{\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\mu}{\sigma}\right)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(W_{i}-\bar{W}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\mathrm{X}_{i}-\overline{\mathrm{X}}}{\sigma}\right)^{2}=\frac{(n-1) S^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}$
Consider the transformation

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
y_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} W_{1}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} W_{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} W_{n} \\
y_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \cdot 1}} W_{1}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \cdot 1}} W_{2} \\
y_{3}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3 \cdot 2}} W_{1}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3 \cdot 2}} W_{2}-\frac{2}{\sqrt{3 \cdot 2}} W_{3} \\
y_{4}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \cdot 3}} W_{1}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \cdot 3}} W_{2}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \cdot 3}} W_{3}-\frac{3}{\sqrt{4 \cdot 3}} W_{4} \\
\vdots  \tag{1.13}\\
\vdots \\
y_{n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} W_{1}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} W_{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} W_{n-1}-\frac{(n-1)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} W_{n}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The system of eqs.(1.13) can be written in a matrix form as $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{Y}}=\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{A}} \underset{\sim}{W}$, where
$Y_{\sim}^{\mathrm{T}}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right), \overline{W_{\sim}}=\left(W_{1}, W_{2}, \ldots, W_{n}\right)$ and $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{A}}=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{n \times n}$ with
$a_{1 i}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, a_{i i}=\frac{-(i-1)}{\sqrt{i(i-1)}}, i=2,3, \ldots, n$
$a_{i j}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{i(i-1)}}, i=2,3, \ldots, n, j=1,2, \ldots,(i-1)$

The Jacobian of this transformation is J , where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{J}=\frac{\partial\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)}{\partial\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)} \\
& =\left|\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \cdot 1}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \cdot 1}} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{3 \cdot 2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3 \cdot 2}} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3 \cdot 2}} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \cdot 3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \cdot 3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \cdot 3}} & -\frac{3}{\sqrt{4 \cdot 3}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{-(n-1)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}
\end{array}\right| \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \cdot 1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3 \cdot 2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \cdot 3}} \cdots \frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\
1 & 1 & -2 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & -3 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -4 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & \ldots & -(n-2) & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 & -(n-1)
\end{array}\right|
$$

Multiply the $1^{\text {st }}$ row by (-1) and add to the $i^{\text {th }}$ row $(i=2,3, \ldots, n)$, we have
$=k\left|\begin{array}{cccccccccc}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & \ldots & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & \ldots & \ldots & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 & -1 & -1 & -1 & \ldots & \ldots & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 & -1 & -1 & \ldots & \ldots & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -5 & -1 & \ldots & \ldots & -1 & -1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & -(n-1) & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & 0 & -n\end{array}\right|$
where $k=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \cdot 1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3 \cdot 2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \cdot 3}} \cdots \frac{1}{\sqrt{(n-2)(n-1)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}$
The above is the determent of the upper triangular matrix whose value obtained by multiplying the elements of the main diagonal.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{J}=k(1)(-2)(-3)(-4) \ldots \ldots[-(n-1)](-n) \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{n-1} 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \ldots \ldots(n-1) n}{\sqrt{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \ldots(n-1) n} \sqrt{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \ldots(n-1) n}} \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{n-1} n!}{\sqrt{n!} \sqrt{n!}}=1 \\
& \frac{1}{J}=1 \text { implies } J=1 \text { and } \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This show that the set $\left\{Y_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ represent a r.s. of size n from $\mathrm{N}(0,1)$.
Now,

$$
\sum_{i=2}^{n} \mathrm{Y}_{i}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{Y}_{i}^{2}-\mathrm{Y}_{1}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}^{2}-(\sqrt{n} \bar{W})^{2}
$$

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}^{2}-n \bar{W}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(W_{i}-\bar{W}\right)^{2}
$$

since $\left\{Y_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ are indep. r.v. ${ }^{, s}$ from $\mathrm{N}(0,1)$, then according to theorems (1.4.3) and (1.4.4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=2}^{n} \mathrm{Y}_{i}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(W_{i}-\bar{W}\right)^{2}=\frac{(n-1) S^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} \square \chi^{2}(n-1) \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, we have
$\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ is distributed independently of $\sum_{i=2}^{n} \mathrm{Y}_{i}{ }^{2}=\frac{(n-1) S^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}$
where $\mathrm{Y}_{1}=\sqrt{n} \bar{W}=\sqrt{n}\left(\frac{\bar{X}-\mu}{\sigma}\right)$
It follows that $\frac{(n-1) S^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}$ is indep. of $\sqrt{n} \frac{\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\mu}{\sigma}$.
1.4.2 Distribution of $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ and $\frac{(n-1) S^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}$

Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be a r.s. of size $n \geq 2$ from $N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$, we shall consider first the distn. of the sample mean $\overline{\mathrm{X}}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{X}_{i}$.

According to theorem (1.4.2) with $k_{i}=\frac{1}{n}, \mu_{i}=\mu, \sigma_{i}^{2}=\sigma^{2}, \forall i=1,2, \ldots, k$
Then $\overline{\mathrm{X}} \square \mathrm{N}\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}\right)$
Also,

$$
S^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathrm{X}_{i}-\overline{\mathrm{X}}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{X}_{i}^{2}-n \overline{\mathrm{X}}^{2}\right]
$$

Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathrm{X}_{i}-\mu\right)^{2} & =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\left(\mathrm{X}_{i}-\overline{\mathrm{X}}\right)+(\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\mu)\right]^{2} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathrm{X}_{i}-\overline{\mathrm{X}}\right)^{2}+2(\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\mu) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathrm{X}_{i}-\overline{\mathrm{X}}\right)+n(\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\mu)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

but $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathrm{X}_{i}-\overline{\mathrm{X}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{X}_{i}-n \overline{\mathrm{X}}=n \overline{\mathrm{X}}-n \overline{\mathrm{X}}=0$
So,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathrm{X}_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathrm{X}_{i}-\overline{\mathrm{X}}\right)^{2}+n(\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\mu)^{2} \\
=(n-1) S^{2}+n(\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\mu)^{2} \tag{1.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

by dividing both sides of eq.(1.16) by $\sigma^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(\mathrm{X}_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}=\frac{(n-1) S^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}+\frac{n(\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\mu)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} \\
& \frac{(n-1) S^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(\mathrm{X}_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}-\frac{n(\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\mu)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} \tag{1.17}
\end{align*}
$$

since $X_{i} \square \mathrm{~N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$, then according to the theorem of section 1.2.3, the r.v.

$$
\frac{\mathrm{X}_{i}-\mu}{\sigma} \square \mathrm{N}(0,1)
$$

Also, according to theorems(1.4.1) and (1.4.4), the r.v. $\left(\frac{X_{i}-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2} \square \chi^{2}(1)$ and the r.v. $\mathrm{Y}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\mathrm{X}_{i}-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2} \square \chi^{2}(n)$

Also, since the r.v. $\overline{\mathrm{X}} \square \mathrm{N}\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}\right)$, then the r.v. $\frac{\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\mu}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}} \square \mathrm{~N}(0,1)$
and the r.v. $\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}(\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\mu)}{\sigma}\right)^{2}=n\left(\frac{\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2} \square \chi^{2}(1)$.
and the r.v., ${ }^{s} \overline{\mathrm{X}}$ and $\frac{(n-1) S^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}$ are stochastically independent. Then, the r.v. ${ }^{,}$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\mathrm{X}_{i}-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2}$ and $n\left(\frac{\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2}$ are stochastically independent. and since from eq.(1.17), the r.v. $\frac{(n-1) S^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(\mathrm{X}_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}-\frac{n(\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\mu)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}$ then, the r.v. $\frac{(n-1) S^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} \square \chi^{2}(n-1)$.

### 1.5 Estimation

The problem of estimation can be defined as follows:
Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be a r.s. of size $n$ from a distn. Whose p.d.f. is $f(x, \underset{\sim}{\theta})$, where $\underset{\sim}{\theta}=\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{k}\right)$ is a vector of unknown parameters, we assume that the values $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ of r.v.' $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{n}$ are observed. It is desired to estimate $\underset{\sim}{\theta}$ on the basis of the observed values $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$. This estimation can be made in two ways:

### 1.5.1 Interval estimation

Is to find two statistics say $U_{1}=u_{1}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ and $U_{2}=u_{2}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right),\left(U_{1} \leq U_{2}\right)$ such that the unknown parameter say $\theta$ lie in between that $U_{1} \leq \theta \leq U_{2}$ with certain prob. Say $1-\alpha$ ( $\alpha$ is small).

For normal case, we have two unknown parameters $\mu$ and $\sigma^{2}$. We assume $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be a r.s. of size $n$ from $N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ is available and a confidence interval for the distn. parameters are required with prob. $1-\alpha$.

## (I) Confidence Interval for the Mean $\mu$

There are two cases:
Case (1): when $\sigma^{2}$ is known
According to section 1.4.2, we have
$\overline{\mathrm{X}} \square \mathrm{N}\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}\right) \Rightarrow \mathrm{Z}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\mu}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}}=\frac{\sqrt{n}(\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\mu)}{\sigma} \square \mathrm{N}(0,1)$
So, we can find from $\mathrm{N}(0,1)$ table two no.'s say $\pm Z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ such that
$\operatorname{pr}\left(-Z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}<Z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right)=1-\alpha$
Now, consider the event

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -Z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}<Z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \equiv-Z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}<\frac{\sqrt{n}(\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\mu)}{\sigma}<Z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \\
& \overline{\mathrm{X}}-\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} Z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}<\mu<\overline{\mathrm{X}}+\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} Z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore, the $100(1-\alpha) \%$ C.I for $\mu$ is:
$\left(\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} Z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, \overline{\mathrm{X}}+\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} Z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right)$.
Case (2): when $\sigma^{2}$ is unknown
According to section 1.4.2, we have

1) $\overline{\mathrm{X}} \square \mathrm{N}\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}\right) \Rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{n}(\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\mu)}{\sigma} \square \mathrm{N}(0,1)$
2) $\frac{(n-1) S^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} \square \chi^{2}(n-1)$
3) $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ and $S^{2}$ are stochastically indep.

Then, according to theorem (1.4.5)
the r.v. $\mathrm{T}=\frac{\sqrt{n}(\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\mu) / \sigma}{\sqrt{\frac{(n-1) S^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}}}=\frac{\sqrt{n}(\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\mu)}{S} \square t(n-1)$
So, from $t$-distn. table with ( $n-1$ ) dof, we can find two no.'s say $\pm t{ }_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$
such that $p r\left(-t_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}<\mathrm{T}<t_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right)=1-\alpha$
Now, consider the event
$-t_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}<\mathrm{T}<t_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \equiv-t_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}<\frac{\sqrt{n}(\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\mu)}{S}<t_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$
$\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} t_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}<\mu<\overline{\mathrm{X}}+\frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} t_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$
therefore, the $100(1-\alpha) \%$ C.I for $\mu$ is:
$\left(\overline{\mathrm{X}}-\frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} t_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, \overline{\mathrm{X}}+\frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} t_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right)$.
(II) Confidence Interval for the Variance $\sigma^{2}$

There are two cases:
Case (1): when $\mu$ is known
According to theorem of section 1.2.3 and theorems (1.4.1) and (1.4.4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}_{i} \square \mathrm{~N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right), \forall i=1,2, \ldots, n \\
& \frac{\mathrm{X}_{i}-\mu}{\sigma} \square \mathrm{N}(0,1) \text { and }\left(\frac{\mathrm{X}_{i}-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2} \square \chi^{2}(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{Y}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\mathrm{X}_{i}-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2} \square \chi^{2}(n)$
so, we can find from $\chi^{2}$-distn. table two no.'s say $\chi_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}$ and $\chi_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}$ such that $\operatorname{pr}\left(\chi_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}<\mathrm{Y}<\chi_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}\right)=1-\alpha$

Now, consider the event
$\chi_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}<\mathrm{Y}<\chi_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2} \equiv \chi_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}<\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathrm{X}_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}<\chi_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}$

$$
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathrm{X}_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}}{\chi_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}}<\sigma^{2}<\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathrm{X}_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}}{\chi_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}}
$$

therefore, the $100(1-\alpha) \%$ C.I for $\sigma^{2}$ is

$$
\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathrm{X}_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}}{\chi_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}}, \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathrm{X}_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}}{\chi_{\alpha}^{2}}\right)
$$

Case (2): when $\mu$ is unknown
According to section 1.4.2, we have
$\mathrm{Y}=\frac{(n-1) S^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} \square \chi^{2}(n-1)$ when $S^{2}=\frac{1}{(n-1)}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{X}_{i}^{2}-n \overline{\mathrm{X}}^{2}\right]$ is the sample variance

So, we can find two no.'s from $\chi^{2}$-distn. table with $(n-1)$ dof, say $\chi_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}$ and
$\chi_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}$ such that
$\operatorname{pr}\left(\chi_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}<\mathrm{Y}<\chi_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}\right)=1-\alpha$
Now, consider the event

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}<\mathrm{Y}<\chi_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2} \equiv \chi_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}<\frac{(n-1) S^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}<\chi_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2} \\
& \frac{(n-1) S^{2}}{\chi_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}}<\sigma^{2}<\frac{(n-1) S^{2}}{\chi_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore, the $100(1-\alpha) \%$ C.I for $\sigma^{2}$ is
$\left(\frac{(n-1) S^{2}}{\chi_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}}, \frac{(n-1) S^{2}}{\chi_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}}\right)$.

### 1.5.2 Point Estimation [21]

Point estimation is concerned with inference about the unknown parameters of a distribution from a sample. It provides a single value for each unknown parameter. Point estimation admits two problems:

First, developing methods of obtaining a statistics whose values can be used to estimate the unknown parameters of the distribution, such statistics are called point estimators.

Second, selecting criteria and technique to obtain a best estimator among possible estimators.

### 1.5.2.1 Definition (Estimator) [21]

Any statistic whose values are used to estimate the unknown parameter $\theta$ or some function of $\theta$, say $\tau(\theta)$ is called point estimator.

### 1.5.3 Methods of Finding Estimators

Many techniques have been proposed in the literatures for finding estimators of the distn. parameters such as Moments, Maximum likelihood, Minimum chi-square, Minimum distance, Least square and Bayesian method.

These methods provide a single value for each unknown parameter of the distribution. For normal case, we shall discuss two methods: The method of Moments and the Maximum likelihood method.

### 1.5.3.1 Moments Method

Let $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{n}$ be a r.s. of size n from a distribution whose p.d.f. $f(x, \underset{\sim}{\theta})$, where $\underset{\sim}{\theta}=\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{k}\right)$ is a vector of unknown parameters.

Let $\mu_{r}^{\prime}=\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}^{r}\right)$ be the $r^{t h}$ moment about origin of the distribution and
let $\mu_{r}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{X}_{i}^{r}$ be the $r^{\text {th }}$ moment about origin of the sample. The method of moments can be described follows:

Since, we have $k$ unknown parameters, equate

$$
\mu_{r}^{\prime} \text { to } \mu_{r} \text { at } \theta=\hat{\theta} \text {. That is }
$$

$$
\mu_{r}^{\prime}=\mu_{r} \text { at } \theta=\hat{\theta}, r=1,2,3, \ldots, k .
$$

For these $k$ equations, we find a unique solution for $\hat{\theta}_{1}, \hat{\theta}_{2}, \ldots, \hat{\theta}_{k}$ and we say that $\hat{\theta}_{r},(r=1,2, \ldots, k)$ is an estimate of $\theta_{r}$ obtained by method of moments and the corresponding statistic $\hat{\theta}_{r}$ is the method of moments estimator of $\theta_{r}$.

Now, to estimate $\mu$ and $\sigma^{2}$ for normal case by method of moments we let $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{n}$ be a r.s. of size n from $\mathrm{N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ is taken.

Since $\mathrm{N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ distribution involve two unknown parameters
We set $\mu_{r}^{\prime}=\mu_{r}$ at $\mu=\hat{\mu}, \sigma^{2}=\hat{\sigma}^{2}, r=1,2$ $r=1$ implies
$\mu_{1}^{\prime}=\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X})=\mu, \mu_{1}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{X}_{i}=\overline{\mathrm{X}}$
$r=2$ implies
$\mu_{2}^{\prime}=\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}^{2}\right)=\mu^{2}+\sigma^{2}, \mu_{2}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{X}_{i}^{2}=\frac{(n-1)}{n} S^{2}+\overline{\mathrm{X}}^{2}$ where:
$S^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{X}_{i}{ }^{2}-n \overline{\mathrm{X}}^{2}\right]$
$r=1$ implies $\mu_{1}^{\prime}=\mu_{1}$ at $\mu=\hat{\mu}, \sigma^{2}=\hat{\sigma}^{2}$, we obtain
$\hat{\mu}=\bar{X}$
$r=2$ implies $\mu_{2}^{\prime}=\mu_{2}$ at $\mu=\hat{\mu}, \sigma^{2}=\hat{\sigma}^{2}$, we obtain
$\hat{\mu}^{2}+\hat{\sigma}^{2}=\frac{n-1}{n} S^{2}+\bar{X}^{2}$
solving eqs. (1.18) and (1.19) we get
$\hat{\sigma}^{2}=\frac{n-1}{n} S^{2}$ and $\hat{\mu}=\bar{X}$
are respectively the estimators of $\mu$ and $\sigma^{2}$ obtained by method of moments.

### 1.5.3.2 Maximum Likelihood Method [14]

## Definition (likelihood function)

The likelihood of a r.s. $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ of size $n$ from a distribution having p.d.f. $f(X, \underset{\sim}{\theta})$ where $\underset{\sim}{\theta}=\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{k}\right)$ is a vector of unknown
parameters is defined to be the joint p.d.f. of the n r.v.' ${ }^{\text {s }} \mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{n}$ which is considered as a function of $\underset{\sim}{\theta}$ and denoted by $L(\underset{\sim}{\theta}, \underset{\sim}{X})$, that is

$$
L=L(\underset{\sim}{\theta}, \underset{\sim}{X})=f(\underset{\sim}{X}, \underset{\sim}{\theta})=\prod_{i=1}^{n} f\left(X_{i}, \underset{\sim}{\theta}\right) .
$$

Now,
Let $L(\underset{\sim}{\theta}, \underset{\sim}{X})$ be the likelihood function of a r.s. $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{n}$ of size n from a distribution whose p.d.f. $f(X, \underset{\sim}{\theta}), \underset{\sim}{\theta}=\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{k}\right)$ is a vector of unknown parameters.

Let $\underset{\sim}{\hat{\theta}}=u(\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{X}})$

$$
=\left(u_{1}(\underset{\sim}{\mathbf{X}}), u_{2}(\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{X}}), \ldots, u_{k}(\underset{\sim}{\mathbf{X}})\right)
$$

be a vector function of the observations $\underset{\sim}{X}=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$
If $\underset{\sim}{\hat{\theta}}$ have the value of $\underset{\sim}{\theta}$ which maximizes $L(\underset{\sim}{\hat{\theta}}, \underset{\sim}{X})$ then $\underset{\sim}{\hat{\theta}}$ is the m.l.e of $\underset{\sim}{\theta}$ and the corresponding statistic $\underset{\sim}{\Theta}$ is the M.L.E of $\underset{\sim}{\theta}$. We note that:
(I) Many likelihood function satisfy the condition that the m.l.e is a solution of likelihood equations

$$
\frac{\partial L(\underset{\sim}{\theta}, \underset{\sim}{X})}{\partial \theta_{r}}=0, \text { at } \underset{\sim}{\theta}=\underset{\sim}{\hat{\theta}}, r=1,2,3, \ldots, k .
$$

(II) Since $L(\underset{\sim}{\theta}, \underset{\sim}{X})$ and $\ln L(\underset{\sim}{\theta}, \underset{\sim}{X})$ have their maximum at the same value of $\underset{\sim}{\theta}$ so sometimes it is easier to find the maximum of the logarithm of the likelihood.

In such case, the m.l.e $\underset{\sim}{\hat{\theta}}$ of $\underset{\sim}{\theta}$ which maximizes $L\left(\underset{\sim}{\theta},{\underset{\sim}{X}}^{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right)$ may be given the solution of the likelihood equations

$$
\frac{\partial \ln L(\underset{\sim}{\theta}, \underset{\sim}{X})}{\partial \theta_{r}}=0, \text { at } \underset{\sim}{\theta}=\underset{\sim}{\hat{\theta}}, r=1,2,3, \ldots, k .
$$

For normal case

Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be a r.s. of size n from $\mathrm{N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ where the distribution p.d.f. is given by eq.(1.1), the likelihood function is

$$
L\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}, \underset{\sim}{x}\right)=f\left(\underset{\sim}{x}, \mu, \sigma^{2}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\prod_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{i}, \mu, \sigma^{2}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}} \\
& =(2 \pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\left(\sigma^{2}\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\ln L=-\frac{n}{2} \ln (2 \pi)-\frac{n}{2} \ln \sigma^{2}-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}$
$\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \mu}=\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)$
$\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \sigma^{2}}=-\frac{n}{2} \frac{1}{\sigma^{2}}+\frac{1}{2\left(\sigma^{2}\right)^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}$
we set $\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \mu}=0$ and $\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \sigma^{2}}=0$ at $\mu=\hat{\mu}, \sigma^{2}=\hat{\sigma}^{2}$
we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\hat{\mu}\right)=0 \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}  \tag{1.23}\\
& \text { and }-\frac{n}{2 \hat{\sigma}^{2}}+\frac{1}{2\left(\hat{\sigma}^{2}\right)^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\hat{\mu}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{1.24}
\end{align*}
$$

This implies that:
$\hat{\mu}=\overline{\mathrm{X}}$
from eq.(1.24) and eq.(1.25), we have
$\hat{\sigma}^{2}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}$
implies $\hat{\sigma}^{2}=\frac{(n-1) S^{2}}{n}$

### 1.6 Equality of Estimations [21]

In this section, we shall introduce some definitions and theorems concern the equality of estimators which reach to the best estimators for the unknown parameters.

### 1.6.1 Definition [21]

Let the statistic $\hat{\theta}=u\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{n}\right)$ be an estimator of the unknown parameter $\theta$, then $\hat{\theta}$ is said to be an
(I) Unbiased estimator if and only if $\mathrm{E}(\hat{\theta})=\theta$, otherwise $\hat{\theta}$ is called biased estimator for $\theta$. The term $\mathrm{E}(\hat{\theta})-\theta$ is called the bias term.
(II) Consistent estimator if $\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{pr}(|\hat{\theta}-\theta|<\varepsilon)=0$.
(III) Asymptotically unbiased if $\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{E}(\hat{\theta})=\theta$.
(IV) Minimum variance unbiased estimator (MVUE) if

1. $\hat{\theta}$ is an unbiased estimator for $\theta$.
2. The variance of $\hat{\theta}$ is less than or equal to the variance of every other unbiased estimators of $\theta$.

### 1.6.2 Definition (Sufficient Statistics) [2, 13]

Let $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{n}$ be a r.s. of size n from a distn. whose p.d.f. $f(\underset{\sim}{x}, \underset{\sim}{\theta})$, where $\underset{\sim}{\theta}=\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{m}\right)$ is a vector of unknown parameters and $\mathrm{Y}_{i}=u_{i}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{n}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, m$ be K statistics whose joint p.d.f. $g(\underset{\sim}{y}, \underset{\sim}{\theta})$. Then the K statistics are called jointly sufficient statistics for $\underset{\sim}{\theta} \mathrm{iff}$
$\frac{f(\underset{\sim}{x}, \underset{\sim}{\theta})}{g(\underset{\sim}{y})}=\mathrm{H}(\underset{\sim}{x})$
where $\mathrm{H}(x)$ does not depend on $\underset{\sim}{\theta}$ for all fixed values of $y_{i}=u_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)$, $i=1,2, \ldots, m$.

### 1.6.3 Theorem (Neymann Factorization Theorem) [2]

Let $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{n}$ be a r.s. of size n from a distn. whose p.d.f. $f(x, \theta)$, where $\underset{\sim}{\theta}=\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{m}\right)$ is a vector of unknown parameters. A set of statistics $Y_{i}=u_{i}(\underset{\sim}{X}), i=1,2, \ldots, m$ is jointly sufficient statistics for $\theta$ iff, we can find two nonnegative functions $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(\underset{\sim}{x} ; \underset{\sim}{\theta}) & =f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} ; \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{m}\right) \\
& =k_{1}\left[u_{1}(\underset{\sim}{x}), u_{2}(\underset{\sim}{x}), \ldots, u_{m}(\underset{\sim}{x}) ; \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{m}\right] \cdot k_{2}(\underset{\sim}{x})
\end{aligned}
$$

where $k_{2}(\underset{\sim}{x})$ is free of $\underset{\sim}{\theta}$ for every values of $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{k}$ of $\mathrm{Y}_{1}, \mathrm{Y}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{Y}_{m}$.

For normal $\mathrm{N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ case, we have two unknown parameters $\mu$ and $\sigma^{2}$, where we assume a r.s. $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{n}$ is a available, then the joint p.d.f. can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
f\left(\underset{\sim}{x} ; \mu, \sigma^{2}\right) & =\prod_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{i}, \mu, \sigma^{2}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}(2 \pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sigma^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}} \\
& =\left(\sigma^{2}\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}-2 \mu \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}+n \mu^{2}\right]} \cdot(2 \pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \\
= & =k_{1}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}, \mu, \sigma^{2}\right] \cdot k_{2}(\underset{\sim}{x})
\end{aligned} \\
& \text { where } k_{2}(\underset{\sim}{x})=(2 \pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus according to factorization theorem (1.6.3), the statistics $\mathrm{Y}_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{X}_{i}$ and $\mathrm{Y}_{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{X}_{i}^{2}$ are jointly sufficient statistics for $\mu$ and $\sigma^{2}$.

## Remark

If $\left\{\mathrm{Y}_{i}=u_{i}(\underset{\sim}{X})\right\}, i=1,2, \ldots, m$ is a set of jointly sufficient statistics for $\underset{\sim}{\theta}=\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{m}\right)$, then any set of one-to-one functions or transformations of $\mathrm{Y}_{1}, \mathrm{Y}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{Y}_{m}$ are also jointly sufficient statistics for $\underset{\sim}{\theta}$.

For normal $\mathrm{N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ case, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{X}_{i}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{X}_{i}^{2}$ are jointly sufficient statistics for $\mu$ and $\sigma^{2}$.

But $\quad \overline{\mathrm{X}}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{X}_{i} \quad$ and $\quad S^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{X}_{i}{ }^{2}-n \overline{\mathrm{X}}^{2}\right]$ are one-to-one functions of $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{X}_{i}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{X}_{i}^{2}$. Then $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ and $S^{2}$ are jointly sufficient statistics for $\mu$ and $\sigma^{2}$.

### 1.6.4 Definition (Completeness) [2, 13$]$

Let X be a r.v. of either type (continuous or discrete) defined on S.S A and having p.d.f. as a member of the family $\{f(x ; \theta), \theta \in \Omega\}$ of p.d.f., ${ }^{\text {s }}$, and let $u(X)$ be a continuous function (not a function of $\theta$ ). If $\mathrm{E}[u(X)]=0$, $\forall \theta \in \Omega$ implies $u(x)=0, \forall x \in \mathrm{~A}$, then the family $\{f(x ; \theta), \theta \in \Omega\}$ is called a complete family of p.d.f., ${ }^{s}$.

## Remark

If $\mathrm{Y}=u(\underset{\sim}{X})$ is a sufficient statistic for $\theta$ whose p.d.f. belong to the complete family of p.d.f.'s, then Y is called a complete sufficient statistic for $\theta$.

### 1.6.5 Theorem (Lehmann-Scheffé Theorem- $1^{\text {st }}$ Theorem) [2]

Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be a r.s. of size $n$ from a distn. whose p.d.f. $f(\underset{\sim}{x} ; \underset{\sim}{\theta}), \theta \in \Omega$. Let $\mathrm{Y}=u(\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{X}})$ be a sufficient statistic for $\theta$ whose p.d.f. belong to the complete family $\{g(y ; \theta), \theta \in \Omega\}$.

If $\Phi(\mathrm{Y})$ is a function of Y which is an unbiased estimator for $\theta$, then $\Phi(\mathrm{Y})$ is a unique MVUE for $\theta$.

### 1.6.6 Definition (The Exponential Family of p.d.f. $\left.{ }^{s}\right)[2,13]$

Several parameter cases
Consider the family $\left\{f(x ; \underset{\sim}{\theta}), \underset{\sim}{\theta} \in \Omega^{m}\right\}$ of p.d.f. ${ }^{, s}$ which can be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x ; \theta) & =\exp \left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} p_{j}(\theta) k_{j}(x)+q(\underset{\sim}{\theta})+s(x)\right], a<x<b \\
& =0, \text { e.w. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Such p.d.f. is said to be a member of exponential class of p.d.f.s ${ }^{\text {s }}$ and satisfying the following conditions:
(i) Neither $a$ nor $b$ depends on $\underset{\sim}{\theta}=\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{m}\right)$.
(ii) $\quad p_{j}(\underset{\sim}{\theta})$ is nontrivial, functionally independent, continuous functions of $\theta_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, m$.
(iii) $\quad k_{j}^{\prime}(x) \neq 0$ and $s(x)$ is continuous function of $x$ for $a<x<b$.

Now, if a r.s. $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{n}$ is taken from a distn. whose p.d.f. $f(x ; \underset{\sim}{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$. Then the joint p.d.f. of the sample set $\left\{X_{i}\right\}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(\underset{\sim}{x}, \underset{\sim}{\theta}) & =\prod_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{i}, \underset{\sim}{\theta}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \exp \left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} p_{j}(\underset{\sim}{\theta}) k_{j}\left(x_{i}\right)+q(\underset{\sim}{\theta})+s\left(x_{i}\right)\right] \\
& =\operatorname{Exp}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} p_{j}(\underset{\sim}{\theta}) \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)+n q(\underset{\sim}{\theta})+\sum_{i=1}^{n} s\left(x_{i}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.=\operatorname{Exp}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} p_{j}(\underset{\sim}{\theta}) \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)+n q \underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\theta}}\right)\right] \cdot \operatorname{Exp}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} s\left(x_{i}\right)\right]
$$

Then according to the Factorization theorem (1.6.3),
The statistics $\mathrm{Y}_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{1}\left(x_{i}\right), \mathrm{Y}_{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{2}\left(x_{i}\right), \ldots, \mathrm{Y}_{m}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{m}\left(x_{i}\right)$ are jointly sufficient statistics for the $m$ parameters $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{m}$.

## Note

If can be shown easily [2] that the joint p.d.f. of the sufficient statistics $\mathrm{Y}_{1}, \mathrm{Y}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{Y}_{m}$ take the form
$R\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{m}\right) \exp \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{j}(\underset{\sim}{\theta}) y_{i}+n q(\underset{\sim}{\theta})\right]$
This p.d.f. of eq.(1.27) expressed as a member of the exponential family.

### 1.6.6 Theorem (Lehmann-Scheffé- $2^{\text {nd }}$ Theorem) [2]

Let $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{n}$ be a r.s. of size n from a distn. whose p.d.f. $f(x ; \underset{\sim}{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$, $\underset{\sim}{\theta}=\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{m}\right)$ belong to the exponential family and let $\mathrm{Y}_{1}, \mathrm{Y}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{Y}_{m}$ be jointly sufficient statistics for $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{m}$, then the family of p.d.f. ${ }^{, s}\left\{g(y ; \underset{\sim}{\theta}), \underset{\sim}{\theta} \in \Omega^{m}\right\}$ is complete and the statistics $\mathrm{Y}_{1}, \mathrm{Y}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{Y}_{m}$ are jointly complete sufficient statistics for $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{m}$.
*For $\mathrm{N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ with p.d.f.
$f\left(x ; \mu, \sigma^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}(x-\mu)^{2}},-\infty<x<\infty$
which can be written as a member of the exponential family as

$$
f\left(x ; \mu, \sigma^{2}\right)=\exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \ln (2 \pi)-\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\sigma^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\left(x^{2}-2 \mu x+\mu^{2}\right)\right]
$$

$$
=\exp \left[\frac{\mu}{\sigma^{2}} x-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}} x^{2}-\left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}+\frac{1}{2} \ln \sigma^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \ln (2 \pi)\right]
$$

Where $p_{1}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)=\frac{\mu}{\sigma^{2}}, p_{2}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)=\frac{-1}{2 \sigma^{2}}, k_{1}(x)=x, k_{2}(x)=x^{2}$,
$q\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)=-\left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}+\frac{1}{2} \ln \sigma^{2}\right), s(x)=-\frac{1}{2} \ln (2 \pi)$
Now, if a sample set $\left\{X_{i}\right\}$ is available, then the statistic $\mathrm{Y}_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{1}\left(x_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{X}_{i}$ and $\mathrm{Y}_{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{2}\left(x_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{X}_{i}^{2}$ are jointly sufficient statistics for $\mu$ and $\sigma^{2}$.

Since $\overline{\mathrm{X}}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{X}_{i}$ and $S^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{X}_{i}{ }^{2}-n \overline{\mathrm{X}}^{2}\right]$ is a one-to-one functions of $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Y}_{2}$, then $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ and $S^{2}$ are jointly sufficient statistics for $\mu$ and $\sigma^{2}$. As shown in sections 1.4.1 and 1.4.2 that

$$
\text { 1. } \overline{\mathrm{X}} \square \mathrm{~N}\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}\right) \text { and } \frac{(n-1) S^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} \square \chi^{2}(n-1) .
$$

2. $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ and $S^{2}$ are stochastically independent.

Then the joint p.d.f. of $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ and $S^{2}$ can be expressed as a member of the exponential family and that implies that $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ and $S^{2}$ are minimal jointly sufficient statistics for $\mu$ and $\sigma^{2}$.

Further more, we have
$\mathrm{E}(\overline{\mathrm{X}})=\mu$ and $\mathrm{E}\left(S^{2}\right)=\sigma^{2}$, then from completeness we see that $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ and $S^{2}$ are M.V.U.E's for $\mu$ and $\sigma^{2}$ respectively.

## CHAPTER <br> 3

## ann

### 3.1 Introduction

The first step in studying a certain problem under consideration is building a mathematical model; the next step is driving a solution from this model. The solution may be obtained analytically or numerically. The analytic solution is usually obtained directly from it's mathematical representation in the form of the formula, while a numerical solution is generally an approximate solution obtained as a result of substitution of numerical values for the variables and parameters of the model. Many numerical methods are iterative, that is, each successive step in the solution uses the results from the previous step, such as Newton-Raphson method for approximating the roots of a nonlinear equation. Two special types of numerical methods are simulation and Monte Carlo designed for a solution of deterministic and stochastic problems.

Simulation "in the wide scene" is defined as numerical technique for conducting experiments on a digital computer, which involve certain types of mathematical and logical models that describe the system behavior over
extended periods of time, for example, simulating football game, supersonic jet flight, a telephone communication system, a wind tunnel, a large scale military battle (to evaluate defensive or offensive weapon system), or a maintenance operations (to evaluate the optimal size of repair crews). Simulation is often viewed as a " Method of Last Resort" to be used when everything else has failed, software building and technical developments have made simulation one of the most widely used and accepted tools for designer in system analysis and operational research.

Simulation "in a narrow sence" (also called stochastic simulation) is defined as experimenting with the model over time, it includes sampling stochastic varieties from probability distribution. Because sampling from a particular distribution involve the use of random numbers, stochastic simulation sometimes called Monte Carlo Simulation.

Historically, the Monte Carlo method was considered as a technique using random or pseudorandom numbers for solution of a model. These random numbers are essentially independent random variables uniformly distributed over unit interval $[0,1]$.

Actually there are arithmetic codes available at computer center ( 0 to 9) occurs with approximately equal probability "imagine flips of a fair ten-side die". Such codes are called random number generators.

In the beginning of the $20^{\text {th }}$-century the Monte Carlo was used to examine the Boltzmann equation.

In 1908 the famous statistician Gosset (student) uses the Monte Carlo method for estimating the correlation coefficient in his t-distribution, [9].

One of the earliest problems connected with Monte Carlo method is the famous Buffon's needle problem, who found the probability of a needle of length $L$ thrown randomly onto a floor composed of parallel planks of width
$D>L$ is $P=\frac{2 L}{\pi D}$ which can be estimated as the ratio of the number of throws hitting the crack to the total number of throws.
A. N. Kolmogororv (1931) applies Monte Carlo method and showed the relationship between Markov stochastic processes and certain Integrodifferential equations, [8].

In 1948 S.Ulam used Monte Carlo method for estimation of the eigenvalues of Schrodinger equation, [7].

The terms "Monte Carlo" was introduced by Von Neumann and Ulam during World War II, as a code word for secret work at Los Alamos, it was suggested by the gambling casinos at the city of Monte Carlo in Monaco. The Monte Carlo method was then applied to problems related to the atomic bomb [3] where the work involve direct simulation of behavior concerned with random neutron diffusion in fissionable material. Shortly thereafter Monte Carlo method were used to evaluate complex multidimensional integrals, solution of certain differential and integral equations stochastic problems, deterministic problems if they have the same formal expression as some stochastic process, evaluating parameters of queues and networks, sampling random varieties from probability distributions, and analyzing complex problems. A useful reference related to Monte Carlo simulation is given by Rubinstein [27] and Norman [22].

This chapter involves three sections. Section (3.2) illustrated random number generation. While section (3.3) discussed random varieties generation. Generation random varieties from normal distribution are studied theoretically and applied practically in section (3.4).

### 3.2 Random Number Generation

Many techniques for generating random numbers on digital computer by Monte Carlo method and simulation have been suggested tested and used in recent years. Some of these methods are based on random phenomena, others on deterministic recurrence procedures.

Initially manual methods were used to generate a sequence of numbers such as coin flipping, dice rolling, card shuffling, and roulette wheels, but these methods were to slow for general use, moreover the generated sequence not reproduced.

Shortly following with the computer aid it become possible to obtain random numbers. In 1951 Von Neumann [30] suggests the mid-square method using the arithmetic operations of a computer. His idea is to take the square of the preceding random number and extract the middle digits. For instance, suppose we wish to generate 4-digits numbers

1- Choose any 4-digit number, say 5232.
2- Square it, we have 27373824.
3- The next 4-digit number is the middle 4-digits of step2, that is, 3738.
4- Repeat the process.
Von Neumann's method proved slow and awkward for statistical analysis, furthermore the sequences tend to cyclicity and once a zero is encountered the sequence terminates. One method of generating random numbers on a digital computer is published in 1951 by RAND Corporation [24], the method consists of preparing a well known table of a million digits and. storing it in the memory of the computer. The advantage of this method is reproducibility and it's disadvantage is its lack of speed and risk of exhausting the table.

It is noted in the literature that the random numbers generated by any
method is good one if the random numbers are uniformly distributed, statistically independent, reproducible, fast, and requires minimum capacity in the computer memory.

The congruential methods for generating pseudorandom numbers are designed specifically to satisfy as many of these requirements as possible.

These methods produce a non-random sequence of numbers according to some recursive formula based on calculating the residues modulo of some integer $m$ of a linear transformation Although these processes are completely deterministic, Knuth in 1969 [16] show that the numbers generated by such sequence appear to be uniformly distributed and statistically independent.

The congruential methods [19] are based on a fundamental congruence relationship, which may be formulated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}+1}=\left(\mathrm{aX} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{c}\right)(\bmod \mathrm{m}), \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~m} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where a is a multiplier, c is the increment, and m is the modulus ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{m}$ are non-negative integers), $(\bmod m)$ means that eq.(3.1) can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}+1}=\mathrm{a} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{m}\left[\frac{\mathrm{a} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}+c}{m}\right] \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where [Z] is the largest positive integer in Z .

Given an initial starting value $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ with fixed values of $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}$, and m , then eq.(3.2) yields congruence relationship (modulo $m$ ) for any value i of the sequence $\left\{X_{i}\right\}$. The sequence $\left\{X_{i}\right\}$ will repeat itself in at most $m$ steps and will be therefore periodic. For example,

Let $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{X}_{1}=3$, and $\mathrm{m}=5$, then the sequence obtained from the recursive formula

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}+1}=\left(3 \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}+3\right)(\bmod 5) \text { is } \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}=3,2,4,0,3, \ldots
$$

The random numbers on the unit interval $[0,1]$ can be obtained by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{i}}=\frac{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{~m}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It fellow's from eq.(3.3) that $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}} \leq \mathrm{m}, \forall i$, this inequality mean that the period of the generator can not exceed $m$, that is, the sequence $\left\{X_{i}\right\}$ contains at most $m$ distinct numbers. So $m$ must be chosen as large as possible to ensure a sufficiently large sequence of distinct numbers in the cycle.

It is noted in the literature $[10,18,20]$ that good statistical results can be achieved from a computer by choosing $\mathrm{a}=2^{7}+1, \mathrm{c}=1$ and $\mathrm{m}=2^{35}$.

### 3.3 Random Varieties Generation

Two well-known methods for generating random varieties form continuous distribution, namely the inverse transform method and acceptancerejection method.

### 3.3.1 The Inverse Transform Method

Recall the properties of the c.d.f
$\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{X} \leq \mathrm{x})=\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})$ of r.v. X
(i) $0 \leq \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x}) \leq 1$.
(ii) $\mathrm{F}(-\infty)=0, \mathrm{~F}(\infty)=1$.
(iii) $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})$ is non-decreasing function of x .
(iv) $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})$ is continuous function to the right at each x .

The inverse transform method is based on the following theorem:

## Theorem (3.3.1.1) [18]

The r.v $U=F(X) \square U(0,1)$ if and only if the r.v. $X=F^{-1}(U)$ has c.d.f $\operatorname{pr}(X \leq x)=F(X)$.

## Proof

$\Rightarrow$ Consider the r.v. $U=F(X) \square U(0,1)$ then the c.d.f. of $U$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G(u)=\operatorname{pr}(U \leq u)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0, & u \leq 0 \\
u, & 0<u<1 \\
1, & u \geq 1
\end{array}\right. \\
& \operatorname{pr}(X \leq x)=\operatorname{pr}\left(F^{-1}(U) \leq x\right)=\operatorname{pr}(U \leq F(x))=F(x) \\
& \Leftarrow \text { Consider the r.v. X has c.d.f } F(X)=\operatorname{pr}(X \leq x) \\
& G(u)=\operatorname{pr}(U \leq u)=\operatorname{pr}(F(X) \leq u) \\
& \quad=\operatorname{pr}\left[X \leq F^{-1}(u)\right] \\
& \quad=F\left[F^{-1}(u)\right]=u
\end{aligned}
$$

The IT algorithm describe the necessary steps for generating r.v. by Inverse Transform Method

1) Generate $U$ from $U(0,1)$.
2) Set $X=F^{-1}(U)$.
3) Deliver $X$ as a r.v. generated from the p.d.f $f(x)$.

We note that, this method is valid when the c.d.f. $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})$ exists in a form for which the corresponding inverse transform can be solved analytically.

### 3.3.2 The Acceptance-Rejection Method [301

This method consists of sampling a r.v. from an appropriate distn. and subjecting it to a test to determine whether or not it will be acceptance for use.

To carry out this method, the p.d.f. $f(x)$ of the generated r.v. $X$ represented as $f(x)=\operatorname{ch}(x) g(x)$, where $c \geq 1, \quad h(x)$ is also p.d.f. and $0<g(x) \leq 1$. Then we generate two r.v. ${ }^{s} \mathrm{U}$ and Y from $\mathrm{U}(0,1)$ and $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{y})$ respectively and test to see whether or not the inequality $U \leq g(Y)$ holds:

1. If the inequality hold, then accept $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{X}$ as a r.v. generated from $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$.
2. if the inequality violated, then reject the pair $(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{Y})$ and try again.

The theory behind this method is based on the following theorem.

## Theorem (3.3.2.1) [27]

Let the p.d.f of r.v. X represented as $f(x)=\operatorname{ch}(x) g(x)$, where $c \geq 1$, $h(x)$ as also p.d.f., and $0<g(x) \leq 1$. Let U and Y be distributed $\mathrm{U}(0,1)$ and $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{y})$ respectively, then $p r[Y=x \mid U \leq g(Y)]=f(x)$.

## Proof

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{pr}[Y=x \mid U \leq g(Y)] & =\frac{\operatorname{pr}[Y=x, U \leq g(Y)]}{\operatorname{pr}[U \leq g(Y)]} \\
& =\frac{\operatorname{pr}[Y=x, U \leq g(Y)]}{\int_{x} \operatorname{pr}[Y=x, U \leq g(Y)] d x}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Bayes theorem [2], we have

$$
\operatorname{pr}[Y=x \mid U \leq g(Y)]=\frac{\operatorname{pr}[U \leq g(Y) \mid Y=x] \operatorname{pr}(Y=x)}{\int_{x} \operatorname{pr}[U \leq g(Y) \mid Y=x] \operatorname{pr}(Y=x) d x}
$$

Since $\operatorname{pr}[U \leq g(Y) \mid Y=x]=\operatorname{pr}[U \leq g(x)]=g(x)$ and $\operatorname{pr}(Y=x)=h(x)$. Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{pr}[Y=x \mid U \leq g(y)] & =\frac{g(x) h(x)}{\int_{x \neq 0} g(x) h(x) d x}=\frac{g(x) h(x)}{\int_{x}^{f(x)} d x}, \mathrm{c} \neq 0 \\
& =\frac{g(x) h(x)}{\frac{1}{c}}=\operatorname{cg}(x) h(x)=f(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The efficiency of Acceptance-Rejection is determined by the inequality $U \leq g(Y)$, where the efficiency $=\operatorname{pr}[U \leq g(Y)]=\frac{1}{c}$.

Since the trails are independent, the probability of success in each trail is $P=\frac{1}{c}$. If $N$ is a random variable represent the number of trials before a successful pair ( $\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{Y}$ ), then $N$ has geometric distribution with p.d.f.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{pr}[N=n] & =p(1-p)^{n-1}, n=1,2, \ldots \\
& =0, \text { e.w. }
\end{aligned}
$$

and the expected number of trails is
$\mathrm{E}(N)=\frac{1}{p}=c$
The AR-Algorithm describes the necessary steps of generating random varieties by Acceptance-Rejection method.

## AR-Algorithm

1) Generate $U$ from $U(0,1)$.
2) Generate $Y$ from $h(y)$.
3) If $U \leq g(Y)$, deliver (we accept) $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{X}$ as a random varieties generated from $f(x)$.
4) Go to step (1).
5) Stop.

## Remark

For acceptance-Rejection method to be of practical interest, the following conditions must be satisfied:

1. It should be easy to generate a r.v. X from $h(x)$.
2. The efficiency (probability) of the procedure $\frac{1}{c}$ should be large, that is $c$ should close to one.

Illustration of the Acceptance-Rejection method, we choose $c \geq 1$ such that $f(x) \leq \operatorname{ch}(x)=\phi(x)$.

The problem then is to find the function $\phi(x)$ and the function $h(x)=\frac{1}{c} \phi(x)$ from which the random variable can be easily generated.

### 3.4 Generating Random Varieties from Normal

## $\underline{\text { Distribution }}$

### 3.4.1 Procedure N-1

This approach is due to Box and Muller (1958) [26]. Viz
If $U_{1}, U_{2}$ is a r.s. of size 2 from $U(0,1)$, then the r.v.s $X_{1}=\left(-2 \ln U_{1}\right)^{1 / 2} \cos \left(2 \pi U_{2}\right), X_{2}=\left(-2 \ln U_{1}\right)^{1 / 2} \sin \left(2 \pi U_{2}\right)$ represent a r.s. of size 2 from $N(0,1)$.

## Proof

The joint distribution of $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) & =1,0<u_{i}<1, i=1,2 \\
& =0, \text { e.w. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The functions $x_{1}=\left(-2 \ln u_{1}\right)^{1 / 2} \cos \left(2 \pi u_{2}\right), x_{2}=\left(-2 \ln u_{1}\right)^{1 / 2} \sin \left(2 \pi u_{2}\right)$ that maps

$$
A=\left\{\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right): 0<u_{i}<1, i=1,2\right\} \underset{\text { onto }}{\rightarrow-1} B=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right):-\infty<x_{i}<\infty, i=1,2\right\}
$$

with inverses $x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}=\left(-2 \ln u_{1}\right) \cos ^{2}\left(2 \pi u_{2}\right)+\left(-2 \ln u_{1}\right) \sin ^{2}\left(2 \pi u_{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =-2 \ln u_{1}\left(\cos ^{2}\left(2 \pi u_{2}\right)+\sin ^{2}\left(2 \pi u_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =-2 \ln u_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln u_{1}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right) \text { implies } u_{1}=e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)} \\
& \frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}}=\tan \left(2 \pi u_{2}\right) \Rightarrow 2 \pi u_{2}=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}}\right) \text { implies } u_{2}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The Jacobian of this transformation is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J=\frac{\partial\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)}{\partial\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}=1\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{2}} \\
\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
-x_{1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)} & -x_{2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)} \\
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{-x_{2}}{\left(x_{1}\right)^{2}} & \frac{1}{1+\left(\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}}\right)^{2}} \\
\frac{1}{x_{1}} \\
1+\left(\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}}\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right| \\
& =\frac{-e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)}}{2 \pi\left[1+\left(\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}}\right)^{2}\right]}-\frac{\left(\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}}\right)^{2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)}}{2 \pi\left[1+\left(\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}}\right)^{2}\right]}=\frac{-e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)}}{2 \pi\left[1+\left(\frac{x_{2}}{\left.x_{1}\right)^{2}}\right]\right.}\left[1+\left(\frac{x_{2}}{\left.x_{1}\right)^{2}}\right]\right. \\
& =\frac{-e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)}}{2 \pi}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, the joint distn. of $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & =g\left[e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)}, \frac{1}{2 \pi} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}}\right)\right]|J| \\
& =(1)\left|\frac{-e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)}}{2 \pi}\right|=\frac{1}{2 \pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)},-\infty<x<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

$X=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ distributed as a random vector of size 2 from $N(0,1)$. That is, $X_{i} \square N(0,1), i=1,2$.

## Algorithm N-1

1) Generate $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ from $U(0,1)$.
2) Set $X_{1}=\left(-2 \ln U_{1}\right)^{1 / 2} \cos \left(2 \pi U_{2}\right), X_{2}=\left(-2 \ln U_{1}\right)^{1 / 2} \sin \left(2 \pi U_{2}\right)$.
3) Deliver $\underset{\sim}{X}=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ as a random vector of size 2 generated from $N(0,1)$.

A computer program as written in Appendix B1 for generating a sample of size n from normal distribution written in Pascal language using Microcomputer Pentium IV, CPU 1.7 GHz and the run size 2 is taken. The results of mean and variance of standard normal distribution with efficiency and time are tabulated in table (3.1).

Table (3.1): Values of Time, $\hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^{2}$ with Difference n-Samples
Using N-1 Procedure

| $\mathbf{n}$ | $\hat{\mu}$ | $\hat{\sigma}^{2}$ | Time | Average <br> Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 0.066 | 0.997 | 00 |  |
| 6 | 0.035 | 0.957 | 05 |  |
| 8 | 0.072 | 0.856 | 05 |  |
| 10 | 0.058 | 0.951 | 05 |  |
| 12 | 0.103 | 0.939 | 10 |  |
| 14 | 0.023 | 0.997 | 10 |  |
| 16 | 0.043 | 0.947 | 16 |  |
| 18 | 0.053 | 0.973 | 21 |  |
| 20 | 0.038 | 1.014 | 21 |  |
| 22 | 0.062 | 0.981 | 21 |  |

### 3.4.2 Procedure $N$-2

This procedure is based on the Acceptance-Rejection method. Let the r.v. X be distributed

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x) & =\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}}, 0<x<\infty  \tag{3.4}\\
& =0, \text { e.w. }
\end{align*}
$$

Since the standard normal distribution is symmetrical about zero, we can assign a random sign to the r.v. generated from eq.(3.4) and obtain an r.v.
from $N(0,1)$.
To generate an r.v. from (3.4) write $f(x)$ as
$f(x)=\operatorname{ch}(x) g(x)$
Set $(x-1)^{2} \geq 0 \Rightarrow x^{2}-2 x+1 \geq 0 \Rightarrow x^{2} \geq 2 x-1$
$\frac{1}{2} x^{2} \geq x-\frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow-\frac{1}{2} x^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}-x$ Implies $e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}} \leq e^{\frac{1}{2}-x}$
$f(x)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} e^{\frac{1}{2}-x}=\phi(x)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\sqrt{\frac{2 e}{\pi}} e^{-x}=\phi(x) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\operatorname{ch}(x)=\phi(x) \Rightarrow c=\sqrt{\frac{2 e}{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} d x=\sqrt{\frac{2 e}{\pi}}$
$h(x)=\frac{\phi(x)}{c}=e^{-x}, 0<x<\infty$
$H(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}0, \quad x \leq 0 \\ 1-e^{-x}, \quad 0<x<\infty \\ 1, \quad x=\infty\end{array}\right.$
Set $u=H(x) \Rightarrow x=-\ln u$
$g(x)=\frac{f(x)}{\phi(x)}=\frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}}}{e^{\frac{1}{2}-x}}=e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(x^{2}-2 x+1\right)}=e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-1)^{2}}$
The efficiency of the method is equal to $\frac{1}{c}=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2 e}} \approx 0.76$.
The acceptance condition $U \leq g(Y)$ is $U \leq \exp \left[-(Y-1)^{2} / 2\right]$

## Algorithm N-2

1) Generate $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ from $U(0,1)$.
2) $\operatorname{Set} Y=-\ln U_{2}$.
3) If $U>g(Y)=\exp \left[-(Y-1)^{2} / 2\right]$, go to step (1).
4) Generate $U$ from $U(0,1)$.
5) If $U \leq \frac{1}{2}$, Deliver $Y=X$ as a r.v. generated from $N^{+}(0,1)$.
6) Deliver $Y=-X$ as a r.v. generated from $N^{-}(0,1)$.

A computer program is made in Appendix B2 for computation the values of efficiency with run size of 2 is taken. The results of mean and variance of standard normal distribution with efficiency are displayed in Table (3.2) relative to the theoretical efficiency value of 0.760 .

Table (3.2): Values of $\mathbf{c}, \hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^{2}$ with Difference n-Samples Using N-2 Procedure

| N | $\hat{\mu}$ | $\hat{\sigma}^{2}$ | Simulation Efficiency | Theoretical Efficiency | Error | Average Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 0.169 | 0.929 | 0.798 | 0.760 | 0.038 | 0.014 |
| 6 | 0.170 | 0.944 | 0.772 |  | 0.012 |  |
| 8 | 0.174 | 0.983 | 0.769 |  | 0.009 |  |
| 10 | 0.211 | 0.955 | 0.797 |  | 0.037 |  |
| 12 | 0.202 | 1.004 | 0.776 |  | 0.016 |  |
| 14 | 0.181 | 0.916 | 0.770 |  | 0.010 |  |
| 16 | 0.178 | 0.980 | 0.758 |  | 0.002 |  |
| 18 | 0.211 | 0.993 | 0.757 |  | 0.003 |  |
| 20 | 0.204 | 0.960 | 0.756 |  | 0.004 |  |

### 3.4.3 Procedure $N$-3

This procedure relies on the Central Limit Theorem, which says that if $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be a r.s. of size n from any distribution (continuous or discrete) having mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$ with existence of moment generating function $M(t)$, then the r.v.

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}-\mu)}{\sigma} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Converges asymptotically with n to $N(0,1)$. Consider the particular case when all $X_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$ are from $U(0,1)$. We find that
$\mu=\mathrm{E}(u)=\frac{1}{2}$.
$\sigma^{2}=\operatorname{var}(u)=\frac{1}{12}$.
$X=\frac{\sqrt{n}\left(\bar{U}-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{12}}}=\sqrt{12 n}\left(\bar{U}-\frac{1}{2}\right)$
A good approximation can already be obtained for $n=12$.

## Algorithm N-3

1) Generate $U_{1}, U_{2}, \ldots, U_{n}$ from $U(0,1)$.
2) $\operatorname{Set} \bar{U}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} U_{i}$.
3) Set $X=\sqrt{12 n}\left(\bar{U}-\frac{1}{2}\right)$.
4) Deliver $X$ as a random vector from $N(0,1)$.

A computer program as written in Appendix B3 for generating a sample of size $n$ from normal distribution written in Pascal language using Microcomputer Pentium IV, CPU 1.7 GHz and the run size 2 is taken. The
results of mean and variance of standard normal distribution with efficiency and time are tabulated in table (3.3).

Table (3.3): Values of Time, $\hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^{2}$ with Difference n-Samples
Using N-3 Procedure

| $\mathbf{n}$ | $\hat{\mu}$ | $\hat{\sigma}^{2}$ | Time | Average <br> Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.040 | 0.978 | 00 |  |
| 4 | 0.012 | 0.987 | 05 |  |
| 6 | 0.007 | 0.655 | 10 |  |
| 8 | 0.174 | 1.064 | 21 |  |
| 10 | 0.110 | 0.905 | 21 |  |
| 12 | 0.158 | 1.125 | 27 |  |
| 14 | 0.024 | 0.997 | 32 |  |
| 16 | 0.079 | 0.950 | 38 |  |
| 18 | 0.102 | 0.894 | 38 |  |
| 20 | 0.087 | 1.010 | 49 |  |

### 3.4.4 Procedure $N$-4

This procedure due to Tocher (1963). The technique for this procedure from generating from $N(0,1)$ is made by approximating the p.d.f.
$\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2}} \approx \frac{2 k e^{-k x}}{\left(1+e^{-k x}\right)^{2}}$
Where $0<x<\infty$ and $k=\sqrt{\frac{8}{\pi}}$.

## Proof

By inverse transform method
$F(x)=\int_{0}^{x} f(t) d t=2 \int_{0}^{x} \frac{k e^{-k t}}{\left(1+e^{-k t}\right)^{2}} d t$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left.\frac{2}{1+e^{-k t}}\right|_{0} ^{x} \\
F(x) & =\left\{\begin{array}{r}
0, \quad x \leq 0 \\
\frac{2}{1+e^{-k x}}-1, \quad 0<x<\infty \\
1, \quad x=\infty
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

set $u=F(x) \Rightarrow \frac{2}{1+e^{-k x}}-1=u$
$\frac{u+1}{2}=\frac{1}{1+e^{-k x}} \Rightarrow 1+e^{-k x}=\frac{2}{u+1}$
$e^{-k x}=\frac{2}{u+1}-1=\frac{1-u}{1+u}$
$-k x=\ln \left(\frac{1-u}{1+u}\right) \Rightarrow x=-\frac{1}{k} \ln \left(\frac{1-u}{1+u}\right)$
implies $x=\frac{1}{k} \ln \left(\frac{1+u}{1-u}\right)$.

## Algorithm N-4

1) Generate $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ from $U(0,1)$.
2) Set $X=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{8}} \ln \left(\frac{1+U_{1}}{1-U_{2}}\right)$.
3) If $U_{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}$, Deliver $X=Y$ as a r.v. generated from $N^{+}(0,1)$.
4) Deliver $X=-Y$ as a r.v. generated from $N^{-}(0,1)$.

A computer program as written in Appendix B4 for generating a sample of size $n$ from normal distribution written in Pascal language using Microcomputer Pentium IV, CPU 1.7 GHz and the run size 2 is taken. The
results of mean and variance of standard normal distribution with efficiency and time are tabulated in table (3.4).

Table (3.4): Values of Time, $\hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^{2}$ with Difference n-Samples
Using N-4 Procedure

| $\mathbf{n}$ | $\hat{\mu}$ | $\hat{\sigma}^{2}$ | Time | Average <br> Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.285 | 1.077 | 00 |  |
| 4 | 0.370 | 1.033 | 00 |  |
| 6 | 0.304 | 0.742 | 16 |  |
| 8 | 0.448 | 0.714 | 21 |  |
| 10 | 0.365 | 1.008 | 27 |  |
| 12 | 0.343 | 0.951 | 32 |  |
| 14 | 0.324 | 0.905 | 38 |  |
| 16 | 0.348 | 1.091 | 43 |  |
| 18 | 0.530 | 1.115 | 49 |  |
| 20 | 0.340 | 0.862 | 49 |  |

## CHAPTER



## In Inproximation to the Fumulative $^{\square}$ istribution $\boldsymbol{E}^{\text {unction of }} \prod_{\text {istribution }}$

## 2. 1 Introduction

The importance of good numerical integration schemes is evident. There are many deterministic quadrature formulas can be found throughout the literature for computation of ordinary integrals with well behaved integrands. It is often more convenient to compute such integrals by Monte Carlo method, which, although less accurate than conventional quadrature formulas, but is much simpler to use.

This chapter involves three numerical procedures and two reduction techniques for approximating of the $\mathrm{N}(0,1)$ c.d.f. of eq.(1.4). The three numerical procedure namely Trapezoidal, Simpson and Gauss-Quadrature Rules are discussed in sections 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4 respectively. While the two reduction techniques namely Hit or Miss Monte Carlo and Sample Mean Monte Carlo Rules are discussed in sections 2.5 and 2.6 respectively. For simplicity and computing purposes, we write eq.(1.4) as
$\Phi(t)=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{0}^{x} e^{-\frac{1}{2} t^{2}} d t$
Finally section 2.7 , the results of the five methods are tabulated and compared with the literature table(1.1) given in chapter one in order to obtain the more efficient and accurate procedure.

### 2.2 Approximation by Trapezoidal Rule [12]

Trapezoidal method is used for approximating the area under a curve by series of trapezoids. It has been shown theoretically that using an infinite number of trapezoids give prefect accuracy, but rounding of error will give us problems. The trapezoidal rule procedure can be illustrated as follows:

Suppose we wish to approximate the integral
$I=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x$, by using trapezoidal rule
We divide the interval from a to $b$ into $n$ equal parts as shown in figure(2.1), where the boundaries of the trapezoids are $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$.


Figure(2.1): Integration by the Trapezoidal Rule.

Let $h=\frac{b-a}{n}$ be the width of the ith trapezoid that lies between $x_{i-1}$ and $x_{i}$ whose heights at the left and right side are respectively $f\left(x_{i-1}\right)$ and $f\left(x_{i}\right)$. The area of the ith trapezoid is:
$\mathrm{A}_{i}=\frac{h}{2}\left[f\left(x_{i-1}\right)+f\left(x_{i}\right)\right]$
The total area of all $n$ trapezoids is the trapezoidal approximation to the integral I. that is:

$$
I \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{~A}_{i}
$$

$\cong \frac{h}{2}\left[f\left(x_{0}\right)+f\left(x_{1}\right)\right]+\frac{h}{2}\left[f\left(x_{1}\right)+f\left(x_{2}\right)\right]+\frac{h}{2}\left[f\left(x_{2}\right)+f\left(x_{3}\right)\right]+$ $\ldots+\frac{h}{2}\left[f\left(x_{n-1}\right)+f\left(x_{n}\right)\right]$
$\cong \frac{h}{2}\left[f\left(x_{0}\right)+f\left(x_{n}\right)+2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f\left(x_{i}\right)\right]$

## Composite Trapezoid Rule Algorithm

Step1: Input $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ (Interval of integration)
n (Number of subintervals)
Step2: Define f(x) (integrand)
Step3: Set $h=\frac{(b-a)}{n}$
Step4: sum=0
Step5: For $\mathrm{i}=1$ to $\mathrm{n}-1$
Step6: $x=a+i * h$
Step6: sum $=$ sum $+2 \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$
Step7: $g=\frac{h}{2}(f(a)+\operatorname{sum}+f(b))$

Step8: Output g
Step9: Stop

Appendix (A1) involves a computer program written in Pascal language using composite trapezoid rule for approximating the integral side of eq.(2.1). The $x$-values of the upper limit of the integral is taken from the normal distribution table (1.1). Table(2.1) gives together the approximate and the errors value of $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})$ while figure(2.2) shows the difference between the exact and approximate results of the $\mathrm{N}(0,1)$ c.d.f. by Trapezoidal method. Also this figure shows the minimum and maximum errors between the exact and approximate result.

Table(2.1): Approximation by Trapezoidal Method

| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathbf{X} \leq \mathbf{x})$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{x}$ | Approx. | Error | $\mathbf{x}$ | Approx. | Error |
| 0.00 | 0.500000 | 0.000000 | 1.60 | 0.944822 | 0.000178 |
| 0.05 | 0.519939 | 0.000061 | 1.645 | 0.949633 | 0.000367 |
| 0.10 | 0.539828 | 0.000172 | 1.65 | 0.950146 | 0.000854 |
| 0.15 | 0.559617 | 0.000383 | 1.70 | 0.955049 | 0.000049 |
| 0.20 | 0.579257 | 0.000257 | 1.75 | 0.959559 | 0.000441 |
| 0.25 | 0.598701 | 0.000299 | 1.80 | 0.963686 | 0.000314 |
| 0.30 | 0.617903 | 0.000097 | 1.85 | 0.967463 | 0.000537 |
| 0.35 | 0.636817 | 0.000183 | 1.90 | 0.970909 | 0.000091 |
| 0.40 | 0.655402 | 0.000402 | 1.95 | 0.974044 | 0.000044 |
| 0.45 | 0.673617 | 0.000383 | 1.960 | 0.974636 | 0.000364 |
| 0.50 | 0.691426 | 0.000426 | 2.00 | 0.976890 | 0.000110 |


| 0.55 | 0.708793 | 0.000207 | 2.05 | 0.979468 | 0.000532 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.60 | 0.725687 | 0.000313 | 2.10 | 0.981796 | 0.000204 |
| 0.65 | 0.742080 | 0.000080 | 2.15 | 0.983895 | 0.000105 |
| 0.70 | 0.757947 | 0.004947 | 2.20 | 0.985782 | 0.000218 |
| 0.75 | 0.773267 | 0.000267 | 2.25 | 0.987475 | 0.000525 |
| 0.80 | 0.788021 | 0.000021 | 2.30 | 0.988989 | 0.000011 |
| 0.85 | 0.802195 | 0.000195 | 2.326 | 0.989712 | 0.000288 |
| 0.90 | 0.815778 | 0.000222 | 2.35 | 0.990341 | 0.000659 |
| 0.95 | 0.828762 | 0.000238 | 2.40 | 0.991545 | 0.000455 |
| 1.00 | 0.841143 | 0.000143 | 2.45 | 0.992615 | 0.000385 |
| 1.05 | 0.852919 | 0.000081 | 2.50 | 0.993563 | 0.000437 |
| 1.10 | 0.864092 | 0.000092 | 2.55 | 0.994401 | 0.000599 |
| 1.15 | 0.874667 | 0.000333 | 2.576 | 0.994797 | 0.000203 |
| 1.20 | 0.884651 | 0.000349 | 2.60 | 0.995141 | 0.000141 |
| 1.25 | 0.894053 | 0.000053 | 2.65 | 0.995792 | 0.000208 |
| 1.282 | 0.899771 | 0.000229 | 2.70 | 0.996363 | 0.000637 |
| 1.30 | 0.902886 | 0.000114 | 2.75 | 0.996864 | 0.000136 |
| 1.35 | 0.911163 | 0.000163 | 2.80 | 0.997301 | 0.000301 |
| 1.40 | 0.918901 | 0.000099 | 2.85 | 0.997682 | 0.000318 |
| 1.45 | 0.926116 | 0.000116 | 2.90 | 0.998014 | 0.000014 |
| 1.50 | 0.932828 | 0.000172 | 2.95 | 0.998302 | 0.000302 |
| 1.55 | 0.939057 | 0.000057 | 3.00 | 0.998551 | 0.000449 |



Figure(2.2): Shows the difference between the exact and approximate solutions to the c.d.f. $\Phi(x)$ by Trapezoidal Rule.

### 2.3 Approximation by Simpson Rule [17]

In Simpson method, we try to approximate $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x$ by a series of parabolic segments hoping that parabola will more closely much to a given curve of $f(x)$ than it would be straight line in the trapezoidal method.

Simpson rule (or Simpson $\frac{1}{3}$ rule) is given by the equation $\mathrm{A}_{1}=\frac{h}{3}\left(f_{0}+4 f_{1}+f_{2}\right)+O\left(h^{5}\right)$ where $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ denotes the area under the graph of $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ from the point $x_{0}$ to the point $x_{2}$ and $h=\frac{x_{n}-x_{0}}{n},(\mathrm{n}=1,2, \ldots)$. This equation calculates the integral over two segments of integration. Repeated
application of Simpson $\frac{1}{3}$ rule over segment pairs of segments, and summation of all the formulas over the total interval, gives the multiple segments Simpson $\frac{1}{3}$ Rule:
$\mathrm{A}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{~A}_{i}=\frac{h}{3}\left[f_{0}+4 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_{i}+2 \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} f_{i}+f_{n}\right]+O\left(h^{5}\right)$


Figure(2.3): Integration by the Simpson Rule.
Since, Simpson $\frac{1}{3}$ Rule fits pairs of segments, the total intervals must be divided into an even number of segments. The first summation terms in eq.(2.4) sums up to odd-subscripted terms and the second summation odds up to evensubscripted terms, the order of the error of the multiple-segment Simpson $\frac{1}{3}$ rule was reduced by one order of magnitude of $o\left(h^{4}\right)$ for the same reason as in the trapezoidal rule. For more details see [15, 25].

## Simpson Rule Algorithm

Step1: Input a, b (Interval of integration)
n (Number of subintervals)

Step2: Define f(x) (integrand)
Step3: Set $h=\frac{(b-a)}{n}$
Step4: sum1 $=0$, sum2 $=0$
Step5: For $\mathrm{i}=1$ to $\mathrm{n}-1$
Step 6: $x=a+i * h$
Step7: If i is even then $\operatorname{sum} 1=\operatorname{sum} 1+2 \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$
Else sum $2=\operatorname{sum} 2+4 f(x)$
Step8: $g \cong \frac{h}{3}[f(a)+\operatorname{sum} 1+\operatorname{sum} 2+f(b)]$

## Step9: Output g

## Step10: Stop

Appendix (A2) involves a computer program written in Pascal language using Simpson rule for approximating the integral side of eq.(2.1). The x -values of the upper limit of the integral is taken from the normal distribution table (1.1). Table (2.2) gives together the approximate and the errors value of $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})$. while figure(2.3) shows the difference between the exact and approximate results of the $N(0,1)$ c.d.f. by Simpson method. Also this figure shows the minimum and maximum errors between the exact and approximate result.

Table(2.2): Approximation by Simpson Method

| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathbf{X} \leq \mathbf{x})$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{x}$ | Approx. | Error | $\mathbf{x}$ | Approx. | Error |
| 0.00 | 0.500000 | 0.000000 | 1.60 | 0.945201 | 0.000201 |
| 0.05 | 0.519939 | 0.000061 | 1.645 | 0.950015 | 0.000015 |
| 0.10 | 0.539828 | 0.000172 | 1.65 | 0.950529 | 0.000471 |
| 0.15 | 0.559618 | 0.000382 | 1.70 | 0.955435 | 0.000435 |


| 0.20 | 0.579260 | 0.000260 | 1.75 | 0.959941 | 0.000059 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.25 | 0.598706 | 0.000294 | 1.80 | 0.964069 | 0.000069 |
| 0.30 | 0.617911 | 0.000089 | 1.85 | 0.967843 | 0.000157 |
| 0.35 | 0.636831 | 0.000169 | 1.90 | 0.971283 | 0.000283 |
| 0.40 | 0.655422 | 0.000422 | 1.95 | 0.974411 | 0.000411 |
| 0.45 | 0.673645 | 0.000355 | 1.960 | 0.975001 | 0.000001 |
| 0.50 | 0.691462 | 0.000462 | 2.00 | 0.977249 | 0.000249 |
| 0.55 | 0.708840 | 0.000160 | 2.05 | 0.979817 | 0.000183 |
| 0.60 | 0.725747 | 0.000253 | 2.10 | 0.982134 | 0.000134 |
| 0.65 | 0.742154 | 0.000154 | 2.15 | 0.984221 | 0.000221 |
| 0.70 | 0.758036 | 0.005036 | 2.20 | 0.986095 | 0.000095 |
| 0.75 | 0.773373 | 0.000373 | 2.25 | 0.987773 | 0.000227 |
| 0.80 | 0.788145 | 0.000145 | 2.30 | 0.989274 | 0.000274 |
| 0.85 | 0.802338 | 0.000338 | 2.326 | 0.989988 | 0.000012 |
| 0.90 | 0.815940 | 0.000060 | 2.35 | 0.990611 | 0.000389 |
| 0.95 | 0.828944 | 0.000056 | 2.40 | 0.991800 | 0.000200 |
| 1.00 | 0.841345 | 0.000345 | 2.45 | 0.992854 | 0.000146 |
| 1.05 | 0.853141 | 0.000141 | 2.50 | 0.993787 | 0.000213 |
| 1.10 | 0.864334 | 0.000334 | 2.55 | 0.994611 | 0.000389 |
| 1.15 | 0.874928 | 0.000072 | 2.576 | 0.994999 | 0.000001 |
| 1.20 | 0.884931 | 0.000069 | 2.60 | 0.995335 | 0.000335 |
| 1.25 | 0.894351 | 0.000351 | 2.65 | 0.995972 | 0.000028 |
| 1.282 | 0.900079 | 0.000079 | 2.70 | 0.996529 | 0.000471 |
| 1.30 | 0.903200 | 0.000200 | 2.75 | 0.997017 | 0.000017 |
| 1.35 | 0.911492 | 0.000492 | 2.80 | 0.997441 | 0.000441 |
| 1.40 | 0.919244 | 0.000244 | 2.85 | 0.997810 | 0.000190 |
| 1.45 | 0.926471 | 0.000471 | 2.90 | 0.998131 | 0.000131 |
| 1.50 | 0.933193 | 0.000193 | 2.95 | 0.998408 | 0.000408 |
| 1.55 | 0.939430 | 0.000430 | 3.00 | 0.998647 | 0.000353 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure(2.3): Shows the difference between the exact and approximate solutions to the c.d.f. $\Phi(x)$ by Simpson Rule.

### 2.4 Approximation by Gaussian Quadrature Method [25]

To introduce the ideas involved in Gaussian Quadrature, we consider the more general integral $\int_{a}^{b} w(x) f(x) d x$, where $w(x)>0$ is a weight function.

We are interested only in the case $w(x)=1$ but different choices do play very important roles in numerical integration and a discussion of these can be found in [17]. The orthogonal polynomials corresponding to this weight function are known as the Legendre polynomials. Quadrature using these polynomials is called Gauss-Legendre Quadrature or, simply, Gaussian Quadrature which have the general formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1} f(x) d x \cong \sum_{i=0}^{n} w_{i} f(x) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients $w_{i}(i=0,1,2, \ldots, n)$ could be calculated, but this is not necessary because they, and the points $x_{i}$, have already been tabulated for a large value of $n$ see $[12,15]$. Some of the roots of the Legendre polynomials and the corresponding weights are used. We need in this method transforming the interval $[a, b]$ in to $[-1,1]$, by using the simple linear transformation.
$\mathrm{T}=\left[\frac{1}{(b-a)}\right](2 x-a-b)$ which provided $b>a$, the Legendre polynomials reduce to approximate
$\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{(b-a)}{2} f\left(\frac{(b-a) t+(a+b)}{2}\right) d t$
Where f is any function that can be evaluated at the required region of points.

## Gaussian Quadrature Rule Algorithm

Step1: Input a, b (Interval of integration)
$\mathrm{n} \leq 6$ (Number of subintervals)
Step2: Define f(x) (integrand)
Initialize $\operatorname{Array} x(n, i), w(n, i)$ for the Gauss nodes and weights in table(2.3) as shown below, $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{i})$ is the ith nonnegative node for the Gauss n-point formula, and $\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{i})$ is the corresponding weight.

Step3: Set $\mathrm{h}:=(\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}) / 2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{m} & =(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}) / 2 \\
\mathrm{x} & =\mathrm{h} \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{n}, 1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Step4: If n is odd then $\mathrm{g}:=\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{n}, 1) \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$
Else $\mathrm{g}:=\mathrm{h} \mathrm{w}(\mathrm{n}, 1)(\mathrm{f}(-\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{m})+\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{m}))$

Step5: For $i:=2$ to $\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]$ set $\mathrm{x}:=\mathrm{hx}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{i})$
Step6: $\mathrm{g}:=\mathrm{g}+\mathrm{h} \mathrm{w}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{i})(\mathrm{f}(-\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{m})+\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{m}))$
Step7: Output g

## Step8: Stop

Table(2.3): Shows Numerical Values of the Gauss Nodes and Weights

| $\mathbf{N}$ | $\pm \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}$ | $\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.577350269189626 | 1.000000000000000 |
| 3 | 0.000000000000000 | 0.888888888888888 |
|  | 0.774596669241483 | 0.555555555555556 |
| 4 | 0.339981043584856 | 0.652145154862546 |
|  | 0.861136311594053 | 0.347854845137454 |
| 5 | 0.000000000000000 | 0.568888888888889 |
|  | 0.538469310105683 | 0.478628670599366 |
|  | 0.906179845938664 | 0.236926885056189 |
|  | 0.238619186083197 | 0.467913934572691 |
|  | 0.661209386466265 | 0.360761573048139 |
| 8 | 0.932469514203152 | 0.171324492379170 |
|  | 0.183434642495650 | 0.362683783378362 |
|  | 0.525532409916329 | 0.313706645877887 |
|  | 0.960289856497536 | 0.101228536290376 |
| 10 | 0.148874338981631 | 0.295524224714753 |
|  | 0.433395394129247 | 0.269266719309996 |
|  | 0.679409568299024 | 0.219086362515982 |
|  | 0.865063366688985 | 0.149451349150581 |
|  | 0.973906528517172 | 0.066671344308688 |


| 12 | 0.125233408511469 | 0.249147045813403 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0.367831498998180 | 0.233492536538355 |
|  | 0.587317954286617 | 0.203167426723066 |
|  | 0.769902674194305 | 0.160078328543346 |
|  | 0.904117256370475 | 0.106939325995318 |
|  | 0.981560634246719 | 0.047175336386512 |

Appendix (A3) involves a computer program written in Pascal language using Gaussian Quadrature Rule for approximating the integral side of eq.(2.1). The x-values of the upper limit of the integral is taken from the normal distribution table (1.1). Table (2.4) gives together the approximate and the errors value of $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})$. while figure(2.4) shows the difference between the exact and approximate results of the $\mathrm{N}(0,1)$ c.d.f. by Gaussian Quadrature Method. Also this figure shows the minimum and maximum errors between the exact and approximate result.

Table (2.4): Approximation by Gaussian Quadrature Method

| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathbf{X \leq x )}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{x}$ | Approx. | Error | $\mathbf{x}$ | Approx. | Error |
| 0.00 | 0.500000 | 0.000000 | 1.60 | 0.945176 | 0.000176 |
| 0.05 | 0.519939 | 0.000061 | 1.645 | 0.949982 | 0.000018 |
| 0.10 | 0.539828 | 0.000172 | 1.65 | 0.950495 | 0.000505 |
| 0.15 | 0.559618 | 0.000382 | 1.70 | 0.955389 | 0.000389 |
| 0.20 | 0.579260 | 0.000260 | 1.75 | 0.959881 | 0.000119 |
| 0.25 | 0.598706 | 0.000294 | 1.80 | 0.963992 | 0.000008 |
| 0.30 | 0.617911 | 0.000089 | 1.85 | 0.967744 | 0.000256 |


| 0.35 | 0.636831 | 0.000169 | 1.90 | 0.971158 | 0.000158 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.40 | 0.655422 | 0.000422 | 1.95 | 0.974256 | 0.000256 |
| 0.45 | 0.673645 | 0.000355 | 1.960 | 0.974840 | 0.000160 |
| 0.50 | 0.691462 | 0.000462 | 2.00 | 0.977059 | 0.000059 |
| 0.55 | 0.708840 | 0.000160 | 2.05 | 0.979586 | 0.000414 |
| 0.60 | 0.725747 | 0.000253 | 2.10 | 0.981856 | 0.000144 |
| 0.65 | 0.742154 | 0.000154 | 2.15 | 0.983889 | 0.000111 |
| 0.70 | 0.758036 | 0.005036 | 2.20 | 0.985702 | 0.000298 |
| 0.75 | 0.773373 | 0.000373 | 2.25 | 0.987313 | 0.000687 |
| 0.80 | 0.788145 | 0.000145 | 2.30 | 0.988737 | 0.000263 |
| 0.85 | 0.802338 | 0.000338 | 2.326 | 0.989410 | 0.000590 |
| 0.90 | 0.815940 | 0.000060 | 2.35 | 0.989991 | 0.001009 |
| 0.95 | 0.828945 | 0.000055 | 2.40 | 0.991088 | 0.000912 |
| 1.00 | 0.841346 | 0.000346 | 2.45 | 0.992043 | 0.000957 |
| 1.05 | 0.853142 | 0.000142 | 2.50 | 0.992867 | 0.001133 |
| 1.10 | 0.864335 | 0.000335 | 2.55 | 0.993574 | 0.001426 |
| 1.15 | 0.874929 | 0.000071 | 2.576 | 0.993900 | 0.001100 |
| 1.20 | 0.884931 | 0.000069 | 2.60 | 0.994175 | 0.000825 |
| 1.25 | 0.894350 | 0.000350 | 2.65 | 0.994680 | 0.001320 |
| 1.282 | 0.900078 | 0.000078 | 2.70 | 0.995100 | 0.001900 |
| 1.30 | 0.903199 | 0.000199 | 2.75 | 0.995442 | 0.001558 |
| 1.35 | 0.911490 | 0.000490 | 2.80 | 0.995717 | 0.001283 |
| 1.40 | 0.919239 | 0.000239 | 2.85 | 0.995932 | 0.002068 |
| 1.45 | 0.926463 | 0.000463 | 2.90 | 0.996095 | 0.001905 |
| 1.50 | 0.933181 | 0.000181 | 2.95 | 0.996212 | 0.001788 |
| 1.55 | 0.939412 | 0.000412 | 3.00 | 0.996291 | 0.002709 |



Figure(2.4): Shows the difference between the exact and approximate solutions to the c.d.f. $\Phi(x)$ by Gaussian Rule.

### 2.5 Approximation by Hit or Miss Monte Carlo Method [27]

In this section, we consider a simple technique for computing the onedimensional integral:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Monte Carlo method. Viz
For simplicity we assume that the integrand $f(x)$ is bounded $0 \leq f(x) \leq c$,
$\mathrm{a} \leq \mathrm{x} \leq \mathrm{b}$. Let $\Omega$ denote the rectangle as shown in Figure(2.5)

$$
\Omega=\{(x, y): a \leq x \leq b, 0 \leq y \leq c\} .
$$

Let $(X, Y)$ be a random vector uniformly distributed over the rectangle $\Omega$ with joint p.d.f.

$$
g(x, y)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{c(b-a)}, \quad(x, y) \in \Omega  \tag{2.7}\\
0, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let P be the probability that the random vector $(X, Y)$ falls within the area under the curve $f(x)$, and let $S=\{(x, y): y \leq f(x)\}$. The area under the curve $f(x)$ is:

$$
\text { Area under } \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=\operatorname{area} \mathrm{S}=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x
$$



Figure (2.5): Graphical Representation of the Hit or Miss Monte Carlo method.

We obtain
$P=\frac{\operatorname{area} S}{\operatorname{area} \Omega}=\frac{\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x}{c(b-a)}=\frac{I}{c(b-a)}$
Assume that N independent random vectors $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right),\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(X_{n}, Y_{n}\right)$ are generated. Then the probability P can be estimated by:
$\hat{P}=\frac{\text { No.of Hits }}{\text { Total no.of trials }}=\frac{N_{H}}{N}$
Where $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{H}}$ is the number of occasions on which $f\left(x_{i}\right) \geq y_{i}, \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}$, that is, the number of "hits" and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{H}}$ is the number of "misses"; we score a miss if
$f\left(x_{i}\right)<y_{i}, \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}$.
It follows if $P \approx \hat{P}$ then from eqs.(2.8) and (2.9) that the integral I can be estimated by:
$\frac{I}{c(b-a)} \approx \frac{N_{H}}{N}$ implies $I \approx \theta_{1}=\frac{c(b-a) N_{H}}{N}$
In other words we estimate the integral I by sampling N from the distn. of eq.(2.7), count the number $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{H}}$ of hits and apply eq.(2.10).

## Hit or Miss Monte Carlo Method Algorithm

Step1: Input $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and c
Step2: Generate a sequence $\left\{U_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{2 N}$ of 2N random numbers.
Step3: Arrange the random numbers into N pairs
$\left(U_{1}, U_{1}^{\prime}\right),\left(U_{2}, U_{2}^{\prime}\right), \ldots,\left(U_{N}, U_{N}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ in any fashion such that each random $\mathrm{U}_{1}$ is used exactly once.

Step4: Set $X_{i}=a+U_{i}(b-a)$ and compute $f\left(x_{i}\right), \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}$.
Step5: Count the number of cases $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{H}}$ for which $f\left(x_{i}\right)>c U_{i}{ }^{\prime}$.
Step6: Estimate the integral I by

$$
\theta_{1}=c(b-a) \frac{N_{H}}{N} .
$$

Appendix (A4) involves a computer program written in Pascal language using Hit or Miss Monte Carlo Method for approximating the integral side of eq.
(2.1). The x -values of the upper limit of the integral is taken from the normal distribution table (1.1). Table (2.5) gives together the approximate and the errors value of $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})$. The run size is 1000 is made. While figure(2.6) shows the difference between the exact and approximate results of the $\mathrm{N}(0,1)$ c.d.f. by Hit or Miss Monte Carlo Method. Also this figure shows the minimum and maximum errors between the exact and approximate result.

Table (2.5): Approximation by Hit or Miss Monte Carlo Method

| Pr $(\mathbf{X} \leq \mathbf{x})$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{x}$ | Approx. | Error | $\mathbf{x}$ | Approx. | Error |
| 0.00 | 0.500000 | 0.000000 | 1.60 | 0.944849 | 0.000151 |
| 0.05 | 0.519945 | 0.000055 | 1.645 | 0.949989 | 0.000011 |
| 0.10 | 0.539845 | 0.000155 | 1.65 | 0.950647 | 0.000353 |
| 0.15 | 0.559656 | 0.000344 | 1.70 | 0.954674 | 0.000326 |
| 0.20 | 0.578766 | 0.000234 | 1.75 | 0.959956 | 0.000044 |
| 0.25 | 0.598735 | 0.000265 | 1.80 | 0.963948 | 0.000052 |
| 0.30 | 0.617920 | 0.000080 | 1.85 | 0.967882 | 0.000118 |
| 0.35 | 0.636848 | 0.000152 | 1.90 | 0.970802 | 0.000198 |
| 0.40 | 0.654620 | 0.000380 | 1.95 | 0.973712 | 0.000288 |
| 0.45 | 0.673681 | 0.000320 | 1.960 | 0.974999 | 0.000001 |
| 0.50 | 0.690607 | 0.000393 | 2.00 | 0.976826 | 0.000174 |
| 0.55 | 0.708864 | 0.000136 | 2.05 | 0.979872 | 0.000128 |
| 0.60 | 0.725785 | 0.000215 | 2.10 | 0.981906 | 0.000094 |
| 0.65 | 0.741869 | 0.000131 | 2.15 | 0.983845 | 0.000155 |
| 0.70 | 0.753719 | 0.004281 | 2.20 | 0.985934 | 0.000067 |
| 0.75 | 0.772683 | 0.000317 | 2.25 | 0.987841 | 0.000159 |
| 0.80 | 0.787877 | 0.000123 | 2.30 | 0.988808 | 0.000192 |
| 0.85 | 0.801713 | 0.000287 | 2.326 | 0.989992 | 0.000008 |
| 0.90 | 0.815949 | 0.000051 | 2.35 | 0.990747 | 0.000253 |
| 0.95 | 0.828952 | 0.000048 | 2.40 | 0.991870 | 0.000130 |


| 1.00 | 0.840724 | 0.000276 | 2.45 | 0.992905 | 0.000095 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.05 | 0.852887 | 0.000113 | 2.50 | 0.993862 | 0.000138 |
| 1.10 | 0.863733 | 0.000267 | 2.55 | 0.994747 | 0.000253 |
| 1.15 | 0.874942 | 0.000058 | 2.576 | 0.994999 | 0.000001 |
| 1.20 | 0.884945 | 0.000055 | 2.60 | 0.994782 | 0.000218 |
| 1.25 | 0.893719 | 0.000281 | 2.65 | 0.995982 | 0.000018 |
| 1.282 | 0.899937 | 0.000063 | 2.70 | 0.996694 | 0.000306 |
| 1.30 | 0.902840 | 0.000160 | 2.75 | 0.996989 | 0.000011 |
| 1.35 | 0.910606 | 0.000394 | 2.80 | 0.996713 | 0.000287 |
| 1.40 | 0.918805 | 0.000195 | 2.85 | 0.997877 | 0.000124 |
| 1.45 | 0.925647 | 0.000353 | 2.90 | 0.997915 | 0.000085 |
| 1.50 | 0.932855 | 0.000145 | 2.95 | 0.997735 | 0.000265 |
| 1.55 | 0.938678 | 0.000323 | 3.00 | 0.998771 | 0.000229 |



Figure(2.6): Shows the difference between the exact and approximate solutions to the c.d.f. $\Phi(x)$ by Hit or Miss Monte Carlo Method

### 2.6 Approximation by the Sample-Mean Monte Carlo

## Method [27]

Another way of computing the integral
$I=\int_{a}^{b} g(x) d x$
is to represent it as an expected value of some random variable. Indeed, let us rewrite the integral as
$I=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{g(x)}{f_{x}(x)} f_{x}(x) d x$
Assuming that $f_{x}(x)$ is any p.d.f. such that $f_{x}(x)>0$ when $g(x) \neq 0$.
Then
$I=\mathrm{E}\left[\frac{g(X)}{f_{x}(X)}\right]$
Where the random variable X is distributed according to $f_{x}(x)$.
Let us assume for simplicity
$f_{x}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}\frac{1}{(b-a)}, & a<x<b \\ 0, \text { otherwise }\end{array}\right.$
Then
$\mathrm{E}[g(X)]=\frac{I}{b-a}$
and
$I=(b-a) \mathrm{E}[g(X)]$
An unbiased estimator of I is its sample mean
$\theta_{2}=(b-a) \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} g\left(X_{i}\right)$

## Sample-Mean Monte Carlo Method Algorithm

Step1: Input $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and c
Step2: Generate a sequence $\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ of N random numbers.
Step3: Set $X_{i}=a+U_{i}(b-a), \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}$
Step4: compute $g\left(X_{i}\right), \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}$.
Step5: compute the sample mean which estimates the integral I by

$$
\theta_{2}=(b-a) \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} g\left(X_{i}\right)
$$

Appendix (A5) involves a computer program written in Pascal language using Sample Mean Monte Carlo Method for approximating the integral side of eq.(2.1). The $x$-values of the upper limit of the integral is taken from the normal distribution table (1.1). Table(2.6) gives together the approximate and the errors value of $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})$. while figure(2.7) shows the difference between the exact and approximate results of the $\mathrm{N}(0,1)$ c.d.f. by Sample Mean Monte Carlo Method. Also this figure shows the minimum and maximum errors between the exact and approximate result.

Table(2.6): Approximation by the Sample-Mean Monte Carlo Method

| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathbf{X} \leq \mathbf{x})$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{x}$ | Approx. | Error | $\mathbf{x}$ | Approx. | Error |
| 0.00 | 0.500000 | 0.000000 | 1.60 | 0.944900 | 0.000101 |
| 0.05 | 0.519954 | 0.000046 | 1.645 | 0.949993 | 0.000008 |
| 0.10 | 0.539871 | 0.000129 | 1.65 | 0.950765 | 0.000236 |
| 0.15 | 0.559714 | 0.000287 | 1.70 | 0.954783 | 0.000218 |
| 0.20 | 0.578805 | 0.000195 | 1.75 | 0.959971 | 0.000030 |


| 0.25 | 0.598780 | 0.000221 | 1.80 | 0.963966 | 0.000035 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.30 | 0.617933 | 0.000067 | 1.85 | 0.967922 | 0.000079 |
| 0.35 | 0.636873 | 0.000127 | 1.90 | 0.970873 | 0.000127 |
| 0.40 | 0.654684 | 0.000317 | 1.95 | 0.973815 | 0.000185 |
| 0.45 | 0.673734 | 0.000266 | 1.960 | 0.975000 | 0.000000 |
| 0.50 | 0.690677 | 0.000323 | 2.00 | 0.976888 | 0.000112 |
| 0.55 | 0.708888 | 0.000112 | 2.05 | 0.979918 | 0.000082 |
| 0.60 | 0.725823 | 0.000177 | 2.10 | 0.981940 | 0.000060 |
| 0.65 | 0.741892 | 0.000108 | 2.15 | 0.983901 | 0.000099 |
| 0.70 | 0.754475 | 0.003525 | 2.20 | 0.985957 | 0.000043 |
| 0.75 | 0.772739 | 0.000261 | 2.25 | 0.987898 | 0.000102 |
| 0.80 | 0.787899 | 0.000102 | 2.30 | 0.988877 | 0.000123 |
| 0.85 | 0.801763 | 0.000237 | 2.326 | 0.989996 | 0.000004 |
| 0.90 | 0.815958 | 0.000042 | 2.35 | 0.990864 | 0.000136 |
| 0.95 | 0.828961 | 0.000039 | 2.40 | 0.991930 | 0.000070 |
| 1.00 | 0.840793 | 0.000207 | 2.45 | 0.992949 | 0.000051 |
| 1.05 | 0.852915 | 0.000085 | 2.50 | 0.993925 | 0.000075 |
| 1.10 | 0.863800 | 0.000200 | 2.55 | 0.994864 | 0.000136 |
| 1.15 | 0.874957 | 0.000043 | 2.576 | 0.995000 | 0.000000 |
| 1.20 | 0.884959 | 0.000041 | 2.60 | 0.994883 | 0.000117 |
| 1.25 | 0.893789 | 0.000211 | 2.65 | 0.995990 | 0.000010 |
| 1.282 | 0.899953 | 0.000047 | 2.70 | 0.996835 | 0.000165 |
| 1.30 | 0.902880 | 0.000120 | 2.75 | 0.996994 | 0.000006 |
| 1.35 | 0.910705 | 0.000295 | 2.80 | 0.996846 | 0.000154 |
| 1.40 | 0.918854 | 0.000146 | 2.85 | 0.997934 | 0.000067 |
| 1.45 | 0.925765 | 0.000236 | 2.90 | 0.997954 | 0.000046 |
| 1.50 | 0.932904 | 0.000097 | 2.95 | 0.997857 | 0.000143 |
| 1.55 | 0.938785 | 0.000215 | 3.00 | 0.998876 | 0.000124 |



Figure(2.7): Shows the difference between the exact and approximate solutions to the c.d.f. $\Phi(x)$ by Sample Mean Monte Carlo Method

### 2.7 Error of Approximation

In order to compare the five methods, table(2.8) shows the error of approximation of each method at a specific $x$-value. When x-values selected according to minimum and maximum errors resulted from each method.

Table(2.8): Error of approximation comparison for five methods

| x-Value | Trapezoidal | Simpson |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rule | Rule | Gaussian- <br> Quadrature <br> Rule | Hit or <br> Miss Rule | Mean Rule |  |
| 0.70 | 0.004947 | 0.005063 | 0.005063 | 0.004281 | 0.003525 |
| 1.80 | 0.000314 | 0.000069 | 0.000008 | 0.000052 | 0.000035 |
| 1.96 | 0.000364 | 0.000001 | 0.000160 | 0.000001 | 0.000000 |
| 2.30 | 0.000011 | 0.000274 | 0.000263 | 0.000192 | 0.000123 |

## 

## Conclusion

1. Simpson rule is more accurate than trapezoidal rule because the function in Simpson rule is nearly quadratic on the close interval $[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]$.
2. Gaussian Quadrature is more efficient than the trapezoidal and Simpson rule because if formula of degree $n$ then the error will be of order ( $2 n-1$ ).
3. Hit or Miss Monte Carlo method is more efficient than Gaussian formula and the accuracy of this method increase as the sample size increases.
4. The Sample mean Monte Carlo method gives results superior than all methods of approximation for the c.d.f. of normal distribution which produce minimum errors and the accuracy increase as the sample size increases.
5. The best of our procedures for generating sample varieties from normal distribution is Box and Muller procedure which has less time consuming in comparison with the other method of generation.

## Future Work

1. The methods of approximation to the c.d.f. for normal distribution can be used for other non-normal distributions.
2. It can be generate r.v. ${ }^{s}$ from normal distribution by other new procedures which can be compare their efficiency with our used procedures.
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The most important continuous probability distribution in the entire field of statistics is the "Normal Distribution", whose graph is symmetric bell shaped curve, extending indefinitely in both directions which describes so many sets of data that occur in nature, industry, research, and provided basis upon much of the theory of statistical inference has been developed.

The normal distribution was discovered by De Moiver in (1733) who derived the mathematical equation of the normal curve which is considered the best tool of statistical inference theory.

The normal distribution is often referred to as Gaussian distribution in honor of the German scientist Gauss (1773-1855), who also derived its equation from a study of errors in repeated measurements of the same quantity.

Laplace (1749-1827) studies in Astronomy gave results as Gauss obtained. Although the normal distribution may often give a very reasonable fit to an empirical distribution of some varieties, for example, height of people, component dimension, score in an aptitude test, etc, its primary importance occurs in connection with sampling theory. Thus when samples are drawn from populations, the distribution of measures commonly computed from samples, such as sample mean or proportions, often approach to normal distribution quite rapidly as the sample size increases (central limit theorem).

Sheppard (1903) [28] published accurate, famous and elaborate tables related to the basic integral of the standard normal c.d.f. $\Phi(\mathrm{x})$.

Adams A.G. (1969) [1] used the inverse Gussian distribution algorithm to evaluate the standard normal distribution.

Pettis (1974) [23] and Strecok (1968) [29] used a stable algorithm for computing the inverse error function in the "Tail-End" reign for standard normal integral.
B.D. Bunday, etal (1997)[4] developed new algorithms for the rapid, efficient and accurate evaluation of the standard normal integral and its tail.

Dmitry Danilov (2005) [6] generalized the problem of estimating the first $K$ coefficients in a regression equation with $K+1$ variables to the case where the unknown variance is estimated by least squares and found that main properties of the Laplace estimator only change marginally. Therefore, he recommends the neutral Laplace estimator to be used in practice.

Jade Freeman and Reza Modarres (2006) [11] studied the moments of the power normal family and obtained expressions for its mean and variance. The quantile functions and a quantile measure of skewness are discussed to show that the power normal family is ordered with respect to the transformation parameter.
C.S. Withers and P.N. McGavin (2006) [5] gave a new expression for Mills' ratio and five expressions for repeated integrals of the univariate normal density, or equivalently for the Hermite functions and they also gave the derivatives of Mills' ratio and its inverse.

Yeh lam, etal (2006) [31] studied a sequential variable sampling plan. Supposed that the quality of an item in a batch in measured by a normally distributed random variable with a known variance, but the mean is unknown with a normal prior distribution. Then by using Bayesian approach and considering a Markoov decision process, the optimality equations for the minimum total expected cost are formulated. They showed that an optimal decision rule will have a control limit structure and they presented the statistical procedure for conducting the sequential sampling plan.

In this thesis mainly three chapters involved. The normal distribution is discussed in chapter one throughout six sections which include basic properties and moments of normal distribution, some related theorems, two methods of parameters estimation and equality of estimators. While, chapter two gives a full discussion for the approximation to the cumulative distribution function of the standard normal distribution by three numerical procedures namely: Trapezoidal, Simpson and Gaussian-Quadrature rules and two reduction techniques namely; Hit or Miss Monte Carlo and Sample Mean Monte Carlo rules. The approximation results of the five methods are tabulated and compared with the normal distribution table in order to obtain the more efficient and accurate procedure.

Chapter three presents three sections which include random number generation and four procedures for random varieties generation from normal distribution. The efficiency of these procedures is discussed theoretically and assessed destitution practically.

| Distn. | : Distribution |
| :--- | :--- |
| r.v. | : Random variable |
| p.d.f. | : Probability density function |
| c.d.f. | : Cumulative Distribution Function |
| m.g.f. | : Moment Generating Function. |
| Iff | : If and only if |
| r.s. | : Random sample |
| indep. | : Independent |
| M.L.E | $:$ Maximum Likelihood Estimator |
| IT | $:$ Inverse transform |
| $X \square N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ | $:$ The r.v. X has normal distribution with mean $\mu$ |
| $X \square \chi^{2}(n)$ | and variance $\sigma^{2}$ |
|  | : The r.v. X has chi - Square distribution with |
| c | parameter n |
| Prob. | : pfficiency |
| C.I | : confidence interval |
| dof | $:$ degree of freedom |
| S.S | $:$ sample space |
| M.V.U.E | $:$ Minimum variance unbiased estimator |
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## (لمسنخلـ

في هذه الأطروحة تطرقنا إلى النوزيع الطبيعي "Normal distribution" لأهيته في مجالات الإحصاء وتطبيقاته من حيث استعراض وتوحيد لخواص التوزيع الرياضية والإحصائية والعزوم والعزوم العليا. وتم دراسة ست نظريات مهمة في تطبيقات هذا النوزيع.

ثم التطرق إلى اسلوب التخمين وخواصه ومناقشة طريقتين لتخمين معلمـات التوزيع نظريا وهــا
طريقة العزوم "Moment method" وطريقة الترجيح الأعظم Maximum likelihood" method" "Trapezoidal, تم استخدام خمس طرائق لنقريب دالـه التوزيـع التجميعيـة وهـي طريقهـ Simpson, Gaussian, Hit or Miss and Sample mean Rules" الطرق من حيث التصرف والخطأ المتولد من كل طريقه والتوصل إلى أن آخر طريقه تم استخدامها هي الأفضل لنقريب الحل لمتل هذه الاالة. إضافة إلى ذلك تم تثتلِ ننائج كل طريقة بمنحنيات وجداول عددية لسهولة مقارنة الننائج.

وأخيرا تطرقنا إلى أربع أساليب لنوليد متغيرات عشوائية وهي -Box-Muller, Acceptance" Rejection, Central Limit theorem and Tocher" وعملياً باستخدام محاكاة مونت كارلو وأظهرت النتائج بان "Box-Muller" هي أكفأ طريقه لتوليد هذه المتغيرات من حيث الوقت المستغرق في توليد العينة.

